

Dick Russell  
6 Fort Terrace  
Boston, MA 02119

1/23/93

Dear Dick,

Your explanation of your gross and deliberate violation of the conditions of my Castorr interview, that none of it be used without the consent of both Castorrs, the first words in the transcript, is,

"It simply wasn't ~~possible~~ something that I thought as necessary, given the circumstances of my access to the interview and the deadline pressure I was under with the book." This explains nothing other than you have an exalted self-concept and no ethics at all. There are no conditions that eliminate the stipulation that the agreement of ~~both~~ both of the people <sup>I</sup> interviewed was necessary for any public use of it.

Moreover, suppose it had been stolen from me? Or that <sup>H</sup>ensterwald had borrowed it and failed to return it?

If as you say you thought being ethical was not necessary for you, you say all that need be said about you.

You also give a false description of it in saying that you got it by "making a photocopy of it along with dozens of other files from the Garrison investigation." This says it is part of the Garrison investigation. That is a lie. No connection of any kind.

You cannot have missed this controlling stipulation in the transcript and you did not in my letter. You just ignore it and try to tell me how important you and your crappy book are - and that licenses you to lack ethics.

If I did not trust people nobody would have access to my files because I have neither the time nor the physical capability of supervising access. Nor the desire. I have no idea how Bud got that transcript but that is not material. The restriction is, it cannot be missed and <sup>K</sup>ing <sup>S</sup>hit that you are nothing applied to you in your lust to exploit and commercialize a subject about which your major qualifications for writing are an abysmal ignorance of the fact of the crime.

<sup>E</sup>rrant government has and has had no greater allies than those of you who exploit and commercialize by untenable, irrelevant or impossible theories you present as fact and as solutions. At your best you confuse the people as government cannot.

<sup>S</sup>hame on you for not abiding by the normal ethics of writing!

<sup>F</sup>urther shame on you for a non-responsive reply and this disgusting display of <sup>S</sup>elf-importance!

Harold Weisberg

