

EX SESS
Re: Inventory of FBI Control --File # 2
Page One

*Relocated
to front of
62-109090
collection*

#1 three-page note from Hal on FBI Commission file. New forme is note that this file has a 16-page memo on derogatory info. on the WC staff.

This note is very useful and should be reviewed.

#2 FBI memo on Wesley Frazier's testimony on the Oswald sack

#3 Several memos on Hoover's Nov. 25 phone conversations. This should be filed with Hoover phone conversastions or stay in this file as dupes.

#4 Rankin to Hoover Nov. 2, 1964 re: Rankin asks Director to try and find out who gave the description of Oswald other than Howard Brennan. Rankin is assuming that FBI is continuing its investigation into the assassination. This request comes after the W C Report has been released.

Hoover responds Nov. 12, 1964 w/ reasons why no further investigation is contemplated.

#5 Belmont to Tolson 4/24/'64 On Hosty's affidavit that he never knew of any reason or had information about Oswald's potential violence. Part of the early cover-up. This is peparation of Hosty's affidavit to and Belmont "suggests" soe of the language that should appear in that affidavit.

#6 Hoover response to Rankin March 18, 1964 re: request about a neutron act. test to determine whether a bullet made the hole in JFK's shirt collar and tie. Hoover's response was that a n.a.test would not be helpful.

#7 Brennan to Sullivan 12/19/'63 re: mentions the New Republic article co-authored by S. Lynd, "Seeds of Doubt" impressing the Commissioners. Hoover notes that DeLoach should brief Ford on the article and its authors.

8 Former SA Schott to Weisberg on the Hosty note. He speculates about Hoover being isolated by the "palace guard." That they may never have told Hoover about the Hosty note and orderd Shanklin to destroy it without telling Hoover. he notes that Mohr may have been the one to take this initiative.

#9 Rosen to Belmont 4/8/'64 notes that Willins handles Commission matters when Rankin is out of town.

#10 Contains Gemberling's affidavit explaining why Osewald's address book did not contain Hosty's names, phone number, license plae, etc. whe it was delivered to the WC. The cover memo for this is dated 2/25/'64

*Hoover file
Folder #3
me*

*Added to
Hoover
file*

EX SESS

Re: Invesntory of FBI Control File # 2

Page Two

11 FBI description of the Mannlicher/Carcano

#12 FBI DL to FBIHQ 2/24/'64 re: On the missing Hosty reference in Oswald's address book. *** See the Hoover note at end. Hoover refers to all this equivocation . . . "we have crrated a suspicion we are hiding something." From this it seems very likely that the "palce guard" may have not told the old man what was going on in this instance.

#13 Gemberling to J. Gordon Shanklin 4/7/'64 re: Martin film and all those who took pictures and are part of corporation calling itself the Dallas Cinema Ass. Corporation. All names to be interviewed by DL SAs. Were they? Did Martin's film show LHO at front of the TSBD building at time of the shooting? See in WW II and WW III.

#14 Shanklin to File 1/28/'64 re: affidavits from all FBVI agents in DL to see if they made any effort to mafe Oswald an informer or paid out any monies to same.

#15 FBI memo 12/30/63 re: a Florence Andrews who from features believes that Oswald was standing in doorway of TSBD at time of shooting. FBI remarks that this was Billy Lovelady.