Washington, March 16, 1964

BACKGROUND FOR ASSASSINATION

WR OT

13

Four months have now passed into the swift stream of history since the assassination of President John F. Kennedy. The people of the United States are emerging, still somewhat dazed, from a deep sense of provided loss. The feeling was as real and deep in most of those who respond to the President's political views as in those who shared them. Because it is the prove dent's political views as in those who shared them. Because it is the prove of America politics, good and reasonable men are expected to differ with one encoher and from this very divergence, our strength is drawn and our system flourishes. So it was that, while political assassinations seem to occur as a matter of course in many parts of the world. Americans were studied, not only by the great human tragedy but by the ultimate affront to the Constitution of the United States and the orderly processes of government we have come to expect.

The facts, thoroughly aired in newspapers around the world, buildate the gase of Lee Harvey Oswald, to a moral certainty. However, if the following a cher is that Oswald might reveal his motive, it died with him and, as a consequence Americans are asking questions and propounding theories about the act and the man.

When first notified that President Kennedy was dead. Presides Anders Jobe immediately considered the possibility that his assessmall interpretence the part of a plan of attack against our country and its leaders. Prevent that ne of Mr. Kennedy's death be withheld a few minutes so be could bet to have Fire a more secure location with immediate access to transport to the access to transport to the optimum tions. He thus first thought of political assassination in the Valent form. In the light of historic Soviet use of assassination, the Preshand's political and wise.

The Nature of Political Assassination

Americans are aware that murders occur, as acts of individual possion or premeditation, as acts of mob violence, as the calculated acts of eribre synchcates. At the same time, because for many conjucies they have had to be part in Anglo-American political traditions, political assassinations seem far more unreal to most Americans. They have, however, always played an integral part in revolutionary states and movements. The agencies which, plot and execute these crimes are frequently efficient enough to deceive and gut maneuver the hostile police. For example, the complete stories of the Sarajevo assassination and the murder of Jean Jaures -- political crimes which brought about World War I -- have never been fully elucidated. None the less, total efficiency is impossible. By force of circumstances and by the nature of the act, the political crime is bound to leave tracks.

ないであるというというないないというないないない

and the second second the second s

Lenin did not believe in murder as a chief revolutionary method, but he agreed that "killing is no murder." He insisted that Communists must be able and willing to use all weapons, including murder, depending on necessity. In conformity with the twenty-one conditions for entrance into the Communist International, written by Lenin, secret apparatuses were formed. For example, in the German party which was the most important one outside Russia, the M (military), the N (intelligence), the Z (infiltration) and the T (terror) proups were organized. A German Communist, Hugo Eberlein, a protege of Lenin and the husband of a girl whom Lenin considered to be almost his stepdaughter, was in charge of an early T-group.

There are some well authenticated and corroborated accounts of the early beginnings of the Communist T-groups in Germany. The function of these groups was to punish traitors and to murder anti-Communist political and milmary leaders as ordered by the party.

The T-groups were not overly successful but some of their characteristics became manifest: a command line which was independent from the party and often opposed to it: conflicts between the Russian secret service people and the German political leaders; frequent objections by political leaders to assassinations but also a certain willingness to use the T-groups to solve intra-party differences and there were others. For example, one Russian party leader, Zinovicy, orde ed the assassination of General Hans von Seeckt, commander of the Relativett while another leader, Karl Radek, countermanded the order; the conflict was based on a cleavage in policy, "world revolution versus the building of exercise. in the Soviet Union." Seeckt was willing to have military cooperation with the Red army but he also was the officer most likely to suppress a planned Communist rebellion. His elimination thus would have served the left radicals within the party on the two counts that the military cooperation was precluded and an uprising facilitated. "Solving political problems by terror was already a favor ite method among the men of the secret apparatus," wrote Ruth Fischer, then a leader of the German Communist Party.

The T-groups found it difficult to recruit reliable and suitable personnel and, thus, by necessity included unstable individuals who often acted contrary to orders and who sometimes compromised the party and the Russian "advisors" by acts such as seeking sanctuary at offices of Soviet missions. Nevertheless, operations were usually planned with care. Locations and ambush pass bilities were carefully reconnoitered, attempts were made to obtain the most suitable weapons, special weapons were designed, the operation was rehearsed, escapes were properly prepared, etc.

To throw off the police, there were diversionary plans. Terrorists who were caught were, as a matter of routine, denounced by the party as dissidents, crackpots, or <u>agents provocateurs</u>; furthermore, false testimony was fed into the police system. In one instance, a terrorist was indicted for murder but clear-cut evidence exonerating him was withheld until after the trial had begun. Thus, the whole accusation of terrorism was discredited, and the real culprit was never caught.

The Communists also used their infiltration capabilities to great advantage. Thus, pictures of suspected terrorists were stolen from the files, and once, after the chief of a T-group was arrested, an unsuccessful attempt was made to murder him.

وأنرأ كالتر ويوقيه والأخرار والم

It appears that there has not been much of a change in standard operating procedures except that efficiency and know-how have been improved. We know that the terror technique has not been abandoned. To give merely two examples a Soviet agent by the name of Khokhlov was ordered to murder a Russian reft gee politician in Germany. Instead he defected, told his story, and turned over to the West a whole arsenal of Soviet weapons especially designed for assassination purposes. In 1959, Stepan Banderá, Ukrainian leader, was murdered by means of a gas pistol that simulated a heart attack. The murderer, Bodgan Stashynsky, confessed and was convicted. The German Federal Republic, or. April 23, 1963, lodged a formal protest in Moscow and stated that his and the 1957 assassination of another Ukrainian politician "were committed upon instructions of official Soviet organs." In other words: there are T-groups or M-agencies. It is a fact which should be clearly recognized in the United States. It is significant that on his own admission during the trial in Karlsruhe Germany in October 1962, Stashynsky let it be known that after the Bundera murder he was slated to be trained at an assassin's school in the USAR for assignment later on the North American continent.

A political murder instigated by an intelligence service will be planned to approximate the "perfect crime". Every suitable deception and concealment technique will be used. If "imperceptible murder" is not feasible or desireble, one of the following methods is used: a) The assassin is given a cover story or "legend." b) The assassin, unless he can be reliably hidden, will be destroyed, preferably in such a way that his second murder cannot be truced back to the organizations (for example, he will be slugged by an infuriated cell mate). c) The assassin will be described as a "loner" and a "psychopath" whose deed was not politically motivated: perhaps he was seeking personal revenge. In many of these undertakings, including the fabrication of "legence and the manipulation of operatives, the murderer himself may be unaware that he is being maneuvered. Despite the most perfect planning, things usually do not go as intended. Individuals who may be suitable to carry out complicated and daring assassinations are not usually easy to deal with, and in most cases do display psychopathological tendencies. Hence they will do things which they should not havedone. This danger can be minimized only if extremely well-trained, fully disciplined secret agents are employed to carry out cover operations.

The greatest danger is that the murderer will be caught and his nationality discovered. Hence nationals of the country where the crime is to be perpetrated must be used and this creates additional difficulties, among them the possibility that the assassin's political beliefs may be disclosed. On the other hand, the use of natives who are unstable, offers the advantage that it permits the branding of the murderer as "insane." The recruitment of a would-be murderer from a hostile party usually does not work, hence a good cover story to confuse the assassin's political loyalty is required. It usually is easy to assert that the man was expelled from the party.

With this background, let us examine Oswald's stay in the Soviet Union.

The Passport

Lee Harvey Oswald joined the Marine Corps late in October 1956, when he just had turned 17. At some time prior to his entry into the service, he became interested in Communism. On or shortly after September 1, 1959, he coulded for inactive duty, claiming that his mother needed him, obtained an Arachican passport and a Russian visa, and departed from New Orleans, arriving to Moscow on October 13, 1959.

He was released from the Marine Corps on September 11, and joined his mother for the one night he spent with her in Fort Worth. He would then have been free to make his preparations to leave the country from September 12 enword. By September 19 he was able to write his mother from New Ocleans that he 1 ad "booked passage on a ship to Europe." Starting by ship, the trip would have taken something like fifteen days. Thus to have reached Muscov by October 13, Oswald must have left New Orleans no later than October 1, and may have left as early as September 26. Thus, we can assume that to prepare his trip, he had the time span of September 12 to 30 at his disposal. September 12 was a Saturday. /Oswald had obtained his passport on September 10, 7. The Russian embassy at Washington, therefore, could not have received his passport with visa application earlier than September 11.

Oswald may have applied for his visa to enter the Soviet Union either before or after receipt of his passport. In either case, the handling must have been junusual to say the least. As a matter of routine procedure, every application for a visa to enter the Soviet Union is processed through a central file in Moscowknown familiarly by U.S. agencies as "the black box." In 1959, this procedure required at least 30 days and, in most cases, 60 to 90 days. In almost every case the application for visa is made after a passport has been issued. However, had Oswald waited until receiving his passport to apply for his visa, it would have been at best only 2 1/2 weeks for processing the application and returning the passport and visa to him, in other words, no more than 12 working days for the Embassy. Even if Oswald had applied 10 days earlier, while still in the Marine Corps, the Embassy must have acted with enormous dispatch. Oswald could have applied for a visa at an even earlier date, without a passport and, perhaps, not have applied for his "hardship" release or for his passport till after he had learned that the visa had been granted. Had he applied for a visa that early, however, he would have had to consider the possibility that the Marine Corps would not grant him a "hardship" discharge if they learned he was considering travel to the USSR.

Regardless of the time element involved, the chances are that the application for visa was channeled to the highest level because a few Soviet policy decision were involved. Not the least of these was a determination of whether Oswald was bona fide.

We are therefore faced with the only two possible conclusions (1) that Oswald received his visa while still on active duty in the Marine Corps or (2) that his application was processed and approved almost immediately on receipt. In either case, something more than ordinary information must have been available to Soviet authorities to enable them to "clear" him. This gives rise to the probability that Oswald must have had prior contacts with one or more S^{1+} viet agents, who briefed him about the most expeditious manuer of carrying out the manifold formalities incident to taking up residence in the Soviet Union. It is not unlikely that such a contact might have been established while Osw 1.1 was serving in Japan. At his Moscow press conference late in October, 1959, Oswald stated: "For two years now I have been waiting to do this one thing: to dissolve my American citizenship and become a citizen of the Soviet Union. This would date his mental defection back to 1957. Thus for at least two years in the Marine Corps he was a Communist. He told the U.S. Embassy that he was planning to tell Soviet officials everything he had let mod in the Autoin-Corps.

Oswald in Russia

On October 15, just two days after his arrival, Oswald petitioned the Suprem-Soviet for Soviet citizenship. This is remarkable, not just because it confirms a commitment of long standing, but because two days in an entirely new milder and in an unknown gigantic city is really less than a minimum of time. To submit his petition, Oswald must have known to whom it was to be addressed. So one must have helped him in preparing the Russian text, and there must have been a typewriter. Hence he was being chaperoned by somebody.

At this point, there is a gap of two weeks. On October 30, Oswald appeared at the U.S. Embassy and stated that he had applied for Soviet citizenship. He is

ed with his mother on the telephone but hung up on her. On October 31, he held a press conference at the Hotel Metropole, and explained his defection. In Moscow, 20-year old boys do not arrange press conferences on their own initiative. This conference must have been arranged for him and somebody in addition to granting authorization, must have taken care of the bill. dYet, will like the cases of other defectors, this press conference was given no publicity of the Soviet radio.

To understand this turn of events, we must ask ourselves: what benefit ended the Soviets draw from Oswald? A 20-year old Marine might have been significant as a source of intelligence, but a Marine who embraced Communism must have been a potential propaganda sensation. Accordingly, when the Soviets learned about him, the propagandists would have been interested. Presumably, they decided to build him up as a defector. This explains why Mme. Furtseva was handling his passport arrangements at the ministerial level, and also explains why he was rushed to apply for his citizenship.

After Oswald arrived in Moscow, we may be sure that he was interrogated by the Commissariat on State Security (KGB) and the military intelligence. The initial interviews and his own work preferences may have speedily convinced the intelligence officers that this man's value did not lie in a short-lived propaganda stunt but in entirely different fields. If, for example, he was to be used for intelligence purposes, then his renunciation of U.S. citizenship vias stupid.

As soon as Oswald's potential intelligence usefulness became apparent, one of those disputes must have arisen, typical for the secret services of all countries, between the propagandists and the secret operators. While this struggle was being resolved, Oswald was left hanging in the air.

It is possible that while the matter was being considered. Oswald lost his nerve and attempted suicide, as was reported, or perhaps feigned a suicide attempt. This attempt supposedly took place at the Hotel Metropole late in October. For a schizoid type like Oswald, this is not really likely. It has been said that he experienced financial troubles, yet, open his release from the Marines, he had \$1,600, of which he gave his mother \$80. The trip to Moscow hardly cost more than \$500. Living costs would have hardly run over \$500, and were presumably far less, especially if, as is probable indeed, his hotel bill in Moscow was being paid for him. Thus, he had at least \$200 left and no emergency existed. It is likely that he just was waiting and spent his time sight-seeing.

The Soviet authorities, of course, knew that Oswald had visited the Emonasy on October 30. This may have warned them about the danger of a redefection. The propaganda people who undoubtedly still were in control, star a charge to make their claim stick, and the press conference was hastily convened. Two days later, on November 2, a Monday, Oswald turned his passpone over to the U.S. Embassy and wrote an affidavit affirming that his "cilegiance" was a charge to Soviet Union. Thus he did precisely the opposite of what he should have done if he were to be used for covert rather than overt purposes.

This must have brought the inter-service dispute to a boil, and the intelligence people obviously won. Their first step was to suppress all publicity about Oswald, his defection and the press conference. The second step probably was to inform him that citizenship would not be granted formally and have Oswald, or somebody else, tip off the Embassy that he would not be made a Soviet citizen. On November 14, Oswald disclosed that he had been refused Soviet citizenship (surely a speed record for processing a citizenship application) but asserted that he was a Marxist and "through" with the U.S.

The third step was to get Oswald out of Moscow. Insofar as the Soviet intelligence service was concerned, the job now was to get every particle of information out of Oswald, not merely on electronics and call signs, but also on Marine-Corps procedures, officer personalities, on fellow Marines and their possible utilization as agents, on exact plans of military installations, and many other subjects, -- a job of interrogation which could easily take months, especially if Oswald had been alert and had used his years in the Marine Corps to prepare himself for this series of interrogations.

It is inconceivable that such interrogations did not take place. The argument that the Soviet authorities recognized Oswald to be an unstable character and therefore refused to grant him citizenship, does not hold water. In the first place, Oswald was far less unstable than many of the typical left intellectuals who frequently pester the Soviets. Second, they have not in the past objected to, but on the contrary, have recruited schizoid personalities. Third, Oswald's character defects, if any, could hardly have become known under the conditions of his early interviews and initial interrogations. Fourth, if the Soviets did not like him, they could have, after prolonged interrogations, simply gotten rid of him: he could have died of "pneumonia". Finally, they might have expelled him, irrespective of whether he was interrogated or not. But to refuse him citizenship, and still allow him to stay and work in Ressin, and then two and a half years later permit him to depart with a Russian wife and called, is interly contrary to fundamental Soviet procedure. No case remotely similar exists before or after Oswald.

Life In Minsk

According to all available information, Oswald dropped out of sight shortly after his press conference. Allegedly, he went to Minsk where he was given a job in the sheet metal shop of a radio plant. His salary supposedly was 30 rubles (\$88.80) a month. This just cannot be true. In the first place, there is no doubt that Oswald would have been "debriefed" for quite a long time, perhaps for three months. It is entirely possible that for deception purposes, he was given a socalled job in industry. In fact, such a procedure would be logical since the U.S. Embassy might be interested in his whereabouts and activities. He did not know anything about sheet metal working but he did have knowledge that may have qualified him as a specialist. Even if he just qualified as unskilled labor, it is inconceivable that the Soviets would have paid him a salary which is far below minimum income and on which he clearly could not live. Despite this extreme poverty, which would not have allowed him to eat, we learn that he posed for portrait photography, made a trip to Kiev, travelled in the country side around Minsk, enjoyed a social life, and even enjoyed a great deal of recreation yed with that salary one would expect him to concentrate on "moonlighting."

The puzzle may be explained in a simple way: 80 rubles was just his pocket money. His room, board, laundry, etc. were being paid for -- in other words, he was living in some sort of a community. There are clues about the type of community this may have been.

While in the Soviet Union, Oswald is reported to have joined a rifle club and practiced marksmanship. Now, there are no amateur "rifle clubs" in the sporting sense in the Soviet Union. It has now been established, however, that Oswald did belong to a hunter's sovkhoz, membership in which is normally reserved to those who are hunters by profession. While participating in this group, he did not use a rifle but was permitted to use some other type of weapons

In July, 1960, Oswald wrote the Marine Corps asking to be discharged as a reservist so that he could accept Soviet citizenship. This fact requires a little re-interpretation. It makes the story less pat but more realistic.

The incident shows that in November 1959, the Soviets had not rejected Oswaldsapplication for citizenship forever. They probably told him that as soon as he proved himself, they would consider the case seriously. If they wanted to pump him for his information and obtain full co-operation, this would be a log-outpuncedure.

Assuming Oswald's debriefing was over by March or April, the chances are the the intelligence people were debating what to do with him. They may have kept him in a safe house or they might have told hun to prove his proletarian convictions and go to work: this may have been the period when he was working in the sheet metal shop.

As this period of no-decision continued, Oswald probably felt that he should force events. The letter to the Marine Corps probably was to impress the Soviets authorities with his devotion to the Soviet Union.

From the point of view of the intelligence service, the letter was a mistal evecause it reduced Oswald's potential usefulness as a spy. Oswald may not have realized this. If Oswald was to be developed as a sleeper, this step probably strengthened the group within Soviet intelligence who opposed the plan, if only because Oswald's temperament did not qualify him for assignments with long periods of inaction.



The Exit Visa

On January 2, 1962, Oswald wrote to his mother that he had "pretty good news: he and his wife would receive their visas about the middle of February 1962 and would arrive in the U.S. by March 1, "give or take a month or so." In this let ter he instructed his mother to contact an international rescue committee in or der to obtain \$800 for transportation. "Do not, of course, take any loan, only gift. And don't send your own money." Oswald enclosed a letter from the U.S. Embassy which his mother was to use in her efforts.

- 9 -

Several points stand out: (1) He did have a letter from the Embassy which mean that he must have contacted them about his return trip some time before he wrot his mother. (2) He had, in fact, written his mother as early as August 19, 100 saying he expected an exit visa soon and had been to Moscow to go to the Embas (3) Later, he obtained only \$435.71 from the Embassy, hence he must have here another \$400 or so to pay passage for his wife. (4) He was remarkably well informed about rescue committees which information he may have obtained from the Embassy but more likely from other quarters. The fact is that for years the U.S. Embassy did not provide this type of information, for the simple reason is many of those committees had been branded as espionage organizations by the S viets.

With respect to timing, it seems that the letter was written after two (ther letters. In the August 19th letter, he talked about mushroom picking with his wife at the not inconsiderable distance of 50 miles from Minsk: "everybedly does the in the fall." In the other letter, he announced the news that he was "going (2.5) a papa." Presumably he could have made this announcement as early as Au_{100} 1961 but this letter was actually dated November 1.

In the November 1 letter, he referred to his mother's intent to come to the Soviet Union. He did not recommend this step, adding in my case." And this particular phrase may have indicated that the plan to return to the U.S. mail been firmed up.

Subsequently on January 2, he announced that the estivisas would be granted by the middle of February, and implied that he way to leave as soon as he gove the visas.

This raises a most important question: why would the Soviet a theretics tend to him a month and a half in advance that they will grant him a visa? If they a ed him to go, why not let him go right away? There is no precedent that Soviet authorities ever provided such advance notices, and indeed who would be the purpose? If a visa was promised some time in late December or early January for mid-February, some sort of assignment was involved which Osweld was to complete before leaving. It was hardly a matter of sheet metal working, but to precise pre-dating would make a lot of sense if it was enticipated that Oswelwould be completing a course preparing him for his return to the United States

Interestingly enough, late in January 1962, i.e. after he knew that he would ge

his visa, he wrote to Senator Tower beseeching him to intercede so that the visa be granted. He told Senator Tower that he had been trying to get an exit visa since July 1960. There was no above board reason to write this letter which was followed by a letter of January 30, 1962, to the Secretary of the Navy asking for reconsideration of his undesirable discharge. These requests and their timing made sense only if Oswald knew he was about to return. Both letters fit the pattern of conventional "legend" building for espionage assignments. /Indeed any other interpretation seems improbable: an American defector living in Minsk would not dare contact the U.S. Navy without prior Soviet clearance for fear he would be accused of attempted espionage against the Soviet Union.7

- 10 -

In May, 1961, Oswald married Marina Nikolayevna Prusakova. The most notable thing about Oswald's marriage is the exit visa given Marina Oswald and her child. Soviet authorities have, on occasion, allowed Soviet wives of foreigners to leave the country. In practically every case the husband was a person of mark (e. g. a diplomat, an Olympic athlete or a foreign correspondent) and also in practically every case it took a great deal of doing to obtain the visa, including in many instances intercessions, at well-timed opportunities, by ambassadors or ministers of state, or even heads of state. Exit visas usually are given by Soviet authorities only when they feel the need to demonstrate their willingness to co-exit peacefully.

Oswald's wife was young, she was a specialist (a pharmacist), and she had a Russian child. In most other instances, exit visas were granted either to older women who could not make an economic or demographic contribution to Russia's strength or to females who would be counted upon to influence their husbands in favor of the Soviet Union. Cases are known where highly placed Americans had to work for several years to get an exit visa for a mother-in-law in her late seventies.

Now, Oswald has been presented as less than a nobody. Even according to Russian standards he could not make a living. But worse: he was the soum of the earth - a defector who had renounced U.S. citizenship but now wanted to re-defect. True, Soviet citizenship might have been refused. But if he were a genuine Communist, his job was to prove himself, to apply <u>samokritika</u>, to sacrifice himself in the interest of the fatherland of the workers, and to re-apply for citizenship. On the face of it, he was deserting the Communist cause.

There is no reason for the Soviet authorities to help a Communist deserter. If they really had considered Oswald to be a "Trotsky-ite", as they claimed, they would have refused the exit visa to Marina. If they did not think he was a Trotsky-ite, why then did they label him? Oswald also was described as an "enemy of the people" and stories were picked up from the American press suggesting that he was a U.S. agent. If the Soviets really had any suspicions along that line, or even slight indications of oppositional leanings, Oswald would have gone to jail, Marina would not have been allowed to marry him, and she certainly would not have been given her exit visa. There was, of course, some delay, but not only did she get the visa, she received it with a minimum of red tape that is truly unique in Soviet history. The expeditious handling might have occurred if the Soviets just had made this sort of a decision: "Oh, well, Oswald is no good, let him go, good riddance. His wife should not have married him, but let her go, too." Soviet authorities do not decide in this manner. Any such decision based on humanitarian groundwould have taken several years. Since the exit visa was forthcoming rather promptly, the Soviet authorities must have wanted Marina to accompany Oswald. It was their decision that she go.

ないないというないですというないないというないないです。

Whether Marina herself was indoctrinated and assigned a specific mission, is of course, conjectural. Her limited ability to speak English, might suggest that her training had not been completed or that the Soviets planned to use her in an "innocent status." At any rate, since the assassination, she has not been reluctant to give a public impression that she, for one, seems certain that Lat Oswald was the killer of President Kennedy.

We can assume that the FBI treated Oswald as a potential espionage agent on his return to the United States. The press has reported that by November 22, the Bureau had determined that he was not potentially danger ous as a spy or saboteur and, therefore, they were not keeping close tabs on him. Yet, the report that Oswald was in a hunters' sovkhoz, though not a protessional hunter, indicates that he received some sort of special consideration or training.

The Soviets have made almost desperate efforts to shed themselves of Oswala. The Communist Party, U.S.A. almost immediately issued a formal statement denying that Oswald had ever had any connection with the C.P., U.S.A. (and this is apparently quite true.) But the Communist Party seemed stuck with Oswald's self-identification with Marxism. They then made great efforts to cast serious doubts on Oswald's guilt but despite great liberties with both fact and logic, particularly in the Communist-oriented National Guardian, these effocts were crushed beneath the weight of scientific evidence. The Soviets, meanwhile variously charged that Oswald has really been an American spy, an agery of the State Department or the CIA. The Soviet Army publication. Red State even went so far as to charge the President's casassinction to "Marker", Lice porated." Now the Communist and front group publications are hinting darkly that Oswald was really an FBI informant.

The President's assassination itself and Oswald's background in Russia bear some of the earmarks of the traditional Soviet style political marder yet, while there must still be a great deal of speculation and conjecture, the evidence docunot imply that Lee Oswald shot President Kennedy on Soviet orders nor does it tend in any way to disprove the likelihood that Oswald acted completely on his own. Indeed, the evidence presented to date tends to confirm this likelihood. While it is quite possible that the Soviets intended to use Oswald some day as an assassin or terrorist, there is no reason for assuming that they expected to profit from the assassination of President Kennedy at this particular moment in history. Still, his deed was a product of the Cold War. Lee Harvey Oswald would not have assassinated the President of the United States except for the mental conditioning of revolutionary communism. While we may sphelulate about many of the facts in the Oswald case, one fact is clear: Oswald received uniquely special treatment from the Soviets all along the line. Oswald, in fact, never would have returned to the United State. if the Soviet intelligence agencies did not plan to use him, in one way or another against the country of his birth.

President Johnson has promised the American people that the facts sorrounding the case will be fully disclosed to the public and, even if he had not made the promise, the public interest would require that this be done. Lately, there have been hints that some parts of the story might never be told for reasons of national security. Naturally, this sort of statement gives rise to grave questicas to whether the facts thus far disclosed are, in fact, accurate and reliable since there seemed to be at least to the public eye, no possible security implications in a crime apparently so lacking in reason. At the same time, reports persist to the effect that the Warren Commission is split over the timinal of its report -- that is, whether it should be released before or after the political conventions and national elections.

We must hope that the Warren Commission has not explosed political considered tions with national security. There are undoubtedly those who would like to ranpolitical capital out of the facts surrounding the assassination. Most American however, would, if necessary, be quite willing to wait until after the election for a complete detailed report. Now they want only the assurance that doin questions will be answered and their doubts resolved. The public is contributo this unqualified assurance.

The American Security Council Editorial Stell

EDITORIAL STAFF NATIONAL STRATEGY COMMITTEE SERIOR ADVISORY BIASD Barat Astronyadi Laria Lata Editor-in-Chief John M. Fisher Loyd Viri, t.l International Politics Editor Londad Gurthi S. D. Hat Rathi S. D. Hat Robert Conco Baster Dr. James D. Alkinson Lieutenant General Edited 12 21-5-1 Intelligence Editor Major General Garrison B. Concidate USA (Ret.) USA (K. : Economics Editor Dr. Lev E Dobrianily Adin'rai Ben Morrell, US% (Ret . Foreign Editor Frank J. Johnson Dr. Rubert Morris Larry Dege Internal Security Editor Dr. Stefan T. Possorry Rate Setter . tr Ice R Pennington International Law & Admiral Felix B. Stump USH (Ret. Futnick 1 aroute -Space Editor Rear Admiral Chester Ward, USN (Ret.) Dr. Edward Teller RECEIPTING THEAT Strategy and Military Affairs Editor Rear Admiral Chester Ward, USA (Ref.) Dr Sic'an I Possony Cottolic Forbid Wayne Forbids Walliam Forbidston Research Director William K. Lambie, Jr. General Albert C. Viedeminin, USA Resa Chiel, Washington Bureau Lee R. Pennington SIRATEGY STAFF Karl Baarslag Clarst - Let's ... ke Dr. Anthony T. Bouscaren H: motion 11 Miching OFFICERS Anthony Karrigan A B. Nine lr. President John M. Fisher Captain J. H. Morse, USN (Retail Gordens F. S. M. E. R. W. Seniar Vice President Kenneth M Piper Edgar Ansel Mowrer Major Car of W. A. Worten, 197 Vice President Stephen L. Donchess Dr. Gerhart Niemeyer Hunstli + ung Vice President John G Seveil Dr. T. L. Shen Vice Fresideat Russell E. White Duane Thorin Secretary-Treasurer Cyril W. Hooper Stanley J Tracy

The American Security Council Washington Report is published four times monthly by the American Security Council. It reports on actional and international developments affecting the nation's security for the information of the Council score (3/0) newly components and institutions. Anna d subscription rates available to educators, stockets, clergy and monders Additional copies available at 2% each prospaid for non-international top each protocil for members.

Capacity a total to the source of security Caussian secsense lessing that parameters exercise at the approximate of an part of source there exists a constrained pators and to the theory of sources part to a security forward of the theory of sources part to a security

AMERICAN SECURITY COUNCIL

to rear and yers . - water

Executive Offices and Research Center, 1/3 North Wildow Drive, Chapter Wildow Washington Bureau: 1023 Connection; Avenua, R. W. Wallhopton, U. D. Chapter, J. Wastern Affice, 5931 Wast Station Connection; Avenua, R. W. Wallhopton, U. D. Chapter, J. D. Chapter, J. D. Chapter, Station, Social Station, So

- 12 -