

Wallace Milam
360 Greenway Ave, # 4
Dyersburg, TN 38024

2/28/93

*filed
guinn*

Dear Wallace,

Thanks for your interesting 2/12 Guinn memo. It should provoke much interest.

I am glad you knew that outside HSCA Guinn admitted the specimens he tested did not match the official evidence. ^I planted that question and Lifton's determination to follow his own agenda prevented that reporter from carrying it forward. Lifton interrupted still again and turned him off when cutting him out.

I wish I could recall where I have two papers on the use of copper in identifications. I used them in CA ~~7907~~ CA 75-226. One was for the Canadian government and one was by Guinn for the DJ. ^He said copper was superior of identification.

Yet he did not use the copper for HSCA and as I recall did not use some specimens because he said there was too much copper, it would overwhelm. I think that was a ~~front~~ front-seat fragment.

May have been the Journal of Forensic Sciences but I'm not now sure at all.

I do not recall seeing the news story reporting that Guinn had tested the paraffin tests in 1964 and I wonder if he really did because ^I have no record indicating it. Your treatment of this is OK. Not a criticism. I'm just wondering.

Gallagher saw to it he was not involved in the FBI's Oak Ridge NAAs.

I've ignored the few typos. You'll catch them.

That Guinn did for those test remove a specimen of lead core from the pristine bullet, the one found in the rifle, destroys the FBI's excuse for not having done that.

When we deposed ^Gallagher on that in CA 75-0322, that is what he testified to. ^alesar any also remember that.

Thanks for the paper, good luck with its presentation, and

best wishes,

filed