



What was the most obvious evidence is what was never investigated, in particular was not investigated by Specter who had been an assistant district attorney of a major city: the case against Oswald was no case at all. It was consistent with the evidence pointing to Oswald but not making him guilty at all, had been planted. This, too, may seem startling but without examination of the actual so-called evidence and all the information about it that the Commission had and it and its Specters ignored, and there is much of it, quite a bit, that this is the conspiratorial fact is beyond question.

More from the successful propaganda effort than anything else the Commission's Oswald-alone "conclusion" actually has no credibility at all!

Not in fact.

For example in Specter's area, beginning in 1966 he proclaimed that there was no changes in the autopsy. In his book he also says that the original autopsy was unchanged. And it was Specter who took Dr. Humes' 1964 testimony that he burned the original autopsy report as soon as he knew Oswald had been killed. Which actually meant as soon as there would be no trial. (See *Post Mortem* for details.)

I have included much of his in other manuscripts, also in what I printed, but there is not a single item of alleged evidence against Oswald that stacks.

There is the actuality, the proof of the suppressed and misrepresented official evidence!

The actual proof is that even the bullets that traced to the rifle said to have been Oswald's could not have been fired in the assassination!

There is even proof that Oswald was not on the sixth floor at the time of the crime but because there was no interest in any real investigation, only in an interest, as set forth in Katzenbach memo quoted above, of making Oswald seem guilty, all the obvious leads were ignored.

For one of many examples, the AP's Ike Altgen's picture of the motorcade from downhill in Dealey Plaza. In the background is the front door of the Texas School Book Depository, with people standing in it. Editors and other people immediately raised the question, was that Oswald standing in that doorway, at its western end?

X - Referring to
Merritt's Book way

The FBI immediately put that down, its interest being in Oswald alone. It said that the man so many took to be Oswald was actually Billy Lovelady.

Yet when it took his picture it posed him in a shirt that could not have possibly be the shirt in the Altgens picture. I became convinced that it was Oswald and I went to the Archives and examined that the shirt that was taken from Oswald. It was exactly like the shirt in that Altgens picture.

(The full, the uncut and unaltered Altgens picture appeared for the first time in *Whitewash II*, pages 244-245. Relating pictures appear on the last page, page 250, and on the facing inside back cover.)

Even the imperfections in the photographed shirt are exactly duplicated and are quite visible on the shirt itself. These imperfections range from enlarged buttonholes that will not hold a button to tears. It is not only the evidence that follows. There is no question about it, the shirt on that man in the Altgens picture is Oswald's shirt!

I printed much of this in *Whitewash II*. Much to my surprise I got a phone call from Lovelady's wife. By a printer's mistake, all of *Photographic Whitewash*, which is the third of the series, had been printed except for the index. I had about a half of a page at the end of the index and I wrote a report on her call that too up all that space. It appears with that book, about the middle of 1967.

It happened that *CBS-TV* was preparing another of its "specials" in unquestioning support of the official "solution." Bob Richter, then a *CBS-TV* producer, came to see me. He asked what should he look for in Dallas. I told him to get Lovelady, have him wear the shirt his wife believed was worth five thousand dollars, stand him were the man in the Altgens picture was and take a picture duplicating that Altgens picture as much as possible. Richter did part of this and he sent me a print. But *CBS-TV* did not use it.

Then I set to work to decide what pictures might show Lovelady in that shirt.

The FBI was pretty efficient in ignoring what it and the official preconception put on paper by Katzenbach, did not want. Pictures were a particular FBI phobia. So while it knew about the amateur films made by private citizens who formed what they called *Dallas Cinema Associates* to put their 8-mm

films together and they sold the package to Hollywood, the FBI and Hollywood, David Wolper, we were interested only in the schmaltz.

When my friend Dick Sprague told me he was going to Dallas and asked what he should look for I told him about those films and asked him to get a copy of all of them so they could be studied. He and we did this.

Here is the account of Mrs. Lovelady's call to me that Saturday afternoon.

A partial sequence of Lovelady-Altgens pictures appears in the appendix of *Whitewash II*. The question is: Who is the man in the doorway? Is it Lovelady? Oswald? Someone else? What shirt is he wearing? First is the great enlargement I had made from the Altgens picture. Then there is the photographically decapitated picture of Oswald as he was led from the jail elevator. Unnecessarily removing the top of his head made comparisons difficult, especially of the hairlines and facial characteristics. This is one of five consecutive Shaneyfelt decapitations (21H467). They are not normal and cannot serve any constructive purposes. Next is the FBI-Lovelady picture suppressed from the evidence but in the Commission files. Whatever can or cannot be said and believed, it cannot be that the man in the doorway is wearing the shirt the FBI says Lovelady wore. It does seem to be Oswald's shirt. From this it would seem that it cannot have been Lovelady in the doorway. However, while this book was being printed, I received a phone call from a woman identifying herself as Mrs. Billy Lovelady. She expressed great apprehension for the family safety and protested the FBI evidence, including this, printed in *Whitewash II*. She insists it is "my Billy" in the doorway, that the FBI 'never asked him what shirt he had worn that day, and that he had worn a red-and-black check with a white fleck. The checks, she says, are about two inches. When I said the Altgens shows no check, she replied that it is not as clear as the enlargement "as big as a desk," about 30x40 inches, the FBI showed them the night of Nov. 25, 1963. Demanding money in return, she promised me a picture of Lovelady in the checked shirt she says he wore that day and not since and an affidavit affirming the above. She alleges testimony was edited, FBI reporting was inaccurate and not all in the evidence. I include this at the last minute for what it may be worth or mean (page 294).

That this had not shown in any of the pictures led me to have studied a John Martin film that was heavily over-exposed. And lo! there is Lovelady in that doorway, in the shirt his wife described!

And that is the shirt he wore for Bob Richter to photograph him in.

Both of those pictures, the one Richter had taken and the one of a frame of the Martin film, are in color. But in black and white the pattern is obviously what Mrs. Lovelady described me.

Or, at the time of the assassination Oswald was on the first floor, where he was seen by those who knew him and those who later identified him. He was not shooting from the sixth floor window!

The FBI was careful to doctor the reports it filed but Mrs. Carolyn Arnold corrected the FBI in the one she signed. The FBI had all of this allegedly happening at about 12:30 a.m. for one correction. That meant shortly after midnight. I have a Xerox of the original. In it the corrections are obvious. Two of the FBI's reports, including the one she corrected and not to have her in that doorway a little after midnight, which would eliminate her seeing Oswald there a little after noon, are in *Photographic Whitewash* on facing pages 210-211.

Specter certainly was the demon investigator of his self-portrait when he and his Commission could not do what I did.

Or think of it, when it had been published and he was going to make a record, so to speak, of his passion he says is for truth!

A number of other items of the official evidence that each, separately, exculpates Oswald appear throughout this series of my books I am writing as a record for our history, including what I say above about Oswald not being on that sixth floor at the time of the crime and on the impossibility of that rifle having been used in the assassination shooting.

(The information about the *Dallas Cinema Associates* appears in *Photographic Whitewash* on pages 65, 98-106, 120, 241, 243, 245, and 249. Mrs. Irving (Anita) Gewirtz, who headed it, is on pages 101, 105, 107, and 243-249. The pages that hold information about Rudolf Victor Brenk, who put those schmaltzy parts together are on 100, 102, 104-106, 244-246, 249, 253-253. John Martin is on pages 106 and 254-255. *Wolper Productions* is on pages 99, 101, 103, 250-251.

Yes, indeed! Specter really was one hell of an investigator, as he even says himself.

Only he seems not to read.

It is not possible to believe that Specter, especially when he is a United States Senator, could so consistently, so totally wrong as he is by failed memory, by simple error of for any innocent reason. It also is not easy to believe that he did this, made himself as vulnerable as this book makes him – and what

Specter v. Specter

What was the most obvious evidence is what was never investigated, in particular was not investigated by Specter who had been an assistant district attorney of a major city: the case against Oswald was no case at all. It was consistent with the evidence pointing to Oswald but not making him guilty at all, had been planted. This, too, may seem startling but without examination of the actual so-called evidence and all the information about it that the Commission had and it and its Specters ignored, and there is much of it, quite a bit, that this is the conspiratorial fact is beyond question.

More from the successful propaganda effort than anything else the Commission's Oswald-alone "conclusion" actually has no credibility at all!

Not in fact.

For example in Specter's area, beginning in 1966 he proclaimed that there was no changes in the autopsy. In his book he also says that the original autopsy was unchanged. And it was Specter who took Dr. Humes' 1964 testimony that he burned the original autopsy report as soon as he knew Oswald had been killed. Which actually meant as soon as there would be no trial. (See *Post Mortem* for details.)

I have included much of his in other manuscripts, also in what I printed, but there is not a single item of alleged evidence against Oswald that stacks.

There is the actuality, the proof of the suppressed and misrepresented official evidence!

The actual proof is that even the bullets that traced to the rifle said to have been Oswald's could not have been fired in the assassination!

There is even proof that Oswald was not on the sixth floor at the time of the crime but because there was no interest in any real investigation, only in an interest, as set forth in Katzenbach memo quoted above, of making Oswald seem guilty, all the obvious leads were ignored.

For one of many examples, the AP's Ike Alteen's picture of the motorcade from downhill in Dealey Plaza. In the background is the front door of the Texas School Book Depository, with people standing in it. Editors and other people immediately raised the question, was that Oswald standing in that doorway, at its western end?

The FBI immediately put that down, its interest being in Oswald alone. It said that the man so many took to be Oswald was actually Billy Lovelady.

Yet when it took his picture it posed him in a shirt that could not have possibly be the shirt in the Altgens picture. I became convinced that it was Oswald and I went to the Archives and examined that the shirt that was taken from Oswald. It was exactly like the shirt in that Altgens picture.

(The full, the uncut and unaltered Altgens picture appeared for the first time in *Whitewash II*, pages 244-245. Relating pictures appear on the last page, page 250, and on the facing inside back cover.)

Even the imperfections in the photographed shirt are exactly duplicated and are quite visible on the shirt itself. These imperfections range from enlarged buttonholes that will not hold a button to tears. It is not only the evidence that follows. There is no question about it, the shirt on that man in the Altgens picture is Oswald's shirt!

I printed much of this in *Whitewash II*. Much to my surprise I got a phone call from Lovelady's wife. By a printer's mistake, all of *Photographic Whitewash*, which is the third of the series, had been printed except for the index. I had about a half of a page at the end of the index and I wrote a report on her call that too up all that space. It appears with that book, about the middle of 1967.

It happened that *CBS-TV* was preparing another of its "specials" in unquestioning support of the official "solution." Bob Richter, then a *CBS-TV* producer, came to see me. He asked what should he look for in Dallas. I told him to get Lovelady, have him wear the shirt his wife believed was worth five thousand dollars, stand him were the man in the Altgens picture was and take a picture duplicating that Altgens picture as much as possible. Richter did part of this and he sent me a print. But *CBS-TV* did not use it.

Then I set to work to decide what pictures might show Lovelady in that shirt.

The FBI was pretty efficient in ignoring what it and the official preconception put on paper by Katzenbach, did not want. Pictures were a particular FBI phobia. So while it knew about the amateur films made by private citizens who formed what they called *Dallas Cinema Associates* to put their 8-mm

films together and they sold the package to Hollywood, the FBI and Hollywood, David Wolper, we were interested only in the schmaltz.

When my friend Dick Sprague told me he was going to Dallas and asked what he should look for I told him about those films and asked him to get a copy of all of them so they could be studied. He and we did this.

Here is the account of Mrs. Lovelady's call to me that Saturday afternoon.

A partial sequence of Lovelady-Altgens pictures appears in the appendix of *Whitewash II*. The question is: Who is the man in the doorway? Is it Lovelady? Oswald? Someone else? What shirt is he wearing? First is the great enlargement I had made from the Altgens picture. Then there is the photographically decapitated picture of Oswald as he was led from the jail elevator. Unnecessarily removing the top of his head made comparisons difficult, especially of the hairlines and facial characteristics. This is one of five consecutive Shaneyfelt decapitations (21H467). They are not normal and cannot serve any constructive purposes. Next is the FBI-Lovelady picture suppressed from the evidence but in the Commission files. Whatever can or cannot be said and believed, it cannot be that the man in the doorway is wearing the shirt the FBI says Lovelady wore. It does seem to be Oswald's shirt. From this it would seem that it cannot have been Lovelady in the doorway. However, while this book was being printed, I received a phone call from a woman identifying herself as Mrs. Billy Lovelady. She expressed great apprehension for the family safety and protested the FBI evidence, including this, printed in *Whitewash II*. She insists it is "my Billy" in the doorway, that the FBI 'never asked him what shirt he had worn that day, and that he had worn a red-and-black check with a white fleck. The checks, she says, are about two inches. When I said the Altgens shows no check, she replied that it is not as clear as the enlargement "as big as a desk," about 30x40 inches, the FBI showed them the night of Nov. 25, 1963. Demanding money in return, she promised me a picture of Lovelady in the checked shirt she says he wore that day and not since and an affidavit affirming the above. She alleges testimony was edited, FBI reporting was inaccurate and not all in the evidence. I include this at the last minute for what it may be worth or mean (page 294).

That this had not shown in any of the pictures led me to have studied a John Martin film that was heavily over-exposed. And lo! there is Lovelady in that doorway, in the shirt his wife described!

And that is the shirt he wore for Bob Richter to photograph him in.

Both of those pictures, the one Richter had taken and the one of a frame of the Martin film, are in color. But in black and white the pattern is obviously what Mrs. Lovelady described me.

Or, at the time of the assassination Oswald was on the first floor, where he was seen by those who knew him and those who later identified him. He was not shooting from the sixth floor window!

The FBI was careful to doctor the reports it filed but Mrs. Carolyn Arnold corrected the FBI in the one she signed. The FBI had all of this allegedly happening at about 12:30 a.m. for one correction. That meant shortly after midnight. I have a Xerox of the original. In it the corrections are obvious. Two of the FBI's reports, including the one she corrected and not to have her in that doorway a little after midnight, which would eliminate her seeing Oswald there a little after noon, are in *Photographic Whitewash* on facing pages 210-211.

Specter certainly was the demon investigator of his self-portrait when he and his Commission could not do what I did.

Or think of it, when it had been published and he was going to make a record, so to speak, of his passion he says is for truth!

A number of other items of the official evidence that each, separately, exculpates Oswald appear throughout this series of my books I am writing as a record for our history, including what I say above about Oswald not being on that sixth floor at the time of the crime and on the impossibility of that rifle having been used in the assassination shooting.

(The information about the *Dallas Cinema Associates* appears in *Photographic Whitewash* on pages 65, 98-106, 120, 241, 243, 245, and 249. Mrs. Irving (Anita) Gewirtz, who headed it, is on pages 101, 105, 107, and 243-249. The pages that hold information about Rudolf Victor Brenk, who put those schmaltzy parts together are on 100, 102, 104-106, 244-246, 249, 253-253. John Martin is on pages 106 and 254-255. *Wolper Productions* is on pages 99, 101, 103, 250-251.

Yes, indeed! Specter really was one hell of an investigator, as he even says himself.

Only he seems not to read.

It is not possible to believe that Specter, especially when he is a United States Senator, could so consistently, so totally wrong as he is by failed memory, by simple error of for any innocent reason. It also is not easy to believe that he did this, made himself as vulnerable as this book makes him – and what