

9. Prime Sources: Livingstone and the HSCA

fraud of a book ~~but this is more than enough to indicate why no self-respecting person, no caring professional man, would think of using him as a source, not even on the weather.~~

Livingstone is a Liefton or a different kind and a partly different mind. When I first heard from him I thought he was crazy, and after many years to say and believe ^{he is crazy} that now is a kindness to him, given his public record of which Fetzer and those ~~he~~ whose essays he collected had to know if ~~they~~ they were doing any work in the field at all.

My first recollection of hearing from him was an excited, ^{an} obviously worried phone call I got from him, he said, as I recall, from Maine. He then told me that he wanted me to know because "they," who they were never mentioned, ^{identified} were about to kill him.

Well, "they" didn't.

My next recollection is of his giving me the medical chapters of his High Treason manuscript. He asked me to go over them and make suggestions, particularly of mistakes, for him.

When I looked at those chapters, they were on continuous computer paper, ^{my first experience with it} I did not know whether they could be separated so I did not. Reading and annotating so long a continuous length of paper was awkward, cumbersome, but I did it. Every place I saw a mistake, and there were many, every place I would make a suggestion, I placed a paperclip. There were many, many paperclips in the margin of the paper holding those chapters.

Time went by and I heard nothing from him. He lives in Baltimore, about an hour or so from here, but he did not come to go over the mistakes I'd found and the constrictive

suggestions I was prepared to make. Then, after many days, he phoned. I read to him from that interminable length of paper I believed he would want back. From paperclip to paperclip. He listened and said little. But after one of his questions I lost my place. I assumed he was making notes so I asked him to read his last note to me so I could pick my place up again.

"I'm not making notes," he said. "I can't. I'm in a phone booth!"

Where? In Montreal!

And he never did pick ^{up} that considerable amount of the most awkward work I'd done for him. It probably still bears the marks of all those paperclips.

His interviews ^with the ~~the~~ Dallas doctors were impressive because so many talked to him. They made an impressive story for the Boston Globe. ^{It was} ~~They were~~ written by Ben Bradlee Jr., son of the Washington Post's editor, Ben Bradlee, of ~~the~~ Watergate fame. But ^{it was} ~~they were~~ a one-shot, Neither the Globe nor ~~any~~ other paper went farther and, unfortunately, those Dallas doctors knew only ^{thought they} what they saw. They knew nothing else and what they could see of the surface of some wounds did not tell them much about what ^{disclosed was} the autopsy ~~was~~ and reported and more, what ^{that was} the autopsy did not see because of orders not to do a complete autopsy, (confessed by Finck, under oath, in ~~the~~ the Shaw trial in New Orleans and dictated at the highest political level in the Katzenbach memo. ^{in formation} Harry's interviews also were limited by his own inadequate knowledge of the disclosed, the published and to a degree additionally disclosed in subsequently released official records. ^{It was not all accurate.}

While there is more that ~~is~~ relevant to what follows immediately, that those interviews were of doctors who, essentially,

By whom these two were "recognized as trailblazers" ~~is~~
Mantik does not say.

Nor does he reflect awareness that one is a fraud.

And the other of questionable rationality.

How they were "blazing" a "trail" two and three decades
after the first detail reporting on the ^{actual} (medical evidence and a
decade and two decades after a book on the medical evidence
that was of six hundred and sixty-two pages of which about
two hundred were of facsimile reproduction of the basic
medical evidence and what relates to it did not trouble Mantik's
scientific mind.

On this,

knew from very little to ~~almost~~ almost nothing about the actualities of the assassination and when they were used in his books were written by ~~Livingstone~~ Livingstone, who was, essentially, ignorant of the official medical evidence. With this in mind, and remember,

in his there is much more, here are ~~the~~ the first words of Mantik's Acknowledgements eighty page, "The Medical Evidence Decoded": Harry Livingstone and David Lidton are justifiably recognized as primary trailblazers in the medical evidence. Their books served as valuable guides ~~dur~~ during the period of my initiation. I later had the pleasure of meeting and collaborating with both ^u ~~the~~ page 295). (158A here

~~and~~ Aguilar told me that he and Mantik were well aware that Lidton's book was a fraud. *To Mantik, this was "a valuable guide."*

Livingstone's acknowledgment ^{is} ~~to~~ his second book, and how I wish I had access to mine ~~that~~ that are in what ~~is~~ will be the large archive ^{to quote it. He} referred to me as something like the father of all and to whom all were in debt and to whom all ^{he} should listen. As in his ^{third} ~~second~~ book he did not.

When Harry ended his effective promotions of his second book he called me and told me that he was going to the Archives to study the Zapruder film and he ^x asked me what he should look for. I was stunned! "Harry, " I said, "you have ~~published~~ ^u books on the assassination and you have not even looked at the Zapruder film?"

"Yes," he said, "but now I will. ~~What~~ What is most important?"

"^u what I think is most important for you now," I replied, "is what you will not want to see."

"Why is ^u that?" he asked.

"Because it disproves much of what you have been saying,

especially about the medical evidence, the President's injuries," I told him.

He made a short speech about how he ~~always~~ always wanted to be truthful and accurate, my mind flashing back over the opposite in his books. I also wondered if I told him, if his reaction would be rational. Or violent. He had and told me about his having psychological problems in the past. Would he react against me?

When he pressed me I decided to tell him. There was something all those supposed experts all supposedly looking for the truth and so many alleging all sorts of film fakery had ignored after I brought it to light in Whitewash II, in 1966. Such is the nature of the supposed expertise. Including this "primary trail-blazer" and his "valuable guide."

I have long believed that he does not have the Commission's appendix of those twenty-six volumes, of those ten million words, and that if he did, he ignored them almost entirely in what he wrote. So I began with what I had observed, confirmed and then wrote in Whitewash II, that the FBI had suppressed the last nine frames of the Zapruder ~~film~~ ^{slides} when it ~~prep~~ did the photographic work for their printing. When I published this the ARCHIVES PHONED ME IN EMBARRASMENT, I WAS GIVEN AN APOLOGY AND INVITED IN TO SEE THEM. I did not get very far into ~~that~~ ^{those} none slides. As I now recall, with my records no longer at hand, it was the third and the fourth that provided the definitive and ~~my~~ total refusal of my much of the assassination conjecturing and ~~controversy~~ controversy.

What the Commission wanted and Fine, Incorporated had provided was 35 mm. slides, ~~threexhundredzandzfortyxxzhrzxxofzthzmxzxx~~
 Frames 171 through 343 (18H1-80)

As printed they begin on page 1 of volume 18 and end on page 80.

But the last frame on page 80 is frame 343. And the last should have been 343.

These nine frames are the part of ^{the} ~~the~~ most important and ~~valuable~~ valuable film that shows what the FBI and the Commission wanted to avoid. In them the President is thrust violently backward against the back of the seat and then falls over onto his wife. It is all very fast. The nine slides cover only a half of a ~~second~~ second. But those two frames that grabbed my attention instantly show the back ^{of} his head very clearly, if only for a ninth of a second. The slides are ^{of} individual frames enlarged greatly and capable of further great enlargement.

The original Zapruder film's frames are barely more than a quarter of an inch wide. When ~~enlarged~~ enlarged into slides they ^{are 35 millimeters wide.} *That is about an inch and three-quarters.* When I projected them at the Archives it was onto a screen that seemed to be about five feet wide. The amount of magnification is not only incredible- each slide is fantastically clear. Each hair is visible to the naked eye.

And, to shorten a long story, these suppressed slides show ~~that~~ that not only was there no hole and no blood on the back of the President's head, no hair was out of place and there was no ^{rip} rip if blood onto the shirt collar or the jacket, both clearly visible.

Referring back and anticipating what ~~is~~ is to come, this is one of the things ^G I told Gary Aguilar ~~that~~ that he and Mantik should know before they went into what they had started into. *But see below on Gary* Livingston thanks me, assured ^{me} that he wanted only the truth, said he'd go see it and when he did not call me in a couple of days I figured he had decided against seeing much of his work refused.

But after three weeks he did call me. With thanks.

"I've wanted only the truth and I do appreciate your ~~fdi~~ directing me to it," he said.

~~Then~~ ^{Later} I began hearing strange things that Livingstone and his crook of a cop, with other ^{saying and} assistants were up to in Dallas. Some of ~~them~~ ^{this} involved me and some related to what others were ~~told~~ and asked about me. Some of what was reported to me included criminzl acts by him and by his assistants. Efforts at blackmail ^{elderly} to obtain confessions from those innocent of what he ^{made up} ~~imagined~~ and believed. He was even more ~~the~~ the Harry I knew who believed that no matter how wrong he was he was right because he was always right. And ~~he~~ did he believe the impossible!

When that book came out it was the most ~~lieb~~ ^{lieb}ilous outrage I've seen in a field in which such ~~outrages~~ are not uncommon. ^{It was also} ~~terrible, evil, indecent and stupid.~~

Of the rather large number of lies that were obviously lies about me, my unspoken ~~crime~~, as Livingstone sees crimes, was letting him see that he was wrong, basically wrong, when he knows he is never wrong. Therefore I became an enemy and enemies, in that ~~twisted~~ mind of his, are to be fought.

So aside from all the libel ~~and~~ slanders without which he could not have had that book, aside from all his efforts to hurt many others and me in his book that no ^{real} (editor) ^{a publisher} would approve and no decent and responsible high school student would not be ashamed at doing, aside from all ~~those~~ ^{so} many other sick and outrageous fabrications he has ~~of~~ me, he says that H.L. Hunt, the Texas oil baron and extreme right political believer, was the chief assassin- and that I worked for him!

Or, I was part of his imagined and completely impossible assassination conspiracy.

~~And~~ And that is how this "primary ~~tribalize~~ ^{er} bla trailblazer"

into the medical evidence became to Mantik what he also called his "invaluable guide."

This poor man went so wild that it is ~~to~~ wonder that the police did nothing about him but probably they had lived with enough police scandals not to want to make another public. There was an internal investigation that involved Waybright but it was and clearly and the intent to be a whitewash, as it was. But ^{one of} as his targets/told me, Livingstone ^{wrote} ~~not~~ a letter to the hospital in which his target was a respected doctor-and he wrote that letter on police stationery! *I and got away with it. The police did nothing!*

Reports from Texas and if I remember correctly, from elsewhere, had Livingstoneⁿ telling those he wanted to cough up ⁺ what he had made up, that they, he and his cops, were part of ^{official!} ~~a~~ new assassination investigation.

His threat to aging and seriously ill ~~the~~ people should have had him ~~the~~ locked up, but crazy as this simply awful and staggeringly ignorant and untruthful book is, with all that is outrageous if not also criminal in it, no matter how crazy, impossible, libelous, self-promoting and stupid this and other of his writings are, nothing happened to him and those who did have a cause of action against him were too old to even make a start. ^{n/} One died before Livingstone finished ~~with~~ his ~~ter~~ attempted terrorism-and I know one elderly couple he did terrorize, one of whom did ~~die~~.

Over nothing but the insanity that oozed like the slime it was from his sick mind from which so much craziness did ooze and which, once it did ooze, became the reality to him.

This "primary trailblazer" about long books ~~can~~ could be

There is one of those many I do mention because, ignorant of the subject-matter of the assassination ~~that~~ ^{that} is, he is used as a source by the ~~Fetrows~~ Fetzers for all the world as though his word can be taken for anything when in fact ~~it~~ ^{it} can not be. In his two books ~~that~~ ^{that} they cite he has even doctored some of the pictures that have been his specialty. Robert Groden began his work on ~~the~~ the Zapruder film working with me. He then came down from where they then ~~lived~~ ^{men Bayonne} lived in New Jersey, and spent ~~many~~ many weekends with us. I have a screen in our basement that was not ~~used~~ used for any purpose other than for his showing the work, and it was fine work, that he had done photographically during the ~~past~~ ^{previous} week, adding frames for slow motion, things like that. ~~Then~~ ^{It}, when Jerry Policoff got him on the ~~Geraldo~~ ^{old} show, with the Zapruder film, and he got a ~~test~~ taste of that kind of fame, he decided he is the expert he is not. He was made coauthor of a book for the use of his pictures. That book was largely cribbed from me when the editor of ~~men's~~ ^{men's} magazine asked me to discuss with him what I could say ~~about~~ ^{about} the assassination in a series of ~~any~~ ^{when,} articles, ~~with~~ ^{with} for some unexplained reason he had Groden's coming coauthor sitting in. It is surprising that Groden can have spent as much time as he has ~~on~~ ^{on} supposedly on the Kennedy assassination and remained ~~as~~ ignorant of it as he still is -after thirty-five ~~years~~ ^{of it} years. After a responsible beginning, limited to the field in which is he is an expert, he ~~gt~~ ^o got a big head and went commercial, ~~making,~~ ⁿ caring ⁿ only for the money and the attention ~~hd~~ ^{hd} could get. One of his reported deals is selling the autopsy pictures to a supermarket tabloid for \$50,000. And how did he get those autopsy pictures? He stole ~~some~~ ^{some} copies while he worked for the House assassins committee. Fetzers use him as a source.

(I called it the house assassins committee because from
 my first contact with it I knew that assassination truth was ^{not} its
 objective and because, in what it did and did not do, said and
 did not say, it in fact assassinated truth, as these Fetzer &
 Jonny-Come-Lately subject-matter ignoramuses do not understand, ^{an}
 or will not let themselves understand, ^{and did not want to}
 understand anyway.)

he was
 written ~~Should be~~ because ~~his~~ irresponsible and in terms of
 what is ~~#~~right ~~the~~ and wrong, what is decent and indecent (professionally
 un^{acceptable}, his publisher ^{who} ~~was~~ a real stunker who ^{(accepted and then} ~~did~~ his best ^{to} sell
 this corruption of the public mind and this endless hurt of innocent
~~others~~ ^{people} this rewriting of our tragic history to those who had no
 personal knowledge and were impressed by what they read and heard on
 the talk shows that go for the outrageous and because the people
 need the truth ^h they do not get from the Liftons and the Livingstones and
 so many other ^s who, because they are excitingly ~~and excitingly~~
 false, are widely acceptable ^{to all the media} / so that the people can be excited,
 stirred up by the unthink^king and uncaring media to which all these
 phonies^k, liars, stupidities and what they say is justification
 to the media for its ~~trans~~transgressions and abandonment of pro-
 fessional responsibility. 163 A here

There are, unfortunately, many other Liftons and
~~the~~ Livingstones and they are equalled on the official level by the
 two official sources so loved by the Fetzers ^{One is} ~~the~~ committee of the
 House of Representatives that, from my first contacts ~~wixxwix~~ with
 it, I have ~~called~~ ^{was} called the House assassination committee, and the
 Assassination Records Review Board. 163 B here

I have enough contact with both to be confident that
 in what I thought of each I defamed and was unfair to neither.

My first contact was with the staff of the Virginia Congressman
 from Virginia whose resolution was successful in the House and
 did ~~we~~ create the committee. At my suggestion they made numerous
 changes in it. Further changes were made on the floor of the house.
 But the staff did not know much about the assassination.

After it was created and Richard Sprague, the former hotshot

Before I left, with his public behavior much on ~~my~~ mind, I told him that Capitol Hill was ~~it~~ for those who worked there a different world. "I worked ~~here~~ Dick; I know. You keep on the way you have been speaking a~~n~~d with some of what you are doing and they'll cut you off at the ~~kn~~ees."

He said ~~nothing~~ and he did not look happy.

Philadelphia district attorney who had a number of spectacular successful prosecution that got him much attention was appointed counsel for the assassins committee and had gathered much of his staff, he ~~asked~~ ^{asked} me in. When I got there he was in a large room ^{behind a} ~~with~~ many people around him and phones ringing all the time. He had by that time gotten himself much of the wrong kind of publicity for anyone working for the Congress, gotten himself into situations the Congress does not like although they got him ~~so~~ much attention in Philadelphia but all he cared about was the press media attention to him. ^{self} He had already given the impression that he was the boss, not the Congress. All the time I was with him he did not ask me a single meaningful question and I wondered what in ^{why} the world he had asked me in. I no longer remember what the few little and ~~non~~ ^{inconsequential} bits of conversation we had were about but they were not about what his committee should know of or should do. ^{It was} ~~They~~ Sprague the big-shot showing off as he had to the media.

9 Not a serious word about the JFK assassination. Then, he asked me if I would talk to his King assassination staff. I agreed. 164A here

~~I had been~~ In an effort to make the system work by giving the lone accused, James ~~Earl~~ Earl Ray a trial, I had become his ^{successful} investigator. I conducted the investigation for the habeas corpus effort, where others had failed, and then I conducted the investigation for the two weeks of evidentiary hearing, including locating and preparing witnesses. That hearing, as was its doom in the Memphis of that time, did not get him the trial. But ~~the~~ ⁱⁿ the record it made did exculpate James Earl Ray. I have ~~and I~~ ^{and I} copies ~~xxx~~ of the stenographic transcript and have provided copies to others. Ray died without ever being tried for killing Martin

Luther King, Jr. The state could not dare give him a trial because in fact and despite all the propaganda, it had no case on Ray at all.

So, I was taken to another fairly large room where a few people of the King part of the committee were and they had a few questions and little apparent interest. The research director was an exception. He wanted to learn where he could find what they could use. I remember his name: Donovan Gay.

There was, ~~briefly~~ briefly, another and an entirely different attitude from a white man with red hair ~~stylized~~ styled in what was then popular with blacks, an Afro. He was a bit loud, and he was one of the lawyers on the King staff. All he wanted, was ~~for~~ from the questions he asked, was what tended to prove Ray guilty. He did not know much about the crime but he knew what ~~was~~ the policy that by then had been set was. His name was Ozer. When he could not get what did not exist from me he disappeared.

All these people ~~to~~ knew I had written a book about the King assassination, knew that I had the stenographic transcripts of those two weeks to twice-daily hearings, and not a one of them asked even to look at that sworn testimony in federal district court in Memphis. I finally embarrassed one white lawyer enough for him to want them. They did borrow it, ~~little~~ ^{They made} making little or no use of it. Fortunately they also copied ^{of} it because they lost my copy of ~~the~~ ^{one} transcript ~~for~~ ^{of} one of those sessions. They replaced it with the copy they had made.

I did not expect much of that committee when I left and we ~~did~~ did get ever so much less than we should have and they withheld from their record much that was good and useful information while to the degree possible for them they ~~replied~~ ^{disputed} what critics had said.

~~GB As I left the pointlewss meeting with Sprague I told him th tthat I had worked for the Senate , that the ~ongress was entie y different than what he got used to in Philadelphia and that it he continued as he had been doing, embarrassing the Congress, he would be cut off at the knees.~~

BNot long after that Jim Lesar, who has been my counsel in all that F OIALitigation and had been ^{and then was} still cocounsel to Ray, told mw that Ozer ~~want~~ wanted permission to interview Percy Foreman, who as Ray's counsel had put him away when there was no case against Ray, either.. A lawyer may not talk about what he ~~se~~ learner from a client without permission so Foreman could not talk to that Committee, Lesar asked Ozer what use he could make of Foreman. Jim told me Ozer's answer was to prove Ray was giulty.

When what I told Sprage ⁶⁴ would happen to him did happen, ~~One~~ of the lawyers iⁿ the room with him when I was phoned me. As I remember his name he is Ken Brooten, and as I remember where he was from , that is Gainesville, Florida. He was on the ^a staff in the interest of Texas Congressman Henry (?) Gonzalez. He was chief acting chief counsel after Sprague was fired for o nly a shrshort time, ~~but~~ until the committee picked G. Robert Blakey to be chief ^o counsel for the rest of its life.

Brooten phoned me as soon as Sprage was fired. What he said, and ~~this~~ that impressed me so I am confident it is ^{close to} verbatim, ^{remembering} "If ever a man was Merlin, ^{predicting} the future, it was youat that morning with Sprague." That is how I learned that Sprague had been fired, before the papers could ^{report} it.

Blakey left no doubt babout what he was up to once he ~~s~~ started holding ^{U S} ~~the~~ hearings. I'd worked on the hill for four years full ti me and several others part^time and, including hearings

and I knew of no ~~committee~~ committee that had ever begun a hearing as Blakey began in all of them. He began with a narration in which he summarized what the committee would do that day. From the first he had his selection of what was said by some critic whose work he ~~selected~~ selected and then he devoted that hearing was devoted to refuting what ^{he said} that critic had written.

He took ^{on} all but one, ~~in~~. Before then I had been critical of what the committee had been doing and saying. I never asked for anonymity and I was named as the source of criticism he could not disprove. One time he exploded when asked what he could say about what Weisberg had reported about what he and his committee were doing ~~doing~~.

"Weisberg?" he exclaimed in anger. "Weisberg?" ^{he repeated} "He can kiss my ass."

That was Blakey's confirmation of what I had told the press ^{which} ~~the~~ indicated that the committee was going to ^{try to} perpetuate the official fiction of the King's assassination.

I am the one he never named in any of his narrations and ~~one~~ ^{one} was the ~~old~~ book he did not make any effort to comment unfavorably on or to try to prove it was wrong, as he did ~~with~~ just about all others.

So, from the beginning, the House assassins committee kept it no secret that it was going to do all it could to support the official "solutions" to both assassinations. This had the effect of the Congress, which is a separate branch of government, ~~would~~ follow ^{the} the national policy that was laid down in that Katzenbach memo in both parts ^{of} ~~of~~ investigation. Declare guilty with no trial and name the assassin with the lie that the evidence convicted him, as it did not do in either case.

Before that committee was created I had published seven books on the JFK assassination and one on the king assassination. In addition to having been Rays investigator in the King case, I had filed and fought in court a large number of JFK FOIA lawsuit and ~~it~~ I had obtained many thousands of official records that had been withheld. The one on the King case was CA 75-1996. Tgw of the FBI records I obtained in that case said that the FBI wanted to give the committee as little as it could get away with but that if it had to give it anything, they would try to restrict it to part of what it had already disclosed to me.

And, ~~was~~ with Blakey ~~was~~ as the counsel, it had no trouble getting away with that!

Blakey had the power of subpoena if the FBI declined to give him what he wanted. Aside from the political preconception that dominated his thinking and interests and that of most of the committee members interests and ~~no~~ political desires, the rumor I got from inside the committee is that Blakey hoped that what he did with that committee would get ~~him~~ ^{him} to his becoming attorney general. (But all he got was to be another law professor, at Notre Dame.)

After the committee's life ended I saw an FBI record saying how much ~~it~~ ^{The FBI} had given the committee. The fact is that on the JFK assassination what it got, and I've forgotten the exact numbers, was in the area of about half of what it had been forced in court to give me. Or, it got about half of what was already public and any citizen could see by just walking in the FBI public reading room and asking to see it! Copies also were readily available there.

Blakey had gone to the committee from the Justice Department's

Organized Crime Section. He had a real hangup on the mafia and, with the strength of that hangup and his belief that what he believed was right even though he had not a single real fact to support it, ~~his~~ ^{he} misdirected the committee in that direction, blamed the JFK ~~ass~~ assassination on the mafia in a book he co-authored with ~~with~~ the ~~gone~~ former editor on Life magazine, Ricgard Billings, and he got so many ^{mafia} ~~air~~ records from the FBI that the number of pages he ~~got~~ got, in all, from the FBI greatly exceeded the number I had ~~obtained~~ obtained on the JFK assassination.

But, in the known evidence, there is no basis for any reason to believe that the mafia was ~~the~~ the assassin. ^{before} ~~before~~ Blakey took that committee over, the evidence of which he should have been aware ^{should} have told him that going after the mafia ~~was~~ would be ^a ~~a~~ wild-goose chase.

And reduce even more that he could ~~do~~ do by way of any real investigation. But his ~~record~~ record is that he never had any interest in any real investigation.

It was so little of what could be ~~was~~ called a real investigation in either case that anything the committee got ^{that} that was of any real interest it first ^{it} got ~~by~~ by accident and then it suppressed.

I used some of ~~what~~ what it ~~suppressed~~ suppressed in the JFK case in

NEVER AGAIN!

Most committee's want independent minds working for them but not Blakey. He fired ~~them~~ them as soon as he identified them.

So, it was Blakey's committee and it was Blakey ^{Saw to} who ~~saw~~ saw it that the committee did all it could hope to ~~get~~ get away with by way of ~~official~~ official support for the official assassination mythologies- again ⁱⁿ ~~to~~ accord with that Katsenbach ⁱⁿ memo and that ~~policy~~ policy ~~was~~ approved

at the highest level, including the new President, the Sunday night after the assassination, the night before the assassinated President was buried.

Blakey's committee had a larger appropriation of money ~~to it~~ than, as of then, had ever been appropriate to any committee. But nobody familiar with its records could believe that. It adds no real fact to what was available on both crimes and it went out of its way, as Blakey saw to it, that it would not charge the perjurious testimony taken about the Kennedy assassination and its prior official investigation. *It also accepted perjury.*

Of all ^a ~~the~~ ^{of that committee,} that can be said, and it would be possible for a ^a large number of ~~books~~ books to be written reporting the factual errors and other committee mistakes. I mention just one that I sued in NEVER AGAIN! BUSH IN IL US because it illustrates, ^{it} ~~is~~ typical and is repeated ~~again~~ endlessly.

The illustration I pick makes it clear that to the degree it could hope to get away with, the Assassination Records Release Board created by a 1992 Act of the Congress, was another Blakey.

That the autopsy prosecutors lied under oath about the medical evidence in the JFK assassination I proved in the first book on that ~~topic~~ ~~distinction~~, in 1965. That lying is under oath and because it was material, very material, it was perjury felony.

But more than most perjuries, that was to continue to prevent assassination information from being known. Not just withholding it, which did happen. Not just hiding it, which also did happen, which also did happen. But by literally destroying that. If that act was not a serious crime it should have been. The perjury about it also was serious but it was more serious because the perjury was about information in the official investigation of the