

“Honorable Men” Chpt 9 “Deeply Troubling Questions”

Point made in last Chpt. (chpt. 8) revealed that the WC had no case against Oswald at all . . .He notes that the WC could not even place Oswald at the scene of the crime at the time of the crime. . . .despite the contrary proof in its own records. The Commission’s own records establish a clear case of Oswald’s own innocence. (p.121). Oswald could not have been convicted by a uncorrupted and unterrified jury.

Note: p. Hal (p. 122) notes that he had 125,000 of FBI assassination records via FOIA requests.

(p. 2)He notes that both the FBI and the WC began their work on the case with “Conclusion First.” The FBI had the same approach in its first report to the Commission that is CD-1. He cites his own establishment of this by Hoover and Katz in Never Again (p. 122). And in Post Mortem.

Hal notes that Oswald as a govt informer or source was early allude to by reporter like Lonnie Hudkins in January 1, 1964 story in Houston Post and one by Joe Goulden in the Phila Inquirer. . .

p. 124/125 He notes the omission of the ONI as a possible employer of Oswald. . . The WC never even mentioned this govt. agency at all. ./ . the most likely agency to take an interest in Oswald. . . He adds more. . . notes John Donovan’s remarks re: Oswald before the Commission (8H298 ff.)

p. 126 Hal raises the question re: Where did Oswald learn the Russian language? Never answered or raised by the WC?

The rest of the chapter deals w/ how the FBI avoided the issue: Was Oswald a FBI (or govt) asset. . . This chpt. Is a bit scattered. But Hal has his account of Weybright and the skunk that was David Lifton . . .

Two

“Honorable Men” Chapter 10 What Happened to CIA No. 110669? Was it Oswald?

Classic case of WC suppression of anything touching on Oswald as a low level agent of the US government. . . .I may need to review this chpt. Later when I have a better idea for how to use. . .

Chapter 11 “The People ofGoing to Believe The Results of the Investigation. . . .My rendition of title. . .

Starts with the threat on Hosty. . . Why suppress the threat? The FBI never told the Dallas PD or the SS . . of “Defector” Oswald’s presence in Dallas before JFK’s visit. .The FBI explained reason for notGood chpt. But need to reread to decide on how to use. . .

Chapter 12 Two Texans and hat They Remember. . . .

Deals w/ Storey (Dean of SMU) he was emeritus when Hal met w/ him in Dallas. The other Texan was Henry Wade. . Shifts to Ruby and Hal asserts on p. 172 that Ruby was an F BI informant.. . but FBI dropped him in time. . . P. 173 gives an overview of Ruby’s FBI informant status.. . PP. 174-5 Some of Ruby’s disgusting habits. . . .P. 175 Storey was convinced that Oswald was a “Red.”

PP.177-178 Hal turns to Storey and Wade and the January 24th mtg (w/Rankin& Warren). Point here: Rankin set up the meeting with a stenographer who allegedly took notes of the meeting. . . .But there was no transcript. . there would be no record of this sessionRankin’s gambit w/ the January 24th session was the same stunt he played on Russell w/ the subverting of that session in September 18, 1964 session forced by Russell . . (see Breach of Trust). . .Hal cites Mark Allen’s damage-control tickler I have in “Breach.” Notes FBI preparing dossiers on Commission members and staff. . . (178). There is more from this tickler This Chpt. Runs detail on the WC’s (Rankin/Warren) to avoid any real investigation into Oswald and the possibility that he was some low level asset of the FBI or the CIA.

Chapter 13 The January 27th Transcript. . .