

Doll

11/24/83

Thanks for your letter. We both hope we'll see you if you work on a story lear here.

On page 34 of the issue there is a paragraph that interest me, if you can let me have a copy of the underlying info.

It refers to the official WEST line on the assassination, as reflected in the book quoted. The paraphrase that interests me adds one thing to the effection of the USSR position from Mosenko:

"The authors claim that Oswald was a low-level CIA agent who made his wellmount trip to Russia to pass was false information about the U.S. Fadar net around apan to the Coviets."

While I'm not inclined to believe anything from the USSR on the subject, certainly of without independent confirmation or some reason to credit it, the fact is that swall did have that information and it is not somerally known. The codes he knew sould not have been of any value because they were changed, but the range and other apabilities and the blind spots and other limitations of his and all surrounding mits, plus the measures taken to protect the radar from missles, he knew very sell and, in the words of the officer under whom he work, he was "schooled" in hese things. Plus the range of the related radio.

Co, although the USSR could have made all of this up for its own reasons, the act is that unlike 95% of the radar operators, he had much extra knowledge because f his assignments.

So, although I'm dubicus, I'd like very much to know exactly what this book says. can then assess it and see if there is any independent confirmation.

It is in doing this with the report I received from a former Marine companion, encoder, that led me to proof that he was the high claurences and worked on CIA rojects.

In checking out Jean Davison's book I spotted what I'd forgotten from my 1966 Of ork, that Uswald's Parine assignments were all related to the CIA. (At the same ime, in considering whether he served any agency, I've not ruled ONI out.)

Apparently Norten is not yet doing much with her book. If you please get anything cout it. I'l like to see it because what she has done serves CIA interests persetly and, while there may be no relationship, she married a new with the same name a CIA man with Air Force cover in the Moscow Embassy whose mother's name is in swald's notebook and both are Georgians. She married John Davison and although I now of no relationship, the embassy man, Alexis, was caught in the Penkovsky case.

I'd appreciate it if you mention this interest to Paul or any other to whom any ifo or efforts to sell ancillary rights might be referred.

You might tell Paul that I've received a report I cannot confirm that by plans me kind of new report for about the first of the year. I do know that some new cords have been processed for disclosure and they are within my requests but I've it received them yet and they may withhold until they make their own (mis)uses.

The disclosures to date.

Best to you all,