

File - P'land Drs + JFK's hounds

Rankin in 1/27 transcript tells us:

- He notes the uncertainty about JFK's wounds from the autopsy material. Rankin is perplexed about how to line up the neck wound with the wound in JFK's back. He notes that the autopsy pics show where the bullet entered the back "below the shoulder blade to the right of the backbone"... and "according to the autopsy didn't strike any bone at all ..., " He is right to be confused.
- At this point in time the WC cannot make sense out of the wound patterns.
 - But it is certain they have pics of JFK's wound in the rear and it is a BACK WOUND not doubt. And without any future explanation about the bullet hitting bone. . .there is no forensic way it could have exited JFK's neck. That is clear from the get-go and shows how the final explanation of the S/B theory is without scientific validity. . . .It was all made up to meet with political demands. . . (p. 212 of Wrone transcript. . . .
- Also Rankin and WC knew about the NAA testing as early as January ...
- P. 13 Rankin hits the nail on the head: "So the basic problem, what kind of wound is it in the front of the neck is of great importance to the investigation."

Point: Go back and check with White's "Wounds of JFK" for time period when pressure is placed on Parkland drs about the frontal wound. Also when the newspapers begin with the FBI's leaking of its results on the JFK wounds.

P. 215 There is all the confusion about who and where CE#399 was found... Russell asks Rankin "This isn't something that would run you stark mad." It will, of course, all be settled to meet political needs. But never meet the standards of provenance or chain of custody of evidence. This is where John Hunt enters....

Reference to NAA testing. . . Rankin hopes in a matter of several weeks there may be an answer to this puzzle about the neck wound. Could it have been produced by a frag or fragments from one of the three shots from the rear. "one, or something from a shot at the top of the head."

CHECK ON AUTOPSY PROTOCOL: DID THE OFFICIAL PROTOCOL HAVE A SHOT AT THE TOP OF THE HEAD? OR WAS THE ORIGINAL PROTOCOL HAVE THIS AND THEN IT WAS DESTROYED. . . .

I'll need to go back to my January 27th trans. in the garage for proper pagination.

Addendum: Back to text. P. 213

Jagu Bell M Know and