Hal's "Honorable Men"

Foreward. . p. v WC evolved what can be called the "official truth" of Dallas. That is, not the truth at all but what the govt. wanted the public to accept as the truth. (v)

p. vi notes that the HSCA ultimately came out and admitted that the WC Report was in error and that

p. xi a juicy bit on Russell and his refusal to accept the s/b theory. . .Russell's Dissent.. He was convinced that the executive agencies knew about Oswald. . . . (CIA. . . etc ONI, etc. . . P. xii Hal has snappy description of the W/C's S/B theory. Review. . . Cites WC Report p. 19 on WC description of the S/B theory. . . This was the "meat" of the Jan/22 WC session . . See Post Mortem pps 487 As cited) where Dulles said that the record they were making "ought to be destroyed."

P. xiii The adulteration of transcript of 11/22 WC session . . . The transcript had been altered to simply reporting of free copies of the Report and hearings. . . nothing about the substances of the 11/22 session .. . Check Hal's report on Russell's reaction to this when Hal showed him the forgery. . . See WW IV, p. 21. The court records reported that there had been no court reported present that day. . . . review WW IV p. 21. . .

p. xiv I made a copy of this page. It can be used in future work.

p. xvi He turns to Curry, who reported in his book that the Dallas PD could not place Oswald at the scene of the crime at the time of the crime and not able to place the rifle in his hands. . .

xvi CD-1 was 5 volumes. In these 5 vols the FBI makes only 2 references to the crime itself and the shooting in it. See Hal's WW on p. 197. Actually, in the official story the FBI does not even mention this. That means in the official FBI account in the five volumes the Bureau does not mention the cause of death. It doesn't even mention the shot that missed which is recorded in its own files. (xvi-xvii)

end of Introduction

Chapter one Point here: To demonstrate that the Commission's work was dominated by the staff. . . They were responsible for the assassination of the truth about JFK's murder.

Hal's Honorable Men, Chapter One, P. 2

Hal takes crack at the single-bullet theory... Then attacks Specter as architect of the single/bullet theory... A little history of Specter's shift from Democratic to GOP... (p.2).

Hal notes that he moved to accuse the FBI of perjury. . . . FBI backed off and admitted that Hal knew more about the case than the FBI. . . p. 2

- p. 3 Hal notes that an eminent expert told Commission that the magic of the magic bullet was impossible. . . See Never Again, pp. 231-3and 291-6 where he announced to the WC that the magic in the magic bullet was impossible.*****He cites Russell and Cooper's dissent. . . and its repression . . .p. 5 This is cited in Whitewash IV,. . . He notes Rakin's suppression of Russell's dissent. . . by faking an account of that session . . .Rankin foisted on the Commissioners and the American people a "manufactured, fake" copy of the transcript was delivered to the members until after the end of the commission's life. . . . Which members paid no attention to at that time. . .p. 5
- p. 6 Hal deals with WC transcript for the January 22, 1964, the one the Commission decided to destroy... Hal reproduced the 22nd transcript in Post Mortem (475-487)... there is more on page. Hal deals w/ Russell staff assistant C.E. Campbell ... See Campbell's memo on the 4 books by Hal that Hal gave him .. check on Campbell in Hal's archive...P. 7 Hal cites WC Report's efforts to sleeze by in Report on p. 19 of WCR where report language says ""it is not necessary to any essential findings of the Commission to determine which shot hit Connally..." There was nothing more "essential to the Commission's "findings" that this one shot that hit Connally also hit Kennedy and that it alone inflicted all seven nonfatal wounds on both men." It was essential that one bullet hit JFK and it alone inflicted all 7 non-fatal wounds on both men."
- P. 8 I made copy of page Also pp. 9 & 10 more great detail on the staff and its responsibility for most of the investigation and results of the work of the Commission . . .Could be reviewed with advantage. . . Hal goes on for several pages on how Congressional Committees have operated and operated w/ the Warren Commission . . .Essentially it it is the staff that questions the witnesses and writes the report. . .the Commission members all too busy. . . *** Worth a review if needed. . .

Honorable Men

July 5

includes taking most of the testimony. Among the many depositions at which no single Member was present was the testimony relating to that missed shot. Most of the witnesses who testified, testified when no Member was present and thus that was testimony at which no Commissioner was able to ask any questions or evaluate witness demeanor, an important consideration in evaluating testimony.

That the staff took advantage of this situation to foist off on the Commission and all the rest of us a Phony "solution" was made possible by the fabrication of a phony record of that executive session at which Russell and Cooper refused to sign a Report based as it is on that single-bullet theory.

That is how, faithful to Orwell, our history was being rewritten while it was being written, how a knowingly impossible "solution" to the assassination of a President became the formal, official "solution."

That is why, too, the crime remains unsolved. It is also the cause of great and lingering disenchantment with government.

While I did not anticipate it in beginning this work, I came to believe that little, if anything, can begin to restore faith in government as much as an honest admission that the expected job had not been done and that the government did not report honestly to the people when their popular President was assassinated.

That it did not tell us the truth and that there was anything but unanimity in the official Report on that assassination is herein set forth as it never has been before and with official documents little known and most of which have never been seen before.

Incredible, even impossible as it may seem, we now have the official proof that the official unanimous "solution" was created by unprecedented deception that had the purpose of seeing to it that the existing firm contradiction of that "solution" would not exist. Without this unprecedented trickery that "solution" could not have been dared.

When Truth is our History, Truth buried, even slain, can rise again.

To help make that possible is the purpose of my writing.

The Truth of this writing is not merely that two Members of the Warren Commission strongly disagreed with its Report's basic conclusion. That means they did not agree with the official solution to that most deeply subversive of crimes, that most terrible of crimes, the assassination of a President.

That is a crime that nullifies our entire system. This time, too, it did that, as it had done before. For example, when Abraham Lincoln was assassinated.

Nor is it only that this could be contrived- even that it dared be.

Nor that it could be hidden for so long, with all that means to and about our national life.

Not even that so many in positions of trust and responsibility could have a hand in that slaying of truth.

And then engage in that added and awful crime of silence. And prosper from it, as so many on the Commission's staff did. Prosper and advance to high positions of added authority and responsibility in our national life. Like the father of that bastard, the single-bullet theory. In time, and it was a short time, he became a respected United States Senator himself- the Senator of Anita Hill fame. Fame? or is it infamy?

Incredible? <u>Incredible</u>!

The Truth of our History is a truth of many truths.

One of these many truths that should not have to rise again, and I hope by my writing can be helped to not rise again, is that this could happen. and it did happen.

These commissioners were among the most eminent of men. Russell and Cooper were, although of different political views, wise, sophisticated, experienced and informed as few can be. Each was respected by those in particular who shared their beliefs, as well as by some who disagreed with them. They had long and honored political careers. In our national life of that day they were among our wise men. And, without question, whether or not they wanted the great responsibilities imposed upon them, as Russell clearly did not, they did their best to meet those responsibilities.