Dear Dave (and Jerry),

by day today having begun at 11:40 last night, when I was wide awake, I read and corrected the draft of the beginning of my response to what AMR published. Next Isli get to some specifics of what its three experts wrote.

After I write this I'll see if I can take a nap, at 1:50 a.m.! because later this morning I'll be drive to ohn hopkins' sleep-disturbance unit for a consultation.

I did not try to do any rewriting. I merely read and corrected a few typos and other errors.

I know, of course, that AIR will not consider publishing anything of this length, and when I finish the draft it will be longer, but my purpose is to make a record and, on the off chance that it is read, inform them and put them in a position to correct what they did. I do not believe they will consider this because they did what they intende to do without regard to authentic scholarship.

Perhaps the editors did not know more and better about Stone. I do not think so. But it is not possible that they did not know the reality about Garrison.

On this basis alone, simple as it is, I believe that what they published is what they wanted to begin with.

This, I believe, pught be enough to begin a scholarly controversy.

If bil can make the copies before I return from Baltimore I'll mail one this evening if we go into town or tomorrow morning.

I hope you'll have the time when you get it to read it and tell me if you see any corrections major enough for me to make any changes before I mail it, which, of course, will not be until after I complete it.

I've already made copies of the records I say are attached. If you think of any others important enough to include, please tell ne.

Bost

