view of these facts, it cannot be claimed that everything (or, indeed, anything!) was being arranged with scrupulous fairness to the suspect.

As for the witness, Mrs. Markham was hardly in a fit state to undertake the responsible task of identifying (or not identifying, as the case may be) the killer of Officer Tippit. Homicide Detective L. C. Graves, one of those officers erganizing the line up, said that she was "quite hysterical" and "crying and upset" and "she was saying—what most hysterical women say—wringing her hands and talking about the shooting" (30) and there was even talk of her being

In his testimony, Captain Fritz stated: "We were trying to get that showup sent to hospital. as soon as we could because she was beginning to faint and getting sick. In fact, I had to leave the office and carry some ammonia across the hall, they were about to send her to the hospital or something and we needed that identification real quickly, and she got to feeling all right after using this am-

I doubt that the wheels of justice would have been slowed down too monia." (31) much if this lady had been given a few hours to compose herself. I cannot understand why Captain Fritz was in such a frantic rush to "get that showup as soon as we could."

According to the Warren Report, Mrs Markham "identified Oswald as the man who shot the policeman." (32) The Report also stated that "in testimony before the Commission, Mrs. Markham confirmed her positive identification of Lee Harvey Oswald as the man she saw kill Officer Tippit," (33)

Sylvia Meagher, in her book Accessories After The Fact, argued that the testimony of this alleged eyewitness to the shooting of Tippit by Oswald, "lacks any semblance of credibility". She also pointed out the little-known fact that at the Tippit murder scene, Mrs Markham "was in hysterics and somehow managed to leave her shoes on top of Tippit's car." (34) Several members of the Warren Commission staff have subsequently voiced their opinions of Mrs Markham's value as a witness. Assistant Counsel Liebeler has described her testimony as "contradictory and worthless" (35) whilst Assistant Counsel Jo seph Ball described her as "an utter screwball." (36)

If a humble ex-British cop can rip so many holes in the actions and mertal attitude of this so-called star witness, imagine what a smart defending torney would have done in court.

Norman Redlich, another Warren Commission staff member, is quole as saying: "The Commission wants to believe Mrs Markham and that's all there is to it." (37) I think this remark is very important, since Mrs Markhan was the only witness who ever claimed to have actually seen Tippit being sha Like it or not, the investigators were stuck with her. If she had announced by the Earth was flat, they would have been hard-pressed not to accept her state ment as the truth.

What the Wan Tippit witness was positive identification that she had recognize Ball unashamedly p asked: "Was there a he managed to get he I wasn't sure, but I h I wasn't sure ... and fell over." (38)

As already sta much detail as this c glaring examples of the suspect.

THE SECOND LINE-UP

Oswald attende was held in the same as had been shown to fore, with Oswald a number 2 position.

On this occasion nesses. These were To me as strange that thi even more to it than

The Warren Re Oswald as the man w diately following the

Cecil McWatter to have attempted to to the Warren Report who had boarded the On the same page "said he had been in the passenger he had and confusing paragr. ever, McWatters' rec Oswald on the bus."

Whilst the Warr affed Oswald, there a