

mc		- 14
Iv	1	body, and all those were distorted. The true fact
	2	was that the casket was a bronze casket that had a-
	3	-when it arrived, it had a broken handle, and that
	4	had to be taken out ofhe was brought in the
	5	morgue in that. And the casket was removed by the-
	6	-by Gawlers, and then another one was brought in.
	7	And all kinds of stories were written about how
	. 8	first there was no body and no casket, and
	9	different kinds of caskets were described. Then
	10	the wrappings, the President arrived wrapped in
	11	sheets and a pillowcase around his head, and
	12	different stories were published about that.
	13	Jim Humes, immediately when we removed the
	14	wrappings, stuck thosewe had a washing machine in
	15	the morgue, and he stuck those in the washing
	16	machine, said he didn't want those appearing in a
	17	barn out in Kansas sometime, and they were all
	18	laundered.
•	19	Just offhand, I'm sorry, I can't think of
	20	other stories, but there were a lot.
	21.	Bob Karnei, he was laterwhen he retired,
	22	he was the commanding officer at the AFIP. He
		· · ·
		MILLER REPORTING CO., INC. 507 C STREET, N.E. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666
		•

'∥

1.1

.

~.		
)	mc	129
	1	know what I was involved. Ludicrous. That is what
	2	I did.
	3	Q When you made reference to the notes that
	4	you copied out, were you referring to the document
	5	that's marked Exhibit 2, or is that something
	6	different?
	7	A Now, this is the product of yeah. It's
	8	the product of those notes.
	9	Q The question would be whether there were
	10	notes that you copied down as one document and then
÷	11	you used the notes in order to draft the document
	12	that's in your hand.
	. 13	A The only thing that was retained was this.
	14	Q Exhibit 2?
	15	A Right.
	16	Q Now, I presume that the notes that you M
	17	took during the autopsy did not resemble in any way Dawy
	18	the document that you have in your hand now,
	19	Exhibit 2.
	20	A Well, they did, yes. I mean, I didn't
	21	dream this up out of whole cloth.
	22	Q Certainly I understand the content, but
2		
		MILLER REPORTING CO., INC. 507 C STREET, N.E. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666

ŗ.

mc		130
	1	I'm just referring to the text that is written in
	2	Exhibit 2 tracks reasonably closely the language of
	З	the final report. And what I'm interested in is
	4	what the two to three pages of notes looked like.
	5	A I can't recall. I mean, Ithey would
	6	have been my shorthand version of what you're
e E	7	looking at here, basically, in my own shorthand
	8 -	manner, whatever it may have been.
	9	Q You would agree, I assume, that the
	10	document you're holding in your hand, Exhibit 2, is
,	11	a basically completed autopsy protocol that tracks
<u>.</u>	12	the language of the final autopsy protocol that's
	13	Exhibit 1?
	14	A Yes.
	15	$\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}$ And I assume that the notes that you made
	16	while you were at Bethesda during the autopsy were
	17	not written in sentence and paragraph form.
	18	A No. They were shorthand.
	19	Q So what kinds of things, then, were
	20	written on it? Measurements?
	21	A Measurements, yeah, sure. Primarily
	22 T	neasurements. That's where these measurements came
- 1.25		
		MILLER REPORTING CO., INC. 507 C STREET, N.E. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20002
1		manificition, D.C. 20002

0

.

.

\bigcirc	mc		131	
		1	from.	
		2	Q So when you draftedwell, first, was	
		3	there any other draft of the autopsy protocol other	
		4	than the one that you're holding in your hand now	
		5	A No.	
		6	QExhibit 2?	
	v	7	A No. There was not.	
		8 -	Q So when you wrote down the information	
		. 9	well, when you were drafting what is now Exhibit 2,	
		10	would it be fair to say that you had in your hand	
		11	two or three pages, approximately	
·		12	A Right.	
		13	Qof handwritten notes	
		14	A And I converted the shorthand information	
		15	there to that document.	
		16	Q When you say "that document," you're	
		17	referring to Exhibit 22	
	6 8. X	18.	A Yes, exactly.	
	i T	19	Q Was there any information that was	
	La canada a sera a	20	contained on the handwritten notes that was not	
		21	included in the document that's now Exhibit 2	,
		22	A I don't believe so.	
	All and a second		a	
••	-			
			MILLER REPORTING CO., INC. 507 C STREET, N.E. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666	

mc

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1 Did you ever make a copy that -- a copy of Q the notes that contained the same information as was on the original handwritten notes that was in any form other than the form that appears in Exhibit 2?

A No.

Have you ever observed that the document Q now marked Exhibit 1 in the original appears to have bloodstains on it as well?

Yes, I do notice it now. Α These were J's. I'm sure I gave these back to J. I presume I did. I don't know where they came from.

Q Did you ever have any concern about the President's blood being on the document that's now marked Exhibit 1?

I can't recall, to tell you the truth. 16 A Do you see any inconsistency at all . 17 Q between destroying some handwritten notes that 18 19 contained blood on them but preserving other 20 handwritten notes that also had blood on them? 21 Well, only that the others were of my own Α 22 I didn't--wouldn't have the habit of making.

> MILLER REPORTING CO., INC. 507 C STREET, N.E. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20002

Ą	mc		133
,		1	destroying something someone else prepared. That's
		2	the only difference that I can conceive of. I
		3	don't know where these went. I don't know if they
		4	went back to J or where they went. I have no idea.
		5	I certainly didn't keep them. I kept nothing, as a
		6	matter of fact.
		7	Ω I'd like to show you the testimony that
		8 -	you offered before the Warren Commission. This is
	÷	9	in Exhibit 11 to this deposition. I'd like you to 🐔
		10	take a look at pages 372 to the top of 373, and
		11	then I'll ask you a question.
2		12	A All right.
		13	Q I'll read that into that record while
		14	you're reading it yourself. Mr. Specter asked the
		15	question: "And what do those consist of?" The
		16	question is referring to some notes. "Answer: In
		17	privacy of my own home, early in the morning of
		18	Sunday, November 24, I made a draft of this report,
		19	which I later revised and of which this represents
		20	the revision. That draft I personally burned in
		21	the fireplace of my recreation room."
		22	Do you see Mr. Specter's question and your
			MILLER REPORTING CO., INC. 507 C STREET, N.E. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666

2	mc	134
121	:	answer?
	đ	A Yes:
		Q Does that help refresh your recollection
	4	of what was burned in your home?
	5	A Whatever I had, as far as I know, that was
	6	burned was everything exclusive of the finished
·	7	draft that you have as Exhibitwhatever it is.
	8	Q My question will go to the issue of
	9	whether it was a draft of the report that was
	10	burned or whether it was
•	11	A I think it was
J	12	Qhandwritten notes
h	13	A It was handwritten notes and the first
)	14	draft that was burned.
	15	Q Do you mean to use the expression
	16	handwritten notes as being the equivalent of draft
	17	of the report?
	18	A I don't know. Again, it's a hair-
	19	splitting affair that I can't understand.
	20	Everything that I personally prepared until I got
	21	to the status of the handwritten document that
	22	later was transcribed was destroyed. You can call
		MILLER REPORTING CO., INC. 507 C STREET, N.E. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666

it anything you want, whether it was the notes or 1. what, I don't know. But whatever I had, I didn't want anything else to remain, period.

This business, I don't know when J got that back or what.

Q When you say "this business," you're referring to Exhibit 1?

A Exhibit 1, right.

9 Dr. Humes, let me show you part of your 0 testimony to the HSCA. Question by Mr. Cornwell --10 I'll read this into the record. It's from page 11 330, and it is Exhibit 21 to this deposition.

"Mr. Cornwell: And you finally began to write the autopsy report at what time?"

"Dr. Humes: It was decided that three people couldn't write the report simultaneously, so I assumed the responsibility for writing the report, which I began about 11 o'clock in the evening of Saturday November 23rd, having wrestled with it for four or five, six hours in the afternoon, and worked on it until 3 or 4 o'clock in the morning of Sunday, the 24th."

> MILLER REPORTING CO., INC. 507 C STREET, N.E. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20002

mc

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

mc 136 1 "Mr. Cornwell: Did you have any notes or records at that point as to the exact location of 2 the--" 3 "Dr. Humes: I had the draft notes which 4 we had prepared in the autopsy room, which I 5 copied." 6 7 Now, again, the question would be: Did you copy the notes so that you would have a version 8 of the notes without the blood on them but still 9 10 notes rather than a draft report? 11 Yes, precisely. Yes. And from that I A made a first draft, and then I destroyed the first 12 draft and the notes. 13 14 So there were, then, two sorts of Q documents that were burned: one, the draft notes, 15 16 and, two, a draft report? 17 Ά Right. 18 0 Is that correct? 19 A That's right. So that the only thing 20 remaining was the one that you have. Why did you burn the draft report as 21 Q 22 opposed to the draft notes? ÷. MILLER REPORTING CO., INC. 507 C STREET, N.E. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666

1 A I don't recall. I don't know. There was no reason--see, we're splitting hairs here, and 2 I'll tell you, it's getting to me a little bit, as 3 you may be able to detect. The only thing I wanted 4 to finish to hand over to whomever, in this case 5 Admiral Burkley, was my completed version. 6 So I burned everything else. Now, why I didn't burn the 7 thing that J wrote, I have no way of knowing. 8 But whether it was a draft or whether it was the notes 9 or what, I don't know. There was nothing left when 10 I got finished with it, in any event, but the thing 11 12 that you now have, period.

137

Q Well, the concern, of course, is if there is a record related to the autopsy that is destroyed, we're interested in finding out what the exact circumstances--

17 A I've told you what the circumstances were.
18 I used it only as an aide-memoire to do what I was
19 doing and then destroyed it. Is that hard to.
20 understand?

21 Ω When I first asked the question, you 22 explained that the reason that you had destroyed it

> MILLER REPORTING CO., INC. 507 C STREET, N.E. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666

÷.,

mc

was that it had the blood of the President on it. A Right.

The draft report, of course, would not 3 0 4 have had the blood of --

Well, it may have had errors in spelling 5 A or I don't know what was the matter with it, or 6 7 whether I even ever did that. I don't know. I can't recall. I absolutely can't recall, and I 8 apologize for that. But that's the way the cookie 9 crumbles. I didn't want anything to remain that 10 some squirrel would grab on and make whatever use 11 that they might. Now, whether you felt that was 12 reasonable or not, I don't know. But it doesn't make any difference because that was my decision and mine alone. Nobody else's. \

16 Did you talk to anyone about your decision Q 17 to--

18 A No, absolutely not. No. It was my own materials. Why -- I don't feel a need to talk to 19 20 anybody about it.

Did the original notes that you created Q have any information with respect to the estimated

> MILLER REPORTING CO., INC. 507 C STREET, N.E. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666..

1

2

13

14

15

21

22

:S'.