

Notes for Intro to PB of "BREACH"

Find place for an overview of the composition of the Commission from Hal's essay.

Note where he cites destruction of evidence (?) no example given. Ask Clay if he has a clue. What I do have in "Breach" is the destruction of the Russell forced September (18th) Ex. Session. That was destroyed and replaced with an innocuous substitute. Thereby eliminating any indication of the division among the Commissioners.

Also I want to underscore the importance of the damage control tickler. I think it was missed by most reviewers. Here is a rare and indisputable revelation about the FBI and its evasive handling of the investigation. (cite Rosen). . .It captures the essential DNA of the Hoover Bureau's politisizing of the Kennedy assassination. Check with phrase file for apt language.

Bugliosi as a critic. I need to check on his comments on "Breach." He has to have said something. . .(If necessary I can go to DiEugenio's critic of Bugliosi's book).

Note: I want to use to great advantage Hal's petition filed in 1/9/'85 on Allen reception of the Damage Control Tickler. . .

Notes for Intro to PB of "BREACH"

Find place for an overview of the composition of the Commission from Hal's essay.

Note where he cites destruction of evidence (?) no example given. Ask Clay if he has a clue. What I do have in "Breach" is the destruction of the Russell forced September (18th) Ex. Session. That was destroyed and replaced with an innocuous substitute. Thereby eliminating any indication of the division among the Commissioners.

Also I want to underscore the importance of the damage control tickler. I think it was missed by most reviewers. Here is a rare and indisputable revelation about the FBI and its evasive handling of the investigation. (cite Rosen). . .It captures the essential DNA of the Hoover Bureau's politisizing of the Kennedy assassination. Check with phrase file for apt language.

Bugliosi as a critic. I need to check on his comments on "Breach." He has to have said something. . .(If necessary I can go to DiEugenio's critic of Bugliosi's book).

Note: I want to use to great advantage Hal's petition filed in 1/9/'85 on Allen reception of the Damage Control Tickler. . .

Notes for Intro to PB of "BREACH"

Find place for an overview of the composition of the Commission from Hal's essay.

Note where he cites destruction of evidence (?) no example given. Ask Clay if he has a clue. What I do have in "Breach" is the destruction of the Russell forced September (18th) Ex. Session. That was destroyed and replaced with an innocuous substitute. Thereby eliminating any indication of the division among the Commissioners.

Also I want to underscore the importance of the damage control tickler. I think it was missed by most reviewers. Here is a rare and indisputable revelation about the FBI and its evasive handling of the investigation. (cite Rosen). . .It captures the essential DNA of the Hoover Bureau's politisizing of the Kennedy assassination. Check with phrase file for apt language.

Bugliosi as a critic. I need to check on his comments on "Breach." He has to have said something. . .(If necessary I can go to DiEugenio's critic of Bugliosi's book).

Note: I want to use to great advantage Hal's petition filed in 1/9/'85 on Allen reception of the Damage Control Tickler. . .