

9 Section #1

**WALKER SHOOTING**  
**Re: Walker Bullet folder**

- 1. Jevons to Conrad 3/27/1964 62-109060-2845 Notes that Heiberger met with Eisenberg on 3/26/1964 and reported that spectro tests of the bullet in the Walker shooting produced results different from spectro of the lead alloy in a fragment recovered from the limo.

Some discussion about AEC tests on the paraffin casts. Also suggests that AEC had the casts as early as December 11<sup>th</sup> or thereabouts. The casts are the thing in question here –see Killen’s work.

- 2. Shanklin to File 12/10/1963 100-10467-959 relates info from SS Kelley about what learned from Marina and Lee’s Walker shooting. Some detail that about burying the rifle and digging it up and taking a shot, etc. . . .

- 3. Shanklin to file 1/29/1964 100-10461-NR (found in folder serials 2921-2940) WC wants to see the Russian book holding the alleged Lee letter re: walker shooting.....

- 4. Shanklin to File 2/19/1964 100-10461—3537 Re: On this date the WP ran a story claiming that DLPD tests proved that rifle used in JFK killing was the same one used in the attempt on Walker. Jevons called to make the point that the FBI never made this connection. (See eg., doc.#1 above.) The Dallas police claimed that they put Oswald in the frame for the Walker shooting based solely on Marina’s testimony. . . .not any forensic evidence.

- 5. Jevons to Conrad 4/1/1964 62-109060-2829 (S. 57) Reports on Frazier’s 3/31/1964 WC testimony where he claimed that the Walker bullet had markings “characteristic of the type produced” by K1. and similar to the ammo used in the JFK killing. It is noted that the slug in question had no “identifying markings.” That mean that it had no initials. . . .Cop who found the slug did initial it. . . .I have that somewhere. . . .\*\*\*\*\* Check Frazier’s WC testimony on this\*\*\*\*\*

- 6. Batch of docs establishing that while Walker wanted the bullet (CE #573) that almost killed him the govt. refused. Walker bullet was one. The issue was brought to the fore by Walker’s request for the bullet and by Mark Lane’s request and pressure for a host of material evidence in the case. All requests were made in 1966. FBI relied on the JD to sequester this stuff permanently. See the list of evidence to be held by the govt permanently. \*\*\*\*\*8

- 7. Important Richard Harwood piece in Washington Post 6/24/1966 in which he reviews criticisms of the official story of the assassination based on early books by Epstein, Weisberg. . . .And Lane’s book was expected out in November 1966, the 3<sup>rd</sup> anniversary of Dallas.

Refiled to file on Walker's slug - withheld

**Page 2**

HaRwood notes that both authors point to the discrepancy between the FBI Report and the WCR on the JFK back wound: FBI (O'Neill/Siebert) has the wound in the back while the WCR has the wound in the back of the neck, etc. . . .

**Question: When did FBI receive the Walker bullet?????**