Er. David G. Flanders, Chiof FOIA/PA Franch FOI Washington, J.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Panaders,

Thank you for your 12/4/79 response to my 10/19/79 1 ther to the mepartment in which I stated that the originals of the statements taken from employees of the Texas School Book Depository mulding were not with the retyped copies in the Folke JFK assessination file.

It now appears that the reason I could not find them in the HQ records is because the originals never reached FBIHQ.

You were kind enough to refer me to 100-10461-14232. Because of the considerable amount of work I have done to prepare the records for transfer to the University locating \$4232 was not the problem it might have been, coming from 1a through 6 being bound in a single very large Section or volume in which even the cover pages are internal.

although initial examination of this fat volume would lead one to believe that it consists of what the Warren Cosmission published, these statements are there, mixed in after the transcripts of the police radio log and some Parkland despital records.

The original of Ars. Arnold's statement confirms what she told a reporter I directed to her, that the statement was written for her by the FoI agent, who limited it to what he wanted included and then made an error in the time at which she left the building.

She said it was at 12:25 p.m., which is five minutes before the President was killed.

But the agent wrote 12:25 a.m., which is 12 hours earlier. The error is interesting.

It also is not the only error in time the FHI made. In an earlier interview no notes relating to which I have seen the FHI placed it at 12:15 p.m.

The former Mrs. Armeld knew Oswald. She told the FEI what is not in the statement written out for her to sign, that as she left the building she saw Oswald on the first floor. It happens that the FEI wanted Oswald to be an the sigth floor at that time and from its own reconstriction of the time required to reassemble the rifle it believes was disassembled busily engaged in reassembling it.

Note: Sid Aruda an She seen hote on Ly Plane at 411 12125 of an 150 flow

any abscomfort that hight have come from a witness who know usuald and saw him on the first floor at a time that procladed his being the sixth floor assessin was minimized by timing it 10 minutes too early. In this way the first report did minimize may discomfort.

Further mitigation comes from the omission of what is in the much later report,
the cases of five others who were with one. Arnold. When Mrs. Arnold signed this later
record the agent kex omitted the part about her just leading the building and seeing
consuld. Instead he crote in for her to sign that "I did not see her Harvey Oswald
at the time President Kennedy was shot."

This, of course, was five minutes after Brs. Armold told the FBI she bed seen Owwald on the first floor.

two records together and asked any of those with firs. Arnold for conformation of her statement that she saw Oswald at a time that eliminated him as the assassin. However, if I am wrong in this I most assuredly would appreciate being referred to any such records, whether they confirm or dispute what firs, arnold actually told the rist.

Thanks for the help. When a witness gives what would appear to be a protty farout statement, in this case that the FBI would misdate what she said by a half day, it is good to be able to confirm that it was not at all farout.

Mr. David G. Flanders, Chief FOIA/PA Branch FRI Washington, D.C. 20535

With the Sty Me



Dear Mr. Flanders,

Thank you for your 12/4/79 response to my 10/19/79 letter to the Department in which I stated that the originals of the statements taken from employees of the would be about the line of the bear there have Texas School Book Depository Suilding were not with the retyped copies in the FEIHQ to be in the control of a good wanter. JFK assassination file.

It now appears that the reason I could not find them in the HQ records is because The second of the second the originals never reached FRIHQ.

er to see the right part.

a most point the State on heat poses You were kind enough to refer me to 100-10461-1A232. Because of the considerable amount of work I have done to prepare the records for transfer to the University locating THE POST OF STREET AND AND THE RESTORAGE TO 13 3.5 2 2 14232 was not the problem it might have been, coming from 1a through 6 being bound MILL WASSIES AS MASSIES THE LONG TO LAND TO STAND OF THE in a single very large Section or volume in which even the cover pages are internal.

Although initial exemination of this fat volume would lead one to believe that r and the sector of a it consists of what the Warren Commission published, these statements are there, er of the tracks have being a right mixed in after the transcripts of the police radio log and some Parkland Hospital records.

rocké alimas se sa arabba da sael The original of Are. Arnold's statement confirms what she told a reporter I directed THE MARKS WERE BOTH A TO SELECT AND SELECT AS to her, that the statement was written for her by the FBI agent, who limited it to what he wanted included and then made an error in the time at which she left the building. She said it was at 12:25 p.m., which is five winutes before the President was killed. But the agent wrote 12:25 a.m., which is 12 hours earlier. The error is interesting. It also is not the only error in time the FEI nade. In an earlier interview no notes relating to which I have seen the FEI placed it at 12:15 p.m.

The former Mrs. Arnold knew Oswald. She told the FEI what is not in the statement written out for her to sign, that as she left the building she saw Oswald on the first floor. It happens that the FBI wanted Oswald to be an the sieth floor at that time and from its own recommendation of the time required to reassemble the rifle it believes was disassembled busily engaged in reassembling it.

Any discomfort that might have come from a witness who know Oswald and saw him on the first floor at a time that procluded his being the sixth floor assessin was mimimized by timing it 10 minutes too early. In this way the first report did minimize any discomfort.

Further mitigation comes from the omission of what is in the much later report, the names of five others who were with Mrs. Arnold. When Mrs. Arnold signed this later record the agent ker omitted the part about her just leaving the building and seeing Oswald. Instead he wrote in for her to sign that "I did not see Lee Harvey Oswald at the time President Kennedy was shot."

This, of course, was five minutes after Hrs. Armold told the FHI she had seen Oweald on the first floor.

I have no knowledge and no reason to believe that anyone in the FRE put these two records together and asked any of those with Mrs. Armold for conformation of her statement that she saw Oswald at a time that eliminated him as the assassin. However, if I am wrong in this I most assuredly would appreciate being referred to any such records, whether they confirm or dispute what Mrs. Armold actually told the FMI.

Thanks for the help. When a witness gives what would appear to be a pretty farout statement, in this case that the FBI would misdate what she said by a half day, it is good to be able to confirm that it was not at all farout.