

EX SESS

Re: Notes from Unnamed MS.

Page four

@Has treats Dr. McClellan opinion that Kennedy was hit from the front by a shot to the left side of his head. He discusses this in this chapter. It was substantiated by a Dr. Stewart who was never deposed or questioned by the WC. This frontal shot adds to what Perry said about the neck wound., We would have two shots from the front in this case.

@ How Specter treated Perry in his two interviews is discussed.

Note: Hal deals with the staff and its government connections beginning on p. 327. See Appendix IV, 4645 ff for bios. Of the staff and members and their government connections. 12 staff members are listed in Report (R479-81) All but one had been government employees or were at the time of their appointment to the Commission. (p. 327ms.).

Chapter 28 The WP Gets Interested

Deals w/ how the WP ignored WWI.

@Hal has useful stuff on Shaw and Shires on p. 335. Who was responsible for dealing w/ Connally's wounds and what they concluded. Shaw statement in (6H91) and Shires who was never called before the WC.

@p. 336 ms. Hal points to the weasel-worded statement in the WC that it "it was not necessary to any essential findings of the Commission to determine which shot hit Connally. . . ." It was not only "necessary" it was essential that the Report be crystal clear on this. This to be used in article and explained as necessary. Check quote in WCR. It should be in the Intro or first chapter.

Chapter 29 Specter's Memos

@Notes Specter's memos that were suppressed by the WC found in CD 5; he mentions FBI memos found in folio 157 that would have exploded the s-b theory as a fraud. L

@ On 11/28, '63 SA Drain received the Connally X-ray negatives.

@Notes that Specter on April 15, '64 prepared a letter under Rankin's signature for witnesses Shaw and Gregory (not Shires who was Connally's doctor) asking them to bring all X-rays with them to WC because the WC

3 Note From
Winnon Mr

was interested in ascertaining from the X-rays what metallic fragments, if any, remained in the Governor's wrist and femur. Hal's adds more on p. 340.

@ Specter in thank you note to Price on June 5, '64 for sending X-rays of Connally to the Commission.

@Hal goes on about what the X-rays showed and the Drs. Report on the fragment in Connally's thigh. All makes the s-b theory impossible.

@ Hal goes into the fragments/weight and the loss from EX #399 on p. 342, again destructive of the s-b theory.

@ There is more on Specter's memos.

@ January 23rd memo entitled: Memorandum for Things to Be Done and Some Problems Solved. (Adams named is attached w/ Specter's),. Hal has note on Adams and his strange silence and early departure from the WC. There are 113 items listed. One is to acquire Z's camera. It was never acquired by the Commission until two years after the WC closed shop. In one item Specter mentions the problem with JFK's *back* wound. (p. 394 ms).

@ Specter's questioning of Siebert & O'Neill. Results seen in March 13, 1964 memo. Galloway was also questioned. All three referred to the JFK back wound. Specter records "back" wound in this report that was suppressed in the Report and H/E. Mentioned also is Ad. Holloway's demonstration on Specter of EX # 399's trajectory. (I have this from Rankin papers). The key word in Specter's rendition is "assumption"> (Galloway)

@ Specter on Humes/Boswell interviews follows in the itemized report. They also refer to the back wound. This was three months after their autopsy report and they refer to the wound as a back wound. More on this.

Hal shifts to the Eisenberg reconstructions. Might want to review E's memos and p. 347 ff of ms.

I should expect to find in the File that the e memos were longer than the ones I have in my file. That in short, mine were expurgated for reasons that Hal goes into.

@ Mentions Dolce and Lights' objections to s-b doing the damage it did to Connally's wrist. Dolce not called as a witness. Light would testify before the WC (R585) and agree at the time with the WC re: s-b. See p. 350 ms). But he had agreed about the impossibility of the wrist wound from CE #399. Light was a government employee.\\

@Hal looks at the Eisenberg reconstructions in April. Here Drs light and Dolci opine that Connally could not have been struck by the same bullet that hit JFK. Hal notes that Dolci was never called as a WC witness.

@ Hal's treatment of these April reconstructions needs to be reviewed.

@ Note on 2nd FBI report of 1/13, 1964 (Commission File 107). Now w/ presumably access to the autopsy report the FBI still held to three shots, three hits.

@ Notes the FBI scale model, etc and all the trimmings. This is File 298. It insists on three shots and three hits.

SS too never varied from this scenerio.

He then moves into the curbstome matter, the Tague bullet. It was necessary for the WC to come up w/ a explanation for a missed shot ans still hew to the three bullets scenerio. I'll go into Tague and the reporting of the curbstgone shot on Nov. 22, 1963.

@Shifts to the shot from the front. Hal notes that in Vol. 17 the first 22 pages are series of medical reports from drs treatuing JFK and Connally.

Page 3