

8

See p 3

H. Markham's

id of Oswald -
Wheeler +
Ball Ball -
Dismissed
a screw
Ball.

Ian Griggs

Re: Oswald and line ups. . Part III from No Case To Answer. Chapter 10

Raises the whole issue of eyewitness testimony: Its validity.

P. cites Brennan's WC testimony P. 81 He cites Brennan's key WC testimony 3H 143-144.

and Helen Markham key for putting Oswald in frame for Tippit

He examines the four line ups (or show ups). In each of the 4 line ups (3 on 11/22) and one on 11/23) there were always 4 in the line up. Oswald always chose to be no. 2. Ian examines the vitals of those in the line ups with Oswald. He notes that Oswald was 24 yrs old, weight 131 lbs, and he stood 5' 9" . . .He wore a brown shirt, dark pants. . .He had a black eye and cuts to his forehead and lip. . .the others were better dressed. . .on the 4th line up Oswald complained about his dress. . .he stood out from the others who were better attired. . .In every case several of the others in the line ups were Dallas police officers. . .

all had
suit
coats -
Oswald in
a
shirt
He can
corroborate
in

The Helen Markham line up. This occurred 11/22 at About 4:35 p.m. Markham, a 47 yr old Dallas waitress was the only one alleged to have seen the Tippit shooting so her iding of Oswald was essential . . .Oswald only one w/ facial bruises and his pix and name was already on TV and it broadcasted that his place of employment was TSBD. . . I **** add that Dallas PD report signed at 1:40 CST had Oswald as the assassin of JFK and Tippit and the shooter in the Connally wounding. . . All before any evidence in the case was examined. .

See attached page from the essay re: Markham's hysteria at the show up . . .classic stuff. . .Markham's testimony was virtually dismissed by WC staffers. . . See Redlich's feckless defense of this disgrace., . .

He notes the line up on 11/23 w/ Whaley and Scroggins . . .Oswald was in line up with 3 teenagers. By 11/23 Oswald's pix and background were all over the national press. He was as famous as the president who he allegedly assassinated.

Whaley gave it all away when he noted that Oswald was reaming out the police for putting him in a line up w/ kids. . .He was outraged and he accused the police of railroading him and he wanted a lawyer. . . [Contrast this outbreak w/ his

P. 2 Ian Griggs on Line Ups

controlled demeanor according to the two officers who were w/ him all the time he was in lock up.

I shift to pp. 90ff for more on Brennan. . . Ian makes point that Brennan allegedly was at a line up on evening of 11/22. . . but no time was give and there is no evidence that this took place. The only corroboration comes from Brennan himself. . .He cites Brennan's posthumously-published book Eyewitness To History. See fn 55 for cite. . .

PP. 93/94 Ian notes that there is no mention of this 11/22 line up that Brennan has in his book. There is no mention in the documents of a "5th line up" involving Brennan. . . Ian cites McCloy's quizzing of Fritz about this Brennan alleged show up on 11/22 where Brennan id Oswald. . . . 4H 227 for Fritz's non-answer answer to McCloy's question if Brennan was ever at a show up. . . .

Closing points: Asks why does the WC contend that Brennan attended a line up when there is no evidence presented to confirm this. . . .and then the Report asserts that he did not. . .p. 95

Ian closes with deserved criticism of the Commission and the Chief Justice, the top law man in the country, where it was stated Warren and his cohorts "were satisfied that the line ups were conducted fairly."