Inventories to RG 65

Box 109 JFK Collection(JFK Assassination) 62-109060-Bulky 8307X (Part 1)
Box # 109 (Frazier testimony)

- 1. Docs indicate that all the exhibits and evidence collected by FBI was transferred to the Archives. . . . (Was this true)
- 2. Request from Ed. Kern, Editor of Life Mag. 1/11/'67 requesting to speak to FBI lab man who ran tests on the bullets found in the limo, etc. See response: FBI position that frags from JFK and Connally "s wrist that all "were similar in composition." Action Taken" all findings were reported to the WC. . . FBI no help to Life Mag. (Hoover "Right"). Note that 1967 was year when the WC Critics were beginning to muster the first attack on the WC Report, the official mythology . . .See also attached similar responses to other newspaper organs. . . .FBI no help . .
- 3. *** This doc. to be filed with Chapter FBI 'Counterattack' on the Commission." Contains Rankin sweet talk to Hoover in Nov. 1964/Hoover thank you response/ and account of Wash Daily News re: Redlich connection w/ Emergency Civil Liberties Committee which defended accused subversives, etc. . .
- 4. Rosen to Belmont 3/24/'64 Rankin's request for independent exam of the physical evidence. Hoover blew his top . . . This should be filed for Chpter on "FBI Counterattack on WC." See attached 4A for more on this and Hoover's blowing his stack on Rankin's going for independent eval of the physical evidence. . . . Rankin trying to get "syrupy." See also 4B for more Hoover response. . . Malley to Rosen 2/17/'64 More Hoover venom re: related to WC desire to exam the Oswald rifle. . . Hoover: No time to play these games. . . . In short, the word of the FBI should be good enough for the WC. This fortified the WC's reaction in the Jan. 27th Ex. Session They want us to fold up and go home. ******
- 5. Shroder to Rosen 2/5/'64 on no. of shots fired. . . . any response. . .

- 6. Rosen to Belmont 3/12/'64 transcript of Specter's questioning of O'Neill & Sibert Use this w/ Chpt. "JFK's Collar>"
- 7. Redlich to Hoover 10/1/'64 Redlich "nice, nice" letter to Hoover. (I suspect this was at the promptings of Warren/Rankin. Fit it into the chronology of the campaign to ruin Redlich. File this w/chpter "FBI Counterattack" . . .
- 8. Wash Post story 9/28/'64 cites criticism of the FBI (and SS) in the matter of protection of POTUS See Hoover comment. . . Filed in "FBI CounterA" on WC. . .
- 9. Belmont to Tolson 9/28/'64 Belmont does analysis of the WCR on issue of security for POTUS. . .interlarded w/ Hoover's comments (not a happy camper). File this with CHPT "FBI Counter A on WC"
- 10.Dallas to Director 3/31/'64 Names the only two dealers in Dallas carrying 6.5 M/C

11.FBI lab worksheet notes that on this date of 3/16/'64 FBI lab gets the other 3 Tippit bullets for spectro examination In short, the argument that LHO shot Tippit which was part of the official story was based on that single bullet. . . Find its Q no. These three Q nos. C251,C252, C253. . . . I need to review the FBI results of the original or first Tippit bullet. . . . which were not dispositive. . . . ***** Who had these three other bullets? Were these held by Dallas PD. . . . 12.FBI Lab to Curry 11/23/'63 This is the FBI Lab results for physical evidence that Drain brought to SOG on morning of 11/23 without any chain of evidence procedure. . . . making all of this of no real evidentiary value. . . had there been a trial. . . Note that Q13 (p.2) was the one bullet from Tippit and p. 3 for Bulab read out on the bullet. Was it fired from Oswald's revolver??? Why not the other 3 bullets. . . from Tippit . . . Filed under "Early Evidence."

Inventory to RG 65

Page 3

13. Director to SAC, Dallas 2/14/'64 deals with pixs of Walker house. . .FBI relying on Marina to say that they were taken by Lee . . .tying him to the Walker shooting. Marina will oblige (I believe). . . File w/ Marina and copy to Walker file. . .

Box 109B

- 1. Jevons to Conrad 4/15/'64 FBI got Connally's clothes on 4/9/'64 I can have a little passing fun with this. . . .filed with "JFK"s Collar." Indicative of the passing indifference of the FBI to the true facts of the case. . .The entire exercise was to put together a case against Oswald and move on . . with the affairs of state. . .
- 2. FBI report on the windshield 3/20/'64 explaining that it indicated a shot from the rear. . . .
- 3. Jevons to Conrad 3/6/'64 report on NAA test results of Oswald's cheek and hand casts the FBI fabricated conclusion . . . indeterminate. (This was the Gallagher to Redlich recorded final word).
- 4. Jevons to Conrad 2/27/'64 reports the results achieved w/ NAA testing independently by V. Guinn of General Dynamics. . . It all flies in the face of the FBI politically controlled results. . . Results were that both cheeks of shooter were covered with nitrates. . . that the M/C rifle (the same model as K1) had terrific blowback . . .
- 5. Attachment to memo from Jevons to Conrad (above 2/37/'63) in which it discusses shortcomings of NAA testing. . . (always a signal that the results achieved were not to the FBI's wishes. Note p. 3 that Dallas authorities did the paraffin testing. . .not the FBI so results are suspect.

Transfer Sheets, P. 3

- 2. Refiled with Early Evidencee
- 3. Refiled under NAA testing
- 4. Refiled under NAA testing. . .
- 5. Refiled NAA testing
- 6. Refiled JFK's Collar
- 7. Refiled and attached w/ 6
- 8. Refiled with JFK's Collar

Box 109. P. 3

Jevons to Conrad 4/15/'64 FBI finally forced to locate Connally's clothes. . . . Can have fun with this in terms of FBI investigative indifference. . . .

- 1. Keep in place
- 2. Refiled to "FBI CA on WC"
- 3. Refiled to "FBI CA on WC"
- 4. 4. Refiled to "FBI CA on WC"
- 5. Refiled to Other Bullets
- 6. Refiled to JFK's Collar
- 7. Refiled to FBI CA on WC
- 8. Refiled to FBI CA on WC
- 9. Refiled FBI CA on WC
- 10.10. Refiled to Marina
- 11. & 12 Refiled Early Evidence

^{`13} Refiled to Marina

Box 110

- 1. These articles were placed in a separate file 1/1979 AG Levi's Report on Recording Scandal Involved Conrad, among other highly placed FBI senior officers. For now it is filed under "Early Evidence."
- 2. Jevons to Conrad 3/26/'64 Exam results of the 3 bullets that FBI finally collected in March 1964. . .Ballistics results could not confirm whether these 3 bullets came from Oswald's revolver. . . .which was the same report with the one the FBI had back in Nov. 1963. . . Note: Also a copy of this doc. refilled with "FBI CA on WC."

 2A Refiled w JFK's Collar.focused on Gallagher and NAA testing.
- 3. Refiled under Marina as star witness. . .
- 4. Refiled under Early evidence. . . . This refers to NAA testing/and the official govt. position that tests could not determine whether Oswald fired a rifle because of revolver residue on his hands, etc. . . The FBI line of this that Gallagher will have read into the record. . .
 - 5, 6, 7, and 8 All of these docs were relocated. One set went to "FBI CA on WC." A second set refilled under "Tippit Shooting." ------
 - 8. Refiled under Oswald's Rifle.
 - 9. Refiled under Early Evidence

-----+

- 10. Refiled under Early Evidence. . . .list of some of the evidence Drain brought to BuLab on 11/23. . .
- 11. Refiled to JFK's Collar.
- 12. One copy refilled with "FBI CA on WC"

Box 109B

1. Jevons to Conrad re: Connally's clothes. Reflied with JFK's collar.

Page 4 results achieved were not what the FBI wanted). Note p. 3 (1) Dallas authorities (Question: if FBI was de facto control why didn't it do the paraffin testing).

- 6. Hoover to Rankin 3/23/'64 Hoover sets up the "evidence" with JFK's clothes, esp. the shirt, collar, and tie, for shots) from the rear. Attached is a rebuttal from Jerry Policoff. . . .
- 7. Kelley to Wecht 3/20/'74 Raises issue in attached doc. of the alterted weights of the FBI samples used by Guinn for testing for HSCA were different in weight than the FBI reported originally. . . . I don't know if the source I "Gallery" mentioned by Blake was written by Polikoff attached to 6 above. . . The point is that the samples Guinn tested were samples that Frazier gouged from CE 399 from the base and represented these as particles from JFK's head. . . .
- 8. 8**** Important doc. Hoover to Rankin 7/8/'64 Here Hoover lists for Rankin the kinds of ballistic evidence that was subjected to NAA testing. . .See attached Wash Post story by Lardner 5/5/'75 for Weisberg criticism of the FBI testing as incomplete. . .argues that JFK and Connally's clothes should have been subject to NAA testing. . .

Inventory to RG 65 Box 110

- 1.** There are 2 articles involving comments re: Ivan W. Conrad. . . & FBI irregularities among him and other agents. . .
- 2. **** here docs that suggest that the NAA testing originally was set up to be carried out without anyone knowing except the FBI and Seaborg and Dr. English . . .But that Warren asked for info independent of FBI and now Seaborg feels obliged "to report that the AEC and FBI are conducting tests on the paraffin

casts of Oswald." Apparently FBI Gallagher wanted to run these to satisfy the AEC but not have the results circulated to the WC (especially if they did not support the official story.)***** Paraffin tests files

- 3. Rankin to Hoover 3/3/'64 Raises issues and questions re: Marina's 4 days of testimony before the WC. . . . He raises questions re: her testimony. . . and p. 2 about the shipment of rifle from Chicago w/ or without the scope which would mean that if the scope was not mounted that Lee would have had to have it mounted soon because the Walker shooting took place just about 2 weeks after Lee allegedly received the rifle. (if this is probative then I have to flesh out details)
- 4. March 10, 1964 Exchanges Jevons to Conrad and Hoover to Rankin both making same case that NAA testing could not determine whether or not the paraffin tests could determine whether Lee fired the weapon or not. . . This became the FBI party line. . .
- 5. Jevons to Conrad 3/12/'64 It was at this date that the Commission noted that the FBI had ignored all of the other three bullets extracted from Tippit and asked the FBI to retrieve them from the Dallas police and run tests. . . . Recall that the one bullet recovered from the scene was tested but was so mutilated that "it was not susceptible for identification." **I need to review what files I have on the FBI's reporting on the Tippit bullet. . . . This led to the WC seeking outside expert opinion on this and this and other ballistic evidence. Note: I can double use this. Both for FBI handling of the evidence and with Hoover's beginning displeasure with the Commission. (I may want to make a duplicate copy. Although I have this somewhere else. . .) Note: Connally clothes a factor here
 - 6. This is attached to doc. 5 above
 - 7. This is attached to above/same topic. . .
- 8. FBI doc 3/11/'64 deals with members of Klein's store in Chicago re: subject was rifles and whether they mounted scopes on M/C rifles. . .in this case. The general impression one gets from these interviews is that scopes were

Inventory to RG 65 Box 110, p. 6

part of the "package deal" unless the customer specifically requested against this. Issue here is that the scope on the M/C alleged to be Oswald's was mounted for a left-handed person . . . Oswald was right handed. . .

- 9. Hoover to Rankin 3/19/'64 on the paper bag. . .holding the rifle.
- 10. List of some of the key evidence that Drain carried to BuLab on 11/23
- 11. Hoover to Rankin 3/23/'64 Hoover lays out the Party line on the JFK collar. . .
- 12. Rosen to Belmont 3/24/'64 Culmination of WC's request for independent check on fire arms evidence. . . .Rankin was clearly upset according to Rosen about FBI "threat" is my language to have FBI lab step aside and allow the WC to use independent experts. . . .According to Rosen Rankin was all a tremble about this. . .telling Rosen tghat none of this was his idea. . .etc. . .He was all apology in face of this not so veiled threat. . . Rankin indicated that WC members would want independent exam of handwriting and fingerprint analysis. . .
- 13. Hoover to Rankin 3/26/'64 Eisenberg's requests from FBI
- 14. Jevons to Conrad 3/26/'64 FBI results of testing of the 3 Tippit bullets that it ignored until WC called on it to collect and test. . . . Test concluded that it could not be determined if these bullets were fired from Oswald's revolver. . .

Box 110B/RG 65

- 1. Gauthier to Callahan 9/15/'64 Liebeler wanted to use pix of Oswald shown in paper showing him with clenched fist (symbol of Red resistance) in the WCR as to motive. . . This should be filed under motive.
- 2. 2. Rosen to Belmont 9/22/'64 Hoover does not want the FBI to be

RESPONSIBLE FOR THE exhibits. . .

- 3. Rosen to Belmont 9/21/'64 Same theme as in #2.
- 4. Redlich to Hoover 9/1/'64 Redlich compliments to Hoover and FBI and Hoover (false) appreciation. Hoover was part of effort to destroy Redlich. Redlich probably inspired to write on advice from Rankin and/or Warren. Use with chpt. FBI counterattack on the Commission.

Box 110A /RG65

- 1. Group of docs related to Ruby and his determination to take a polygraph involves leaking to media of critical stuff. . . .
- 2. Latona to Trotter 4/2/'64 Latona provides précis of his WC testimony... He notes the latent palm print found on rifle by Dallas PD (Day)... probably lifted off the corpse of Oswald.
- 3. Summary of Cunningham's WC testimony re: The Tippit bullets. He also went into some discussion about the Walker bullet: might want to review this. . .
- 4. Griffith to Conrad 4/3/'64 FBI trying to make case that the material for the paper bag came from the TSBD.
- 5. Jevons to Conrad 4/3/'64 discusses Stombaugh's 4/3/'64 WC testimony. . . . He did his hair and fibers bit. Did he go into the business of the JFK collar and the orientation of the fibers as Frazier allegedly testified to Weisberg that he tasked him to do . . . If he did this issue was probably not raised before the Commission. . . ****This is point I will pursue. . .
- 6. Rosen to Belmont 4/17/'64 raises the point that the WC wanted to question certain CP officials on the NY CP party. . . SOG was troubled that this might end up blowing the cover of some of these officials who were FBI sources. . . *****Use this possibly w/ Hoover's readiness to pin all and everything on the CP party. . . See this depicted in the Tickler file in BOT (Allen Tickler)****

- 7. Belmont to Tolson 4/24/'64 deals w/ the Oswald note to Hosty. . . Belmont suggested to Shanklin that Hosty could add a sentence to his affidavit that he had no reason to believe Oswald was violent. . .
- 8. Branigan to Sullivan 5/1/'64 FBI makes case for its not suspecting that Oswald could be violent. . . . (all to expunge from the record the words of the Hosty note).
- 9. Griffith to Conrad 5/1/'64 deals with report on Cadigan's 3-hour meeting with the WC. . . . He did id the Walker note as written by Oswald (need to check on how he presented this).
- 10. Rankin to Hoover 5/12/'64... Notes that Marina id pixs that Lee told her were photos of General Walker's home...
- 11.Rankin to Hoover 5/13/'64 Rankin asks Hoover for info on who might have mutilated the pix (WC Exhibit 5) & FBI inventory # 369. Rankins asks for e3xplanation of who mutilated the pix by punching hole in the iiscence plate of the car in the pix. . . . This is Liebeler's hang up . . .

Backup Copy

Inventories to RG 65

Box 109 JFK Collection(JFK Assassination) 62-109060-Bulky 8307X (Part 1)

Box # 109 (Frazier testimony)

- 1. Docs indicate that all the exhibits and evidence collected by FBI was transferred to the Archives. . . . (Was this true)
- 2. Request from Ed. Kern, Editor of Life Mag. 1/11/'67 requesting to speak to FBI lab man who ran tests on the bullets found in the limo, etc. See response: FBI position that frags from JFK and Connally "s wrist that all "were similar in composition." Action Taken" all findings were reported to the WC. . . FBI no help to Life Mag. (Hoover "Right"). Note that 1967 was year when the WC Critics were beginning to muster the first attack on the WC Report, the official mythology . . .See also attached similar responses to other newspaper organs. . . .FBI no help . .
- 3. *** This doc. to be filed with Chapter FBI 'Counterattack' on the Commission." Contains Rankin sweet talk to Hoover in Nov. 1964/Hoover thank you response/ and account of Wash Daily News re: Redlich connection w/ Emergency Civil Liberties Committee which defended accused subversives, etc. . .
- 4. Rosen to Belmont 3/24/'64 Rankin's request for independent exam of the physical evidence. Hoover blew his top . . . This should be filed for Chpter on "FBI Counterattack on WC." See attached 4A for more on this and Hoover's blowing his stack on Rankin's going for independent eval of the physical evidence. . . . Rankin trying to get "syrupy." See also 4B for more Hoover response. . . Malley to Rosen 2/17/'64 More Hoover venom re: related to WC desire to exam the Oswald rifle. . . Hoover: No time to play these games. . . . In short, the word of the FBI should be good enough for the WC. This fortified the WC's reaction in the Jan. 27th Ex. Session . . . They want us to fold up and go home. ******
- 5. Shroder to Rosen 2/5/'64 on no. of shots fired. . . . any response. . .

- 6. Rosen to Belmont 3/12/'64 transcript of Specter's questioning of O'Neill & Sibert Use this w/ Chpt. "JFK's Collar>"
- 7. Redlich to Hoover 10/1/'64 Redlich "nice, nice" letter to Hoover. (I suspect this was at the promptings of Warren/Rankin. Fit it into the chronology of the campaign to ruin Redlich. File this w/chpter "FBI Counterattack" . . .
- 8. Wash Post story 9/28/'64 cites criticism of the FBI (and SS) in the matter of protection of POTUS See Hoover comment. . . Filed in "FBI CounterA" on WC. . .
- Belmont to Tolson 9/28/'64 Belmont does analysis of the WCR on issue of security for POTUS. . .interlarded w/ Hoover's comments (not a happy camper). File this with CHPT "FBI Counter A on WC"
- 10.Dallas to Director 3/31/'64 Names the only two dealers in Dallas carrying 6.5 M/C

11.FBI lab worksheet notes that on this date of 3/16/'64 FBI lab gets the other 3 Tippit bullets for spectro examination In short, the argument that LHO shot Tippit which was part of the official story was based on that single bullet . . . Find its Q no. These three Q nos. C251,C252, C253. . . . I need to review the FBI results of the original or first Tippit bullet . . . which were not dispositive. . . . ***** Who had these three other bullets? Were these held by Dallas PD. . . 12.FBI Lab to Curry 11/23/'63 This is the FBI Lab results for physical evidence that Drain brought to SOG on morning of 11/23 without any chain of evidence procedure. . . . making all of this of no real evidentiary value. . . had there been a trial. . . Note that Q13 (p.2) was the one bullet from Tippit and p. 3 for Bulab read out on the bullet. Was it fired from Oswald's revolver??? Why not the other 3 bullets. . . from Tippit . . . Filed under "Early Evidence."

Inventory to RG 65

Page 3

13. Director to SAC, Dallas 2/14/'64 deals with pixs of Walker house. . .FBI relying on Marina to say that they were taken by Lee . . .tying him to the Walker shooting. Marina will oblige (I believe). . . File w/ Marina and copy to Walker file. . .

Box 109B

- 1. Jevons to Conrad 4/15/'64 FBI got Connally's clothes on 4/9/'64 I can have a little passing fun with this. . . .filed with "JFK"s Collar." Indicative of the passing indifference of the FBI to the true facts of the case. . .The entire exercise was to put together a case against Oswald and move on . . with the affairs of state. . .
- 2. FBI report on the windshield 3/20/'64 explaining that it indicated a shot from the rear. . . .
- 3. Jevons to Conrad 3/6/'64 report on NAA test results of Oswald's cheek and hand casts the FBI fabricated conclusion . . . indeterminate. (This was the Gallagher to Redlich recorded final word).
- 4. Jevons to Conrad 2/27/'64 reports the results achieved w/ NAA testing independently by V. Guinn of General Dynamics. . . It all flies in the face of the FBI politically controlled results. . . Results were that both cheeks of shooter were covered with nitrates. . . that the M/C rifle (the same model as K1) had terrific blowback . . .
- 5. Attachment to memo from Jevons to Conrad (above 2/37/'63) in which it discusses shortcomings of NAA testing. . . (always a signal that the results achieved were not to the FBI's wishes. Note p. 3 that Dallas authorities did the paraffin testing. . .not the FBI so results are suspect.

Page 4

results achieved were not what the FBI wanted). Note p. 3 (1) Dallas authorities (Question: if FBI was de facto control why didn't it do the paraffin testing).

- 6. Hoover to Rankin 3/23/'64 Hoover sets up the "evidence" with JFK's clothes, esp. the shirt, collar, and tie, for shots) from the rear. Attached is a rebuttal from Jerry Policoff. . . .
- 7. Kelley to Wecht 3/20/'74 Raises issue in attached doc. of the alterted weights of the FBI samples used by Guinn for testing for HSCA were different in weight than the FBI reported originally. . . . I don't know if the source I "Gallery" mentioned by Blake was written by Polikoff attached to 6 above. . . The point is that the samples Guinn tested were samples that Frazier gouged from CE 399 from the base and represented these as particles from JFK's head. . . .
- 8. 8**** Important doc. Hoover to Rankin 7/8/'64 Here Hoover lists for Rankin the kinds of ballistic evidence that was subjected to NAA testing. . .See attached Wash Post story by Lardner 5/5/'75 for Weisberg criticism of the FBI testing as incomplete. . .argues that JFK and Connally's clothes should have been subject to NAA testing. . .

Inventory to RG 65 Box 110

- 1.** There are 2 articles involving comments re: Ivan W. Conrad. . . & FBI irregularities among him and other agents. . .
- 2. **** here docs that suggest that the NAA testing originally was set up to be carried out without anyone knowing except the FBI and Seaborg and Dr. English . . .But that Warren asked for info independent of FBI and now Seaborg feels obliged "to report that the AEC and FBI are conducting tests on the paraffin

casts of Oswald." Apparently FBI Gallagher wanted to run these to satisfy the AEC but not have the results circulated to the WC (especially if they did not support the official story.)***** Paraffin tests files

- 3. Rankin to Hoover 3/3/'64 Raises issues and questions re: Marina's 4 days of testimony before the WC. . . . He raises questions re: her testimony. . . and p. 2 about the shipment of rifle from Chicago w/ or without the scope which would mean that if the scope was not mounted that Lee would have had to have it mounted soon because the Walker shooting took place just about 2 weeks after Lee allegedly received the rifle. (if this is probative then I have to flesh out details)
- 4. March 10, 1964 Exchanges Jevons to Conrad and Hoover to Rankin both making same case that NAA testing could not determine whether or not the paraffin tests could determine whether Lee fired the weapon or not. . . This became the FBI party line. . .
- 5. Jevons to Conrad 3/12/'64 It was at this date that the Commission noted that the FBI had ignored all of the other three bullets extracted from Tippit and asked the FBI to retrieve them from the Dallas police and run tests. . . . Recall that the one bullet recovered from the scene was tested but was so mutilated that "it was not susceptible for identification." **I need to review what files I have on the FBI's reporting on the Tippit bullet. . . . This led to the WC seeking outside expert opinion on this and this and other ballistic evidence. Note: I can double use this. Both for FBI handling of the evidence and with Hoover's beginning displeasure with the Commission. (I may want to make a duplicate copy. Although I have this somewhere else. . .) Note: Connally clothes a factor here
 - 6. This is attached to doc. 5 above
 - 7. This is attached to above/same topic. . .
- 8. FBI doc 3/11/'64 deals with members of Klein's store in Chicago re: subject was rifles and whether they mounted scopes on M/C rifles. . .in this case. The general impression one gets from these interviews is that scopes were

Inventory to RG 65 Box 110, p. 6

part of the "package deal" unless the customer specifically requested against this. Issue here is that the scope on the M/C alleged to be Oswald's was mounted for a left-handed person . . . Oswald was right handed. . .

- 9. Hoover to Rankin 3/19/'64 on the paper bag. . .holding the rifle.
- 10. List of some of the key evidence that Drain carried to BuLab on 11/23
- 11. Hoover to Rankin 3/23/'64 Hoover lays out the Party line on the JFK collar. . .
- 12. Rosen to Belmont 3/24/'64 Culmination of WC's request for independent check on fire arms evidence. . . .Rankin was clearly upset according to Rosen about FBI "threat" is my language to have FBI lab step aside and allow the WC to use independent experts. . . .According to Rosen Rankin was all a tremble about this. . .telling Rosen tghat none of this was his idea. . .etc. . .He was all apology in face of this not so veiled threat. . . Rankin indicated that WC members would want independent exam of handwriting and fingerprint analysis. . .
- 13. Hoover to Rankin 3/26/'64 Eisenberg's requests from FBI
- 14. Jevons to Conrad 3/26/'64 FBI results of testing of the 3 Tippit bullets that it ignored until WC called on it to collect and test. . . . Test concluded that it could not be determined if these bullets were fired from Oswald's revolver. . .

Box 110B/RG 65

- 1. Gauthier to Callahan 9/15/'64 Liebeler wanted to use pix of Oswald shown in paper showing him with clenched fist (symbol of Red resistance) in the WCR as to motive. . . This should be filed under motive.
- 2. 2. Rosen to Belmont 9/22/'64 Hoover does not want the FBI to be

Box 110B/ RG 65

RESPONSIBLE FOR THE exhibits. . .

- 3. Rosen to Belmont 9/21/'64 Same theme as in #2.
- 4. Redlich to Hoover 9/1/'64 Redlich compliments to Hoover and FBI and Hoover (false) appreciation. Hoover was part of effort to destroy Redlich. Redlich probably inspired to write on advice from Rankin and/or Warren. Use with chpt. FBI counterattack on the Commission.

Box 110A /RG65

- 1. Group of docs related to Ruby and his determination to take a polygraph involves leaking to media of critical stuff. . . .
- 2. 2. Latona to Trotter 4/2/'64 Latona provides précis of his WC testimony. . .He notes the latent palm print found on rifle by Dallas PD (Day). . . probably lifted off the corpse of Oswald.
- 3. Summary of Cunningham's WC testimony re: The Tippit bullets. He also went into some discussion about the Walker bullet: might want to review this. . .
- 4. Griffith to Conrad 4/3/'64 FBI trying to make case that the material for the paper bag came from the TSBD.
- 5. 5. Jevons to Conrad 4/3/'64 discusses Stombaugh's 4/3/'64 WC testimony. . . . He did his hair and fibers bit. Did he go into the business of the JFK collar and the orientation of the fibers as Frazier allegedly testified to Weisberg that he tasked him to do . . . If he did this issue was probably not raised before the Commission. . .****This is point I will pursue. . .
- 6. Rosen to Belmont 4/17/'64 raises the point that the WC wanted to question certain CP officials on the NY CP party. . . SOG was troubled that this might end up blowing the cover of some of these officials who were FBI sources. . . *****Use this possibly w/ Hoover's readiness to pin all and everything on the CP party. . . See this depicted in the Tickler file in BOT (Allen Tickler)****

- 7. Belmont to Tolson 4/24/'64 deals w/ the Oswald note to Hosty...
 Belmont suggested to Shanklin that Hosty could add a sentence to his affidavit that he had no reason to believe Oswald was violent...
- 8. Branigan to Sullivan 5/1/'64 FBI makes case for its not suspecting that Oswald could be violent. . . . (all to expunge from the record the words of the Hosty note).
- 9. Griffith to Conrad 5/1/'64 deals with report on Cadigan's 3-hour meeting with the WC. . . . He did id the Walker note as written by Oswald (need to check on how he presented this).
- 10. Rankin to Hoover 5/12/'64... Notes that Marina id pixs that Lee told her were photos of General Walker's home...
- 11. Rankin to Hoover 5/13/'64 Rankin asks Hoover for info on who might have mutilated the pix (WC Exhibit 5) & FBI inventory # 369. Rankins asks for e3xplanation of who mutilated the pix by punching hole in the iiscence plate of the car in the pix. . . . This is Liebeler's hang up . . .