



File - Box 3

Writing Notes with Hal's CA 78-1107

From Early Evidence # 2 Sheet

Under Cap 1 Do I want to use Frazier's testimony about the bullet (CE#399) and bullet frags in the case (from the limo and Connally) were, as Frazier put in in WC testimony in a paraphrase of Gallagher's alleged report. . . ."all similar in metallic composition" which was meaningless. . . .

From Cap II

p. 63 Frazier said that frags from the front seat of limo not id as same as bullet frag and frag and windshield scrapings were all "similar." More meaningless stuff.

All of this has to be treated in the context of later revealed problems with NAA testing. . . .

*Notes*

1. p. 64 Frazier did not have CE# 399 tested serialogically. . . .to see if it held traces of blood and tissue . . . and possible match with bothy JFK and Connally if it indeed pass through both men. . . .Frazier implied in WC testimony that it was not necessary because it was clean when he received it. . . .Check his WC testimony. THIS ESPECIALLY USWFUL FOR JFK'S COLLAR CHAPTER. . . Point here: Was CE#399 ever in a human body despite all the claims made about it by the WC. (and the S/B theory). . .

CAP IV Gallagher and NAA testing. . . . was it done for Q3 the bullet frag found under the jump seat and Q 15 the residue of metal from scrapings from the windshield. . . .Check with Hal's deposing of Gallagher or was it Kilty about what evidentiary items were subjected to NAA testing. . . .It was very little.\*\*\*\*\* This could be useful\*\*\*\*\* Results found in CAP III p. 326 where the live round in K1 was never submitted to NAA testing. . . \*\*\*\*\*

Be sure to go over these sheets carefully for material apropos for Chapter JFK's Collar. . . .Some good stuff.