Page 4

p. 110-111 deals conclusively with the fact that Oswald had no access to the paper that nade up the bag or the tape. Cites West's testimony...

Some K.

We continue with the fabulous WC case against Oswald—that the murder weapon was in the Paine's garage (although there is no satisfactory explanation of how it got there from NO to the Paine's home, where Oswald carried it to work in that magical bag (no oil on the bag and no proof of how Oswald made the bag) and then after the garage was gone over by the police was there any ammo found? Does this show up in the police report? Did Oswald have only 4 live rounds or was this all he felt was needed for the job, leaving the other loads behind? ***** Need to check on this. . .

Did Oswald plan to escape? If he did, all he had on him was \$13 dollars and some change.

P112 No fingerprints on the rifle's bolt, on the clip, or on the live round in the chamber. \mathcal{V}

POINT: I WILL FOCUS THE EVIDENCE PART ONLY ON THE BASIC AND ESTABLISHED FACTS OF THE ASSASSINATION AND THE INVESTIGATION OF THESE FACTS.

Point: p. 113 of ms Hal makes the point that after the shooting Oswald allegedly hide the rifle behind the array of boxes, all this taking time. Add to the time reconstruction the fact that the rifle held almost no prints, the clip had no prints, and the shells were without prints. . . all would have taken prints. So Oswald had to spend time wiping the weapon clean or was he wearing gloves????? If he was wearing gloves why did he bother to hide the rifle. The rifle's serial number would have pointed to him as the owner. THIS USEWD FOR OSWALD'S MOVEMENTS. . . .

Baker claims (WC testimony) that he encountered Oswald less than two minutes after the assassination. Oswald had to have crossed the 6th floor, hid the rifle (after cleaning it) and was on the 2nd floor lunch room and not out of breathe when Butler came upon him and observed he was not out of breathe Baker's testimony cited in 3H241-70 Baker's account of how fast he was running into the building. . . . See p. 115 for Hal on the real situation rather then the reconstruction

KEY: DULLES-BAKER EXCHANGE. DULLES ASKED BAKER COULD OSWALD HAVE COME TO THE 2ND FLOOR LUNCHROOM FROM ANOTHER DOOR OR DI\RECTION, ETC. BAKER REPLIED: HE COUILD HAVE FROM A DIRECTION WHICH HE "HAD NO BUSINESS." 3H256 THIS HALLWAY LEADS TO THE FIRST FLOOR SEE COMMISSION EXHIBIT 497 / 17H212) THIS AGREES WITH HAL VERB HAVING OSWALD IN FRONT OF TSBD AT TIME OF SHOOTING.

Buvel

Page 5

THIS WAS A THE WAY OSWALD COULD HAVE GOTTEN INTO LUNCHROOM WITHOUT TRULY AND BAKER SEEING THE DOOR IN MOTION WAS IT BAKER'S TESTIMONY THAT he saw Oswald thru the window in the door?????And not by the door moving?????? See p. 115 ms.

Oswald told the police that he came up from the first floor to the lunchroom.

Basic argument to make has Baker arriving at the 2^{nd} floor lunchroom before Oswald could have if he was fleeing from the sixth floor after cleaning the weapon and hiding it behind the screen of boxes.

WCR has two conclusions (R152-530 notes that the time for Baker and Truly to reach the lunchroom was probably longer than allowed by the test runs. The other (R649) contends that all tests indicate that Oswald could have reached the lunchroom before Baker and Truly reached the 2nd floor. P. 114 flip

MAKE POINT: THE WC CITES NO WITNESS TO OSWALD'S ALLEGED TRIP FROM THE 6TH TO THE 2ND FLOOR. BUT THE WC HAD WITNESSES WHO GAVE EVIDENCE THAT IT WAS IMPOSSIBLE.

p. 116 Some stuff on Brennan. He cites 3H147-148 that Brennan at no time at the line up made any identification.

p. 116 flip Hal destroys Brennan and WC case that he was the star witness.

Brennan at no time when viewing the lineup did he identify Oswald 3H147-148 Gerald Ford piece on Brennan as star witness in Life(10/2/1964) also Ford states in article that Brennan was the only person to see Oswald fire his rifle at Kennedy. See 3H154 where Brennan tells McCloy that he did not see the rifle flash or recoil....

The Report's waffling on Brennan as star witness. R145 Brennan was "an accurate observer. R144 says that his description "most probably" led to the description broadcast by the police. R5 says that B's description was "primarily" responsible for the broadcast. See section in Report "Eyewitness Identification of Assassin" R143-149

Pp 117-118 More on Brennan's preposterous testimony Leaves the question of the origins of the Oswald description or of the shooter over police radio....

Chapter XX111

Examines how Posner dealt with the "missed shot" or Tague bullet

pp. 123 Hal cites that section of WCR pp135-137 re: Evidence linking the paper bag to the rifle, etc. Then proceeds to show that there was no evidence at all connecting the two.