

Zorro's Notes

Forward;

Point made that major media support of the WCR. . . Explains why the major media in NO dismissed by suppression Finck's relevations.

Quick overview of facts that exculpate Oswald.

Notes here the origins of the formation of the WC. I think he uses the D. Gibson on Dean Eugene Debs Rostow conversations with Katz. For this he cites Phone conversations on LBJ Library logs between Rostow and Katz. Rostow recommended a Commission. Katz adds his imperative in Nov. 25 memo to Moyers.

The Katz memo is the government line on the assassination. It is out at the get-go. Evans memo from the 62-109060 file that Hoover concurred with this approach. Followed by citing Moyers phone conversation and Rostow right after Oswald was killed.

(See SS WH phone logs). LBJ/Hoover phone logs show that they are in sinc w/ those Sunday conversations. Once Oswald was out of the picture the official line was solidly in place. (See "Conclusions First" from NA>

Hal notes that DeLoach/Hoover record in 62-10i9060-NR in Section 73 of that file Confirms that Hoover reached his conclusions the day of the assassination. This may be the deLoach memo on hoover's conversation with Manchester

Point: Hoover solution was there was no conspiracy. But FBI memos carry a conspiracy slug—e or caption of either Russia or Cuba or "Internal Security-----Russia." As examples.

Check w/ my FBI Form 105.
Not

2. The ARRB—Another Whitewash

Point: See Government records (HSCA) of the shooting results of the best shots at Army's Edgewood Proving Grounds. None could duplicate LHO's alleged shooting. Problem that Mosk had. . . because he and staffers had these reports. Se WW I p. 26 and in NA w. greater detail on pp, 301-305.

Evidence

Stray note: The TCI was largely derivative in that it began w/ the WC's main assumptions about LHO as lone assassin, it did not real investigation, and its records are largely from the DL police, which did no investigation of the crime itself.

Interposed to MS

Back to Katz letter and phone conversation w/ Moyers. On Sunday. The FBI's copy of the Katz letter in 62-109060-NR (part of serial 1399). The JD copy of the Katz letter was withheld from the files for 18 months, The D of J file copy from its 129-11 file did not Reach the Records Branch until May 21, 1965.

Use w/ at source

OK

Hal goes on about the Evans to Belmont 11/29/'63 memo. Katz wanted the FBI Report made public. (I think it was to kill off rumors about a conspiracy in domestic and And foreign press sine die). Hoover refused this option. Reason because of the "sketal" nature of the FBI report. FBI Report was merely a diatribe against Oswald. It had nothing on autopsy; little on the shotting; nothing on the missed bullet; and nothing on JFK's wounds, etc. Good reason to keep it from the public. There are ample docs. to this effect.

*Interpret
→ MS.*

Make point about C. Evans that he was a JFK favorite. He was dismissed by hoover as WH liaison as soon as LBJ took over the WH. Evans was never called to testify before the WC.

?

Hal makes point that ARRB did not go after the recoirds that Liebler and Slawson took W/ them after the Commission closed down. What records they boast about getting from The Minsk KGB but never released them. Mailer and Schiller got KGB records and used them in Mailer's Oswald's Tale. Where are the Board's Russian records?

2. Great Expectations

Scrambled mess that centers on the Garriosn fiasco. The only sense I can make is that the ARRB's acquisition of Garrison's records is that they acquired trash. A mountain of Irrelevant garbage.

3. About the ARRB and Its Staff

Mostly a condensation and invective that is found in "Bogus" ms.

4. Proving the Commission Wrong Makes It Right

Hal's accusation that the mandate of the ARRB was to bring new assassination material to light and not to defend the WCR's conclusions.

Keep in mind

Hal identifies Carl Erdley as from JD Civil Division. Makes point that Borad did not try to

Acquired JD records on just what Boswell was doing in NO during the Finck's deposition.

*Use - up
Boswell*

Hal notes the exchange between Gunn and Boswell about Boswell's connections with the JD about the autopsy. This is in B's deposition up to p. 215. Especially Boswell's letter to Erdley mention on p. 215. Gunn says he never saw it. . . . The cardinal question in all this was what business did the federal government have in interfering in a local prosecution. The ARRB should have forced this correspondence and Boswell's dealing w/ the JD into the open. The ARRB failed to get the story behind the story. (My footnote To this in article).

*Use it
Anker*

OK

All I will say is that the ARRB fell short of its mandate in not making public this Boswell/Eardley business.

Just see example

Hal notes here Eardley's role in getting the JD panel (the so-called Ramsey Clark panel) To end by supporting the WCR. He claims that Eardley leaned on Russell Fisher to whip the others in line in concluding that although the autopsy had the fatal head shot 100mm Lower than it was (4 inches higher) and that there were metal frags in the neck which Overburdens the SBT and CE # 399. . . . Was Boswell in on this deal to get a govt. panel to report on the rightness of the WCR in 1968?

7 might want to review JD Panel in Hal's autopsy

The pattern here seen in the JD panel and the HSCA panel that although the autopsy was wrong in so many critical areas it was right in its final conclusions. . . .

5. The Board's Self-Characterization in Depositions

Makes point that is deposing Humes (and Boswell) Gunn never gets hard nosed about The destruction of autopsy records. This scandal and unwarranted and unprofessional Action by burning of autopsy notes never seems to rattle Gunn. (I need to say this in a footnote)

More on the notes. He cites Boswell's interview w/ Levine. According to Levine story Boswell said all the notes were preserved. That was not true.

Note - for notes

On the phone calls to Dallas we have Manchester who says that one was made the night of the night of the autopsy. (I might want to check this out). Then There was Ebersole's testimony. . . Hal makes point that HSCA did interviewed Ebersole but did not release his testimony until after the 1992 JFK Act. (Check on this as to dates).

Investigation article also.

P 433

Hal mentions that Boswell told Levine that he remembered taking measurements using the vertebrae. . . . But all measurements in the final autopsy are from moveable parts of the body----the shoulder and the mastoid process. Suggesting here that this was eliminated with the revised draft of the protocol.

could use

6. Centering on the Autopsy

Hal on the authorization form for the JFK autopsy. Not found in the JFK 4-1 file. He tells the story in PM on pp. 101---102. He found a copy in TCI papers. The WC had tried to hide the authorization form. The original should have been filed with the JFK 4-1 file. The af found in the papers from Carr was a deliberate effort to hide it; and it was not the original copy but a poor copy.

Kennedy use in article a footnote

Gunn never asked Humes if he burned the authoroization form.

Is the authorization form part of the WC record?



Check the list of things that Galloway sent to Burkley. That list of 11 items. See p. 102 from PM on Hal's notes. The form says that authorization form signed by Bobby Kennedy was in this list. Continued on p. 102 of PM. Need to review these pages in PM.

Hal makes point about the time Humes burned the first autopsy draft. It was not Sunday morning of 24th. . . He tells McCloy in hearings that he was working on the report when the news of Oswald's shooting came over the TV. (Check it out in WC testimony). Check PM pp. 144-145.

*Inappropriate
in context*

To above the timing is important as to when he burned the first draft and rewrote the second draft that is part of CE 397.

7. Board Reasons to Suspect a Military Conspiracy

OK

Oser was an assistant district attorney (the son of a judge and later a judge himself).

*Inappropriate.
Use forensics
evidence -
guaranteed*

Hal asserts from NO testimony that Finck made notes. He is the one who made those measurements from the flexible points of the body like the mastoid process that were Forensically counterindicated. He said others made handwritten notes too. P. 96 of the transcript he says he made notes while in the autopsy room and handing them to Humes. Check page 96 of NO transcript. 96.

I need to add the threat signed by Stover but drafted by Galloway that was sent to every military person who was in the autopsy room.

8. The Almost Sourceless Autopsy---Was It Made Up?

NOTES

Note the 2 sheets Specter and Humes talk about in CE 397 are really a single sheet In Hume;s testimony. Humes does not say he destroyed "notes" just the first autopsy draft. Contents of 397: The single-page of notes from Perry. The 15-page autopsy holograph which is a draft of the report and not notes; and the descriptive sheet or diagram of JFK's body, front and back on one sheet of paper. Hal adds here the Humes certifications of Humes's that he turned in all his papers and the one about the destruction of autopsy draft***** I did not see these represented in CE 397 in Volume #17?

Hal makes the point that this "group of documents" as Specter describes them could not be the base for the final autopsy report. The notes that all three prosecutors made are not in CE 397---Humes, Boswell, and Finck.

Zorro's Notes
P. 5

Here he goes into Roffman's study. Of the 88 statements of fact in the final protocol some 64 cannot be found in any disclosed official source.

9. Everybody Lies Except Humes

Make the point that the revised autopsy protocol was revised by Admiral Galloway. See this w/ Humes's WC testimony. . . as compared to what he told Gunn that revision was "by mutual consent.) p. 17 of ARRB.

Check pp. 44-45 of Humes ARRB when Gunn tries to get Humes to identify the AFIP manual for rules of autopsy. Humes pretends he is innocent of this. . . deep denial. He gives the impression that the Navy had no standard rules and regs. That govern autopsies. Simply impossible to believe. What did he use for training purposes since he trained "young doctors to do autopsies all my life. . . ." (pp. 44/45) Humes's assertions lack all credibility. Impossible to believe that a major military medical school did not have a manual for autopsies that would be performed all around the world . Not to was to invite disaster and extensive and costly litigation. (Good footnote material).

Integrate in article

Hal finishes with interesting look at Gunn/Humes gandy dance around what Dr. Livinston

Testified to and Humes denied. Just as Humes denies he knew or heard any news of the Assassination before going off to autgopsy. At least that is the impression Gunn allows him to make for the record.

Gunn carefully keeps out of the record what Livinston testified to.

10. An Honest Account of the Assassination was never Officially Intended

Twyman's Bloody Treason has Livinston's statement of what he said to Humes. See pp. 210-02. That Gunn suppressed this is indication of another coverup Which is consistent with the whole Gunn interrogation. Was Gunn working under higher orders!

He looks at Humes's lies about not calling Dallas that night and not even asking anyone About what happened in Dallas including Burkley. (pp. 53-55). An obvious lie. He had Kellerman and Hill. . . and others with the motorcade like General McHugh. . . And he asked nobody!!!!!! Gunn lets this ride.

Integrate in article

Gunn never introduces Finck's NO testimony about who was in control of trhe autopsy and what they ordered. Never. Boswell even denies that Galloway was in the morgue. pp. 63-64 of ARRB. Humes says "I don't' think Cal was there. . . ." To Gunn's was Kinney there, Humes says categorically "No."

Humes continues to lie when he says he was not aware that a trach had been performed. That was ".99% of his problem, etc." p. 76. Gunn lets this slide. Boswell made a liar out of Humes with his Baltimore Sun story.

*discredit -
for w/
Boswell
Gunn*

pp. 80/81 Humes caught in a giant non-sequater when he tells Gunn that notes were not important to him at autopsy because he was relying on the photos and X-rays. So he didn't mind that Boswell was taking notes, etc. But when asked if he expected to have access to these when writing the report he says no.

pp. 98/9 of ARRB Humes is asked about the X-rays he mentions the fragmentation in JFK's head—those dustlike fragments. Hal goes into the nature of copper-jacketed military ammunition and inconsistency with this kind of pattern. FBI Sibert and O'Neill concern about this. Hal's point is that through 4 official inquiries into the assassination of JFK (counting the autopsy) this has been ignored by the medical panels----autopsy, Justice Department panel. HSCA, and the ARRB, Hal uses Sibert on this. But O'Neill was even more graphic about the "ice bullet."

Sibert was interviewed by HSCA staff in 1977 but his testimony but was not brought to light until the passage of the 1992 JFK Act. Same story w/ O'Neill.

*Note this -
w/ O'Neill*

11. From Whitewash Through Post Mortem into the ARRB's Super Whitewash

Make point about Humes's blood stain story and its silly nature. JFK's blood was everywhere. There was blood and JFK's body fluids on Boswell's descriptive sheet That Humes did not dare destroy. (Humes does comment on this in ARRB). The lining of the shroud of the casket was saturated with JFK's blood. There was blood in the limo and on the clothing and uniforms of many others. The two motorcycle cops on either side of the Presidential limo were spattered with blood and brain tissue. There was even blood and tissue behind the visors in the limo. (Frazier's testimony). Frazier's report was not disclosed until forced by the 1992 Act. Then there was the pResident's blood in the hospital—on sheets, the gurney, etc. There were pieces of his skull picked off the street.

all w/ Gunn

If Humes had ever faced a true examination or forceful questioning his whole blood stain story would have been discredited and he would have looked a proper fool or (something) Liar. That is, a real investigation. He would have been exposed as a fake.

*for w/ Humes
Gunn*

He goes back to the notes and Humes signed receipt that he passed them onto Galloway.

p. 153 Hal raises the point that the original notes of Humes and otgher drs. Were not destroyed but suppressed.

Notes

Zorro Notes

p. 7

p. 161 Hal cites Gunn/Humes in ARRB (pp. 116/117) about notes. Humes said he took notes and Boswell did to. He was uncertain about Finck. But Finck makes clear in NO that he took notes on pieces of paper and turned them into Humes. *notes*

Humes on record-keeping. See pp. 118-119. Humes says none.

Humes on why he did not burn Boswell's descriptive sheet. See ARRB pp. 132-133.

This chapter was xeroxed.

12. Boswell Contradicts Humes and Both Are Not Truthful

Notes Examines Gunn/ Humes pp. 134/135 of ARRB where Humes says he burned everything Except the revised protocol . . . all his notes, etc. He has no explanation for why he burned the notes he told Cornwell (HSCA) he rewrote from the originals w/ JFK Blood on them. (pp. 135-139 of ARRB).

Gunn never nails him for burning what did not belong to him. These notes belonged to the Navy and the official narrative of the great tragedy. The only explanation could be That he burned the first autopsy draft and rewrote a new one after Oswald's death and to have an autopsy that agreed with the official solution of the crime—LHO was lone assassin and no conspiracy.

There is the exchange on pp. 138/9 about measurements and Humes using the mastoid process. . . Humes refuses to get into this debate. He could have been cited for contempt.

Hal goes on w/ them discussing Burkley's death certificate. . . Humes rejects the 3rd thoracic. . . He says Gun comes at him "from left field." Etc. Gunn drops this line of questioning.

Notes Hal on the note taking. Boswell says he took all the notes for the most part. (p. 115 of ARRB). He says the notes in question are what appeared on the autopsy descriptive sheet. (An impossibility see Roffman study).

Hal's final statement about the work of the ARRB: After years (how many? 8 or 6) We know nothing more about the assassination, the crime itself,