

Memorandum

Mr. Belmont	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Mohr	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. DeLoach	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Casper	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Callahan	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Conrad	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Felt	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Gale	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Rosen	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Sullivan	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Tavel	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mr. Trotter	<input type="checkbox"/>
Tele. Room	<input type="checkbox"/>
Miss Holmes	<input type="checkbox"/>
Miss Gandy	<input type="checkbox"/>

TO : Director, Federal Bureau of Investigation

DATE: Febru

HJM:s

FROM : Herbert J. Miller, Jr., Assistant Attorney General, Criminal Division

Blair

SUBJECT: Retention of Physical Items Pertaining to the Assassination.

You are aware that consideration is not being given to the appropriate disposition which should be made of the physical items in the possession of the Government which were considered by the President's Commission on the Assassination of President Kennedy in connection with its investigation. Attached to this memorandum is a list of items submitted by Mr. Rankin to the Attorney General listing those items which the Commission feels should be permanently retained by the United States Government. (The numbers on left hand margin have been added by the Department.)

La...

30 FEB 11 1965

RECEIVED

FEB 11 1965

In light of the Bureau's role in connection with any future investigation relating to the assassination which may be called for, we would appreciate your comments as to the appropriateness and advisability of retaining the items included in the Commission's list. Similarly, we would appreciate your judgment as to whether any additional items in the current possession of the Bureau which may have relation to the assassination (including, of course, all those mentioned in the list of "Property of Lee Harvey Oswald and/or Marina Oswald" which you submitted to Mr. Rankin on November 6, 1964, a copy of which has been provided us), should be retained, because of possible use in subsequent investigation, to confirm the results of prior investigations, or for any other reason.

With respect to the various letters and documents in the possession of the Bureau, do you believe that there are any substantial interests related to possible future investigation which can be served only by retention of the original, rather than by retention of a copy of the original?

Attachment

ENCLOSURE

2 XEROX

MAR 10 1965

REC-22

62-109060-3983

FEB 11 1965

Blair

Handwritten notes and signatures