

In his chapter that is an untenable argument (without ignorance of the established fact) Twyman does manage a single quotation from Zapruder's Warren Commission testimony. It is at the top of the first page of this chapter, above both the beginning of its text and the chapter title. With all that has evidentiary value in Zapruder's testimony, of which he saw some earlier, there is what can have evidentiary value in the part of the lengthy paragraph of Zapruder's testimony that Twyman uses -- but eliminates that and starts what, as he presents it appears to the reader to be a complete quotation of that chapter, of which it is but about half:

Mr. Zapruder: . . . *I started yelling, "They killed him, they killed him," and I just felt somebody had ganged up on him and I was still shooting the pictures until he got under the underpass -- I don't even know how I did it. And then, I didn't even remember how I got down from that abutment there, but there I was, I guess, and I was walking toward -- back toward my office and screaming, "They killed him, they killed him," and the people that I met on the way didn't even know what happened and they kept yelling, "What happened, what happened, what happened?"* *Abraham Zapruder's testimony to the Warren Commission* (Emphasis added)

We also see in this Twyman's concept of scholarship and research if not more, his omission of the citation to the volume and page. That does lead to wonder about whether he copied it from that page, which would not be possible if he did not have it before him, or if he quotes it from a secondary source, which is quite possible, if not more than possible. The paragraph from which Twyman eliminated half and with that eliminated evidence of several kinds, about the crime and about the film, which means about that "forging" fiction, is from page 571 of Volume VII.

If Twyman had those twenty-six volumes and had that one before him while he quoted it, if he had only turned the page he would have seen in Zapruder's testimony his belief that the shooting had come from over his shoulder, which means from the Grassy knoll. That belief, in fact, was rushed to Washington that very night with one copy of the film Zapruder gave the Secret Service. It was taken to the plane by an agent whose name Twyman does not mention, Max O. Phillips. While not mentioning Phillips' name Twyman runs on at great length about chain of possession, from which he automatically

eliminates Phillips by either being too ignorant of the fact to know about him or for another reason or reasons.

If Twyman had that volume and that page before him, he had to have seen that Zapruder volunteered the information that he had seen the President hit by a bullet before he disappeared briefly from Zapruder's camera when his limousine went behind that road sign. This was, as we saw earlier, absolute proof of a conspiracy. Here is that testimony:

no actual
upper
lower
caps.

- Q Mr. LIEBELER. As you stood there on this abutment with your camera, the motorcade came down Houston Street and turned left on Elm Street, did it not?
- A Mr. ZAPRUDER. That's right.
- Q Mr. LIEBELER. And it proceeded then down Elm Street toward the triple underpass; Is that correct?
- A Mr. ZAPRUDER. That's correct. I started shooting-when the motorcade started coming in, I believe I started and wanted to get it coming in from Houston Street.
- Q Mr. LIEBELER. Tell us what happened as you took these pictures.
- A Mr. ZAPRUDER. Well, as the car came in line almost--- I believe it was almost in line -- I was standing up here and I was shooting through a telephoto lens which is a zoom lens and as it reached about -- I imagine it was around here -- I heard the first shot and I saw the President lean over and grab himself like this (holding his left chest area).

S

Zapruder, whose vision was focused through that zoom lens, which enlarged the President in his eye, saw the President hit before he disappeared behind that sign, as we saw earlier with additional quotation, before Frame 190, this is on the page from which Twyman quotes without identifying that page, and Twyman makes no mention of it. This again confronts us with the choice of deciding whether Twyman suppressed this essential information or was too ignorant to understand it or did not have those twenty-six volumes and thus did not know what Zapruder actually did testify to. But with Twyman's record, there is the possibility it was all three, not one or two of the three.

(It ought not be assumed from this omission of Phillips from all nine hundred and twenty-five pages of which so much is devoted to the Twyman argument that the Zapruder film was forged; with so much about the chain of possession of that film when Phillips did have a print of it he rushed it to Washington (as ordered), that Twyman's omission of Phillips' name means that Twyman did not have