

Shanklin advised that Wade claimed that the discussion with the Commission was quite informal and that as far as he knew no notes were taken. He recalled that during the meeting someone, he believed from the Commission, made mention of a voucher number 179 and connected it with Oswald. Wade said he told the Commission the FBI didn't have an informant without a number and that the identity of every informant's known at FBI headquarters but that in getting money from adquarters only the symbol number would be submitted in a voucher. Wade stated that in referring to a voucher, he had in mind an Agent's expense voucher and he said that the informant's name would never be submitted with such a voucher.

He also said that he had never heard of putting an informant on the payroll by name. He thinks he was asked about retaining receipts from informants and stated that he couldn't recall whether he had to the Commission that an informant could not be developed by an Agent without the knowledge of FBI headquarters.

Wade reviewed the expense accounts submitted while he was on SIS and he said that all funds expended by him were entirely and accurately accounted for and that he now realizes that he did get receipts and that the expenditures were reported to the Bureau in detail. He said he didn't handle any informants without the full knowledge of the Eureau.

The next few days and that he was going to clarify the fact that he did obtain receipts from informants.

According to Wade, there was very limited talk about the possibility of Oswald having been an FBI informant and Wade told those present that he didn't think Oswald was, but then there was general

DEII:pa N
(9)
20 FEB 17 1961 20 FEB 17