

Dear Ms. DeGroot,

11/14/00

Please excuse even the typing of the enclosed critique of Luker and his ignorant and hasty libels and other lies. Better is not now possible for me. I've had more medical problems and a fever and as I told you, I'm 87.

As I also told you my work in recent years has been the making of a record for history that is accurate.

It is for that purpose that I've written more than can be used as a response. But I hope you'll read it and learn from it. While it is rough and needs editing, it is factual. As the Lukers and the Kunitzes rarely are.

Kurtz did two books and I have a book-length manuscript on each. It is not easy to believe that a college professor would be as steadfastly ignorant of the fact of such an important event in history and then be stupid enough to present himself as an unquestionable subject-matter expert.

I hope you people will rethink your position that your Journal cannot be so easily used for propaganda, for hurt to those some self-important ignoramus does not like and so that it cannot be misused to corrupt and lie about our precious history.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg
Harold Weisberg

And please excuse the delay. These kind of interruptions are less uncommon with octogenarians, who have more and different problems, medical and other. This is the first day I've been at my typewriter since I wrote the note above.

Thanks to Luker the abdications and other failures of historians has been on my mind. On the basis of fact rather than official propaganda I know of no major historian or historians publication that, for all of them there are and for all the published criticism of the official assassination mythology, has done a single real and substantive criticism of what is, in our society (as you historians should have told the people), a de facto coup d'etat. There has been much irresponsible, unfactual and often irrational criticism by those who seek fame and fortune and those who seek attention, but I know of no single factual presentation by any historian or historical publication. And on so significant an event in our society. I have done what a single and ^{again} ~~man~~ man can do. In addition to the ten printed books there are ^{not printed} ~~some~~ some ^{and unique} ~~close~~ close to 200,000 words, trying to make the possible record for history. With ~~an~~ ^{an} ~~unusual~~ ^{unusual} endorsement from the FBI I sued so often to rescue so much from secrecy: I know more about the assassination than anyone working for the FBI. They said that in federal district court in Washington in one of my many suits against them. No dry wells. Sincerely, 11/12/00, what a day!

Dear Ms. Meyerowitz,

11/14/00

Please excuse even the typing of the enclosed critique of Luker and his ignorant and hasty libels and other lies. Better is not now possible for me. I've had more medical problems and a fever and as I told you, I'm 87.


as I also told you my work in recent years has been the making of a record for history that is accurate.

It is for that purpose that I've written more than can be used as a response. But I hope you'll read it and learn from it. While it is rough and needs editing, it is factual. As the Lukers and the Kurtzes rarely are.

Kurtz did two books and I have a book-length manuscript on each. It is not easy to believe that a college professor would be as steadfastly ignorant of the fact of such an important event in history and then be stupid enough to present himself as an unquestionable subject-matter expert.

I hope you people will rethink your position^{so} that your Journal cannot be so easily used for propaganda, for hurt to those some self-important ignoramus does not like and so that it cannot be misused to corrupt and lie about our precious history.

Sincerely,


Harold Weisberg