Chapter 10

Re: Notes w/ Criminal Division and possible perjury charges.

Source 51-16-1113

1. Keeney to Thornburgh 8/6/1975

This memo lays out the perjury rap on Shanklin in terms of his lying to effect that he had no knowledge of the Oswald note and did not order Hosty to destroy same. Shanklin had retired by this date.

2. Washinbgton Star 10/21/1975 story on the note destruction. Quotes Adams testimony to the House Judiciary subcommittee (Edwarsds Committee?)

Notes that note was destroyed two hours after Oswald was murdered.

Adams testified before Edwards Committee that thee was no evidence, based on internal FBI review, that Hoover or any of the FBIHQ honchos knew of the note. He isolates the destruction to Dallas FO.

Adams had no explaination as to why FBI efforts to recruit Ruby were not in the WCR.

- 3. Lowenberg to Hantman 8/31/1975 Notes that JD bought Adams' story that FBIHQ knew nothing of the note and its destruction. See Sullivan's Thirty Years in the Bureau and the Allen tickler on this.
- 4. Lowenberg routing slip calling attention to Gary Wills piece on the Oswald note destruction. Favors Wills' interpretation.

Point made: If Oswald had been an FBI informant or source he woujld have had no need to write the note in the first place.

- 5. Tyler to Kelley 10/20/1975 Notice that Thornburgh's decision not to go ahead with a perjury charge against Shanklin was the proper course. No bootstrapping a criminmal prosecution.
- 6. Hantman to Thornburgh 10/15/1975 Very good on FBI Dallas FO affidavits that FBIHQ ordered. . . . They are all conflicting and tell nothing. The only one who reports on note destruction was Hosty. Notes that Howe changed his tune from one affidavit to the next.
- 7. Abzug Committee gets into the act
- 8. Henderson to Thornburgh 11/2/1975 Lays out Shanklin's lying to Edwards Committee, committee of congress. Grounds for perjury and obstruction of justice. Useful memo.

Journe Marie Service S

9. Memo to effect that JD will not follow up on Sandy Smith's Time piece that Mohr ordered the destruction of the Hosty note.

the first product of the second of the secon

- 10. Copy of Hosty's WC testimony
- 11. Copy of James H. Adams testimony before the Edwards Committee=

```
res into aliminal from their compliant for the lightery how in those
camea an introduction trains of the more replace due to the registration of the second finite in the contract of the contract
```

The Liver Contract of the Contract of the State of the St