

RG 541 ARRB  
Zimmerman Files

Box 10

To: Tim Wray/ARRB, Douglas Horne/ARRB, Christopher Barger/ARRB, Brian Rosen/ARRB, Jeremy Gunn/ARRB  
cc: Joan Zimmerman/ARRB  
From: Dave Montague/ARRB  
Date: 07/19/96 05:45:42 PM  
Subject: Initial conversation with Ed Coyle of the 112th M/I group

Box 10

**CALL REPORT**  Issue # 70

Document's Author: Dave Montague/ARRB Date Created: 07/18/96

Who initiated the call?  We initiated call  
 Individual initiated call

Review Board's representative in the call: Dave Montague Date: 07/18/96  
Subject: Initial conversation with Ed Coyle of the 112th M/I group

**Description of the Call**

**112th M/I Project**

I spoke with Coyle today, identified myself & explained the purpose of the Review Board. Coyle confirmed that he's the same Coyle who was part of the US Army's 112th Military Intelligence Group during the 1960's.

Coyle was cordial & said he was very surprised to hear from the Review Board since he maintains an unlisted phone number; he wanted to know how he was found. I reminded him that the federal government has it's resources, to which he laughed & agreed.

I told Coyle that he would receive a call from a member of our military staff re: a more in-depth interview to which he said he will be sure to cooperate in any way & realizes he is no longer bound by a secrecy agreement & is free to provide any information.

Coyle was primarily responsible for all security inspections for both military & contract personnel for the 112th. As a collateral duty, he was the 112th's liaison officer, meaning he would serve as the contact to other agencies. He told me that I need to understand the role of army intelligence at that time. According to Coyle, the Army had more resources at it's disposal than the FBI. He said the FBI would continuously obtain information on various organizations from information which groups like the 112th obtained. He said that in the event of Marshall Law, the head of Army Intelligence would be in charge of activities, so the Army had good relations with many agencies & at all levels.

I asked Coyle for a general description of his actions on 11/22/63, to which he said the following:

-he was on duty that day