

Sylvia Meagher Corres.

Re: Leo Sauvage(1965-1966)

SM letter to editor of The New Leader, Jan. 8, 1966.

Re: SM in defense of three articles by LS in THL(Nov. 22, Dec. 20, and Jan. 3, 1965). SM attacks the critics who attacked LS's work. Example of what the dissenters faced. . .in terms of being responded to not with facts or a refutation of their evidence but by attacks on personalities,etc. not any "painstaking study of the testimony and evidence. . . ." This is a good example.

SM to LS, July 30,1966

Re: SM comments on LS's The Oswald Affair. She was reading from LS's English preparation.

"Your book makes a devastating and indelible attack on the apparatus and its creatures, for which every person who retains respect for decency must be gratified."

Interesting that in this folder all her letters to LS begin "Dear Mr. Sauvage." I did not see a copy of any letters from Sauvage to her.

Copy of LS's review of Epstein's Inquest in this folder.

SM to LS, May 17, 1966

re: Reference to a HW letter that W sent to Lane's publishers and sent round-robin to some of the critics of the WR. This letter is not in the folder. [check w/ HW correspondence]. She must be referring to HW's "paranoia" here. She writes: "Weisberg has been living too long with only chickens for company, and geese. This could never happen to someone who keeps a cat."

Copies of responses to LS's three articles in TNL by SM, HW, and others.