

E.

Dear Dick Smith,

11/29/85

Because some of you in the Times' D.C. bureau may in the future have some interest in the J. Edgar Hoover-Earl Warren relationship, the subject of an un-attributed story in today's Times that Jim Hesar read to me, it is a lawyer, Alexander Charns, 119 Orange St., Durham, N.C. 27702.

I guess that Charns wrote the story he planned and that the story is the source used by the Times' correspondent. The FBI documents were released to him.

The story refers to Hoover's alleged belief that Warren leaked the FBI's first report, ordered by LBJ, a five-volume job that became the Commission's first file by number, CD1. If after 22 years your bureau has those in it at the time of the assassination you may know that this is false. The extensive leaking was before any copy of the report was out of FBI possession and was by it, by the DeLoach operation, and as I recall it is DeLoach who denied that the FBI had done the leaking. The first leak was about two weeks before the Hoover note cited in the story and a full week before the first copy of the report was given to the Commission. (12/9/63 Commission receipt, first publication of leak about 12/2/63, extensive publication about 12/5/63. The Commission held an executive session that went into the leaking four days before it got any copy.)

Although it is by now apparent that to the Times as to most of the major media there is no news interest in undenied felonies by the DJ and FBI or their resort to felonies to restrict both information and uses of FOIA, for the completeness of the file of your associate I enclose a copy of my Response to the FBI's Opposition to my Motion to Reconsider. I filed it 11/2 and there has not been any word from Judge Smith since. But he'll flail his rubber stamp in time.

Best wishes,


Harold Weisberg

Dear Rick Smith,

11/29/85

Because some of you in the Times' D.C. bureau may in the future have some interest in the J. Edgar Hoover-Earl Warren relationship, the subject of an un-attributed story in today's Times that Jim Hesar read to me, it is a lawyer, Alexander Charns, 119 Orange St., Durham, N.C. 27702.

I guess that Charns wrote the story he planned and that the story is the source used by the Times' correspondent. The FBI documents were released to him.

The story refers to Hoover's alleged belief that Warren leaked the FBI's first report, ordered by LBJ, a five-volume job that became the Commission's first file by number, CD1. If after 22 years your bureau has those in it at the time of the assassination you may know that this is false. The extensive leaking was before any copy of the report was out of FBI possession and was by it, by the DeLoach operation, and as I recall it is DeLoach who denied that the FBI had done the leaking. The first leak was about two weeks before the Hoover note cited in the story and a full week before the first copy of the report was given to the Commission. (12/9/63 Commission receipt, first publication of leak about 12/2/63, extensive publication about 12/5/63. The Commission held an executive session that went into the leaking four days before it got any copy.)

Although it is by now apparent that to the Times as to most of the major media there is no news interest in undenied felonies by the DJ and FBI or their resort to felonies to restrict both information and uses of FOIA, for the completeness of the file of your associate I enclose a copy of my Response to the FBI's Opposition to my Motion to Reconsider. I filed it 11/2 and there has not been any word from Judge Smith since. But he'll flail his rubber stamp in time.

Best wishes,



Harold Weisberg