

Insert at an appropriate point where sequence of shots is discussed and where ~~it~~^{it} can mean more because as of the time the Commission had planned to have its Report out the ^draft had an entirely ~~id~~ different sequence

*Print
S-B
Penny*

Gerald Ford was the FBI's informer inside the Commission. ^{and did} He could/leak to the FBI what even the lawyers on the staff did not know, what went on in the executive sessions.

July 3, 1964

In a memorandum intended for Hoover and to be bucked up to him through the regular channel of the next higher official, Assistant Director Alex Rosen of the General Investigative Division discussed some of the contents of what he said was the third draft of the report. He stated that "There will be a fourth draft made available through a source by July 23, 1964, which will contain contain the final conclusions and recommendations." 1/ 17 62-109090-185

[Large handwritten mark]

That source was Gerald Ford. He became an FBI informer on his own initiative.

This is specific in another memo intended for Hoover written by Cartha DeLoach on December 12, 1963. 2/ 2/62-109090-36. *Search*

Under the conclusory chapter title, "The Shots from the Texas School Book Depository" (which remained and is Chapter III in the Report as published) the third draft of the Report "concludes" the opposite of what the FBI stated and continues to adhere to, "that two bullets caused all of the wounds suffered by President Kennedy and Governor Connally" ...one of the shots, mostly like the first or the third entirely missed the Presidential car and its occupants." This is ^{also} the exact opposite of what the Commission finally "concluded," which is that the second shot missed.

WCC

*X-F.U.
Attachments
#1*

What this unintentionally discloses is that the Commission was making evidence and conclusions up, not basing them on its self on fact and evidence, with changes in what it made up ^{re-}made when it dawned on the Commission that what it was saying was impossible by its own evidence. *If this is true about what the Commission finally concluded - it is very possible.*

From its own reconstruction of more than two months earlier the Commission knew what if finally concluded, that for it to be able to attribute all the shots to Oswald the first of the officially acknowledged three shots had to have hit ^{of} both men. The Zapruder film, as the Report states, shows the President reacting to having been hit by its Frame 225. The Commission invented the timing of the first shot at Frame 210. It was a physical impossibility to fire a second shots ~~in~~ ^{the} in fewer than the 15 frames this represents, a time of

less than a ^{one} single second.

The Zapruder film also depicts with horrible clarity the fact that between Frames 312 and 343 Kennedy's head explodes. In the final version this is the last shot. Even making up a shot after that is irrational, but for the third draft to state that the third shot could have been the one that missed is more than merely irrational. It is dishonest and reflects the underlying hysteria as it groped toward validating the conspiracy with which the government first approached this assassination and its investigation.

*Excise 2
graph*

(Reflecting the FBI's attitude and self-concept, the FBI that referred to itself as the "Seat of Government," Rosen refers to all those who disagree with the FBI's untenable conclusions as "our adversaries.")

*Can
don't know*

Rosen concludes his memo with the opinion that "It is evident from this draft that the FBI's investigation of the assassination of President Kennedy was essentially correct, and that the Commission has not uncovered any startling information that was not developed in our investigation. The Commission's report is a substantiation of our initial report...." Both statements are not correct as they relate to such basic so-called evidence as, for example, the sequences of shots and their impacts.

This July 3 version of the final Report was drafted more than eight months after the assassination, less than three months before its issuance. And here was the Commission still just making up the most basic of its conclusions for which there is not a shred of evdeic evidence, its official account of the shooting

And the FBI revelled in it.

All all the Commission's apologistd and sycophants not the least of whom in recent years was the AMA, its respected Journal and its Gang of Five, and then the Commission's former staff of lawyers all so loud in support of the last of the Commission's fabrications of the evidence of shooting and profound in their determined ignorance of the basic fact - which had been available to the major media ^{and to them all} for fourteen years at the time JAMA's first deification of this arrant official dishonesty appeared.

It tells us still again that not a word from any of these people say can be believed.

It is also true that the Commission had completed the third draft of its final ~~xxx~~ report without having interviewed most of the witnesses it did interview! And whose testimony it published!

Yet without having interviewed most of its witnesses it had ^{written} drafted major parts of its final Report- 475 pages of it!

Can they- any one of them - have had any real concern for fact, for truth, for the nation's integrity? Or for their own integrity, personal and professional?

Before this ¹thrd ¹draft was written the Commission had taken all its testimony on the sequence of the shots. It had, in fact, planned for the Report to be produced in June, the month before this third draft. Yet only a short time later, in its final Report, it concluded, based on the same evidence only, the exact opposite of what it concluded in this third draft.

Can the same evidence that "proved" ^{only} either the first or the third shot missed, ^{neither did?} also mean that they did not, either one of them miss? And that the one shot ^{this third} ~~the~~ draft says could not have missed have been the only one that did miss? *all on the basis of the same evidence*

Has the major media, typified and represented by JAMA, have done or intended doing its job so necessary in a society like ours when this and so much more like it has been open and available to all since January, 1978, [?] and they all ignored it and instead, almost all extolled the JAMA/JFK assassination propaganda, almost none asking a single pertinent question or expressing any doubts at all?

Does this not tell us all we need to know about those professing themselves assassination experts in their ^{publishing} ~~writing~~ of unproven theories they love ^{as they} and fact and ^{as} truth when they, too - all of them - also ignore all that has so long been available to them all?

Here again we have the validation of the classics, Poe's Purloined Letter, Alice in Wonderland and Orwell's 1984 as texts and as guides in thinking an inquiring, the validation of the simple truths of our early educations, and they are lost in both extremes, of ~~the~~xx errant officialdom and its apologists and supporters, particularly in the major media, and on the opposite extreme of those who have confused the people even more with their vapid theories they represent as fact. ^{ff} All failed the nation and continue to fail it.

insert on third draft of report
How many knew the truth and lived three decades with clamped tongues and did not tell the truth? *Or went to their graves without telling the truth?*

How many in the FBI alone saw this Rosen ~~xxx~~ memo intended for Hoover and were and remained silent while supporting what it proves to be false and of intended dishonesty? The original routing ^{alone} includes ^{all} the eight top men in the FBI ~~under~~ Hoover. Four of them headed divisions of the FBI. Other copies were distributed in those FBI divisions. ^{Other} copies are filed in all the FBI's main assassination files used by all in the FBI involved in this supposed investigation.

They are all guilty of that terrible crime in a ~~society~~ society like ours, the awful crime of silence.

This is also another illustration of the great danger inherent in limitless and improper secrecy, the secrecy with which the Commission of such member and staff eminence ^{manufactured} a false solution that conforms perfectly with the needs ^{government} of the conspiracy formulated before the President was in his grave; the secrecy of the FBI which denied any access to this and so many other records like it for 15 years and then buried it in the indigestible mass of some 90,000 pages of records all made available in two releases each of which overwhelmed the small fraction of the major media with any interest in them at all,