

(New) Walker Shooting 9B (Batches 1 & 2)

Batch 2

- Note
1. My note re: Armstrong p. 507 Where he notes that the "Walker bullet" at NARA today is copper jacketed. . . Does not contain Walker's initials he etched in it. . .
 2. FBI lab work on the Walker bullet. . . Notes no known subject shooting into home of Edwin Walker. . . RGT 65, NARA, Box 111B, folder 61. . . Date of report is 12/4/'63. . . p. 2 of lab report notes "Not possible to det(ermine) whether or not Q188 fired from K1 rifle due to extreme mutilation & distortion & to the probability of barrel changes." Examining officers were Frazier and Heiberger. Did either testify before the WC on the Walker bullet/shooting????
 3. RG 65 Box 110A, folder 11. . . NARA . Details of Cunningham's WC testimony before Eisenberg. . . On the Tippit bullets Cunningham could not say that "no conclusion could be reached as to whether or not they were fired from Oswald's revolver." P. 2 Cunningham's take on paraffin testing. . . in which Cunningham testified that paraffin tests "were extremely unrfeliable as to whether a person had recently fired a gun." I wonder if Cunningham is the source for the WCR's assertion that these tests are unreliable. . . I need to check the note. . .
 4. FBI (Hoover) to Curry 12/9/'63 RG 65, Box 109, Folder 11 (NARA) . . string of FBI lies about Q188. . . Was fired from a rifle with rifling impressions "such as were found on specimen Q188." . . But "it was not possible to determine whether or not Q188 was fired from K1." It goes on to refer to Q188 with its "copper jacket and lead core. . . ." It was a steel bullet. . .
 5. Walker Bullet Folder. . . This is a listing. . . contains good material I don't know whether the docs are that are referenced here. I would like to connect this sheet w/ the documents. . . ****
 6. SAC, Dallas to Director, 6/10/'64 105-82555-4107 See p. 3 We are in June and the WC Report was initially scheduled to be completed by June. . . Here the FBI has dismissed Oswald as the shooter in the Walker matter. . . It notes Walter Kirk Coleman's sighting of 2 men who were shooting at Walker. . . or were

witnesses to the shooting or they are associates of the general. . . In short, there is no mention of Oswald. . . Clear that FBI inclined to drop the whole matter. . . certainly do not want to have any dealings. . . .but official story will still leave Oswald as the possible shooter. . .

7. Jevons to Conrad 3/27/'64 62-109060-2845Classic case of the contrived confusion on the business of ballistic identification . . .
8. SAC, Dallas, to Director 12/4/'63 62-109060-1417 (section 18). . .p. 2 Marina is said to have volunteered that she knew nothing about Lee engaging in target practice.. .
9. Conrad to Belmont 12/4/'63 62-109060-7132 . . ."not been possible to determine if Q188 was fired from the assassination rifle." Conrad suggested to get Marina to tell if she knew where Oswald conducted rifle practice. . .
10. SAC, Dallas to Director 12/4/'63 62-109060-1587 (section 20) Noted that on 12/4 Marina identified the letter (re:Walker shooting) as Lee's letter. . .
11. Director to SAC, Dallas, 2/18/'64 105-82555-2017 (section 82). . .Bureau agrees with Shanklin that "in view of Walker's apparent inability to respond rationally to questions no further contact be had with him." And attached document. . .
- 12 . Two documents on how the FBI dealt w/ Walker's lawyers. Note that Walker's lead lawyer learned of Marina's "admission" that Oswald was the shooter from a leak that appeared in the press. . . Leak from "some official source" . . .The leak came from the FBI. . . (Katz business/and DeLoach leaking machine). . . FBI avoids the issue. . .stands off the lawyers. . .See SAC to Director 12/7/'63 105-82555-1407 (section 66). . . This was unwanted blow back. Could hypothesize: Any confirmation from FBI that Oswald was the shooter in the Walker case the right-wing general could use this in any future political ambitions he had in mind. . .(Clearly why his lawyers were gunning for FBI confirmation. . .Walker & lawyers wanted FBI confirmation or denial of the Oswald involment. . There are 2 docs. One on 12/7/'63 and one 1/6/'64 w/ same file nos. **** These have value. . .