

Notes for JFK's Collar (FOLDER 5/BOX 3)

Doc. 7 Wecht notes. He cites all the critical and vital shortcomings of the Bethesda prosecutors: None were qualified to do an autopsy and certainly not a forensic autopsy on JFK, . . . the most critical autopsy on any American in the 20th Century. . . (Had Oswald lived to go to trial the gov't's would have been thrown out of court. . .

Doc. 10 Review for any case I want to make about the slits in JFK's collar and the cut in the tie not made by bullets or bullet frags but by scalpels. . . .

Doc. 11 FOIA Suit 78-1078 for cite that it is chromium detected in the slits and the tie? (also ?)

Doc. 12 Hal interview w/ Carrico & practice of removing a tie in deadly situations w/ a scalpel. . . . Assumed case was same with JFK case. . . . check w/ nurses if needed. . .

NOTE: REFERENCE HAL'S PM AND NEVER AGAIN FOR MORE ON JFK'S COLLAR. I SHOULD FIND WHERE HALS MAKES THE UNAUUGUABLE CASE THAT THE SLITS AND THE HOLE IN JFK'S TIES MADE BY A SCALPEL . . .

5A DOUGH HORNE PIECE ON SHOT(S) FROM THE FROONT OF LIMO

NOTES FROM HAL'S The JFK Magic Bullet/Lost Its Magic

P. 1 Hal cites "Never Again" as the work incorporating this new material on Connally's wounds and JFK and how they contradict the WC's political constructions (Largely formulated by A. Specter. . .

* The SS/FBI version differed from the WC version of the shooting. But this disagreement was kept secret.

* PP. 1-2 he describes the Specter description of CE # 399 and its track thru Connally. . . p. 2 he notes Specter failed to ask Carrico re: where the bullet hole was located in relation to his collar. . . was it above or did it penetrate his collar. . . He notes that Dulles asked Carrico twice. Carrico responded twice that the bullet hole in JFK's neck was above the collar. (Need to check it out in the WC Records). . . Specter repressed this exchange from the Report. Folow w/ Carrico's account that the tie and collar were removed by the nurses w/ a scalpel. . . (p. 2) He that a

P.2 Notes from Hal's Magic Bullet

A clear pix of the shirt collar and tie appeared in the FBI's 5 volume report to LBJ. (I'll need to check w/ Hal's records for this). . . ****

At bottom of p. 3 he notes that FBI Frazier that no bullet traces were found on tie and collar by spectrographic analysis. . . Check on source: Specter ignored all this... .

p. Guinn testified before the HSCA 9/9/78 Lardner had story in WR next day where Guinn said new tests matched the frags in both JFK and Connally. . . (same bullet hit both men). . . But the frags tested by FBI (1964) had disappeared by the time Guinn received frags to test for the HSCA they were all different than the frags the FBI had tested before had all disappeared. . . He had no idea what happened to them. . .

P. 4 Hal notes that after Guinn testified to the HSCA on 9/9/1978 /. . . See Lardner's next day Wash. Post story . . . Lardner's story based on what he asked Guinn after he testified. . . Guinn told Lardner that "The fragments the FBI tested in 1964 "have all disappeared." Guinn told Fothian (HSCA) member that the frags the FBI tested "have all disappeared." He told Lardner that the weight of the official substances and those he was given were different.

P. 8 Interrogation of John Gallagher. . . It happened despite the opposition . . . He shifts to interrogation (deposing) of Frazier . . . on February 24.

P. 9 Both Frazier and Gallagher confirmed that spectro did not confirm any bullet metal on either the tie of the JFK collar. . (p. 56) although other places on clothing stuck by a bullet did bear traces under spectro analysis. . . Neither at any other time or during disposition did Frazier contend that the tears in the collar were caused by a bullet. . (58ff). . . Asked whether the damage to the collar & tie could have been caused by a bullet Frazier passed the buck to Paul Stombaugh (hair & fibers man (also see Stombaugh's lightness of being in Janney's "Mary's Mosaic.") Stombaugh never committed himself to any testing. . .) (p. 61 of Frazier's deposition

P. 3 Notes from Hal's Magic Bullet Paper

p. 10 Hal makes point that if there is no hole in the middle of the tie then the official solution is a bold faced fraud. . .

P.10 He cites Gallagher under oath during his questioning> He admitted there was no nick on the back of the tie (p. 10). He refused to state whether the slits coincided. (p. 11). He did admit that there is no damage to the back of the tie. . .that a bullet is supposed to have gone through . . .(no p. cited).Hal notes that the slits in the collar do not coincide. . . as they should if a bullet made these slits. (see or cite pixs in "Never Again.").