THE NEW YORK TINES, SUNDAY, FEBRUARY 23, 1975

Falte Oswall

Data on Oswald Apparently Withheld From Key Warren Investigation Aides

By BEN A. FRANKL N Special to The New York Tir es

WASHINGTON, Feb. 22-J. Edgar Hoover sent a me norandum to the State Departi lent in 1960 raising the possibility that an impostor mightbe us ng the credentials of an Ameri an defector named Lee Harvey Oswald, who was then in he Soviet Union.

This memo from the cirector of the Federal Bureau of Invest tigation and two sub equent State Department memos related to it were apparen ly not shown to key investiga ors of the Warren Commission which examined the assassination of President Kennedy and determined that Oswald, acting alone, was the assassin.

The late Mr. Hoover' warning of the "possibility" that an impostor could be using Oswald's identification data, in the Soviet Union or els where, came more than two years before the murder of the American President in Dallas on Nov. 22 1963. The impostor theory rejected, by implication not directly, in the public report of the mission, and its signifie could not be deter nined.

Body Identified as O wald

The body of the man who the commission concluded lad shot the President-and w 10 was shot to death by Jack R thy two days later—was ident fied by his mother and other elatives and also by fingerprints and other physical features as that of Lee Harvey Oswald.

But the apparent wit holding of information from the commission investigators responsible for checking Osw Id's activities in foreign count ies supported a theory of som a critics of the commission's inal report that the panel had come to its conclusion regar ling Oswald without having had all the facts.

A spokesman for the F. B. I. said, in response to questions, that "we can definite y state, without hesitation, tha a copy of the Hoover memo was shown to a member of the Warren Commission staff in the pres-ence of an F. B. I. agen "However, the spokesman said that he could not identify the commission staff member o whom: the memo reportedly lad been shown. Neither J. Lee Rankin, the former general counsel of Horiza Horiza July Junith

the commission, nor any or his former staff aides who were most involved in investigating Oswald's background said they

could remember seeing it.
Lowever, Howard P. Willens,
y a private lawyer bere,
nuffed himself in an interw today as the commission lawyer who had reviewed the F.B.L. file. Mr. Willens, who was then the commission syspecial liaison officer to the Justice Department, said today that "while I do not think that anyone can state now with the necessary precision whether or not he saw the Hoover memo; it is my best recollection that I did, in fact, see that memo."
"I do not want to be in a

public debate with my old colleagues," Mr. Willens said, "but" I know that there was discussion of this among others on the staff concerned with the activities of Oswald abroad. I am concerned with continued public references to the notion that the commission overlooked obvious facts."

Suggests Reopening Inquiry

Shown the F.B.I. memos and the two State Department documents — discovered in the National Archives here by a private researcher-W. David Slawson, a lawyer who checked out rumors about Oswald for the commission in 1964, said he thought the assassination inquiry should be reopened.

Mr. Slawson, who is now a law professor at the University of Southern California, said he and other investigators had

never been shown the memos. "We were the rumor runnerdowners, and we certainly should have seen this material, as we did a great deal of other stuff that we showed to be unfounded," he said.
"It may be more significant

that we did not see it, in terms of a possible cover-up and the teasons for it, than if we had seen it," he continued. "I mean, don't know where the imposer notion would have led usperhaps nowhere, like a lot of other leads. But the point is we didn't know about it. And why not?"

Two other commission staff members shared with Mr. Slawthe responsibility checking out rumors. Neither recalled specifically having seen the memos, but they tended to discount any thought of a renewed investigation.

One of them, Dr. Alfred Goldberg, who wrote the gossip-puncturing "Speculations and Rumors" section of the commission's report, said in an in-

"I don't have any recollection of having seen that [Hoover] memorandum. As a matter of fact, I am fairly certain I didn't.

"While I think we might have done more had we seen it-we might have engaged in more research, we might have looked! for more, we might have asked for more from the State Department and the F.B.I.-in terms of the outcome, I don't believe it would have made any differ

William T. Coleman Jr., who was Mr. Slawson's immediate superior at the commission, and who was nominated last month by President Ford to be Secretary of Transportation, was asked during an interview whether he had seen the memos.
"It's been 10 years," he said,

"and I don't remember one way or the other."

He recalled, however, that his duties "required me to see everything that Oswald had done as a defector to the Soviet Union."

Mr. Hoover's memo was dated June 3, 1960. Its contents suggest that the F.B.I. director raised the possibility of an impostor because of certain facts the memo recounts.

It cited a Foreign Service dispatch concerning Oswald's declaration in Moscow on Oct. 31. 1959, that he would renounhis citizenship and noted ta he had surrendered his pa port.

It also cited a report of F.B.I. agent in Dallas of May 12, 1960, which said that Oswald's mother, Marguerite & Oswald, "stated subject had taken his birth certificate with him when he left home.'

The agent's report indicated that Mrs. Oswald was apprehensive about her son's safety because she had written him three letters and they had all been returned to her undelivered.

Mr. Hoover concluded: "Since there is a possibility that an impostor is using Oswald's birth certificate, any current information the Department of State may have concerning subject will be appreciated."

Two internal State Department memos transmitted Mr. Hoover's warning. One, dated June 10, 1960, went to the department's Soviet desk. The

other, dated March 31, 1961, was sent from one section of the Passport Office to another.

Concern on Passport

The latter memo indicated concern that a revalidated passport to be issued to Oswalc in preparation for his return to the United States in June, 1:62, not be mailed to him through the Soviet postal system but be delivered to him "only on a jersonal basis" at the Embass in Moscow:

The Warren Commission : ubsequently developed that in July, 1961. Oswald's passport vas hanged back to the man vho Moscow Embassy officials v ere satisfied was the same Osv aid they had first met in 1 59/ when he anguity announced his intention to renounce his iti-zenship: The State Department had ruled by then that he had not given up his citizenshiji. None of these documen snot the Hoover memo nor ei her

was in the department's wald tile as were greated to the as were greated to the associating the associating the associating the associating the associating the associating the association of the associat placed on public file in the Na-

of the State Department me nos

was in the department's

tional Archives. Among them J. G. Harris, a 45-year-old New Yorker who has spent nearly a decad in Kennedy assassination research, found the Hoover and State Department memos.

How the memos came to be missing from the State De artment's Oswald file given to the commission but included in the same file placed in the Arcl ives remains unclear.

At the State Department, a spokesman said there would be no comment because all former officials who might have knowledge of the Oswald file had died or retired.

Mr. Slawson, citing r cent disclosures about domestic activities of the Central Intelligence Agency, said:

"It conceivably could have been something related to the C.I.A. I can only speculate now, but a general C.I.A. effo t to take out anything that refl oted on them may have covered this up." Mr. Slawson added that he had been "impressed at the time with the intelligence and honesty of the C.I.A. pec ple I dealt with."

Denial by C.I.A.

A C.I.A. spokesman lenying that the agency had ever had any connection with Oswald, said the agency had no record of ever having see r the Hoover memo and had not engaged in a cover-up.

A former State Department official who was familiar with the Oswald file suggested that Mr. Hoover himself might have ordered his memo removed from the file before it was sent

to the commission, to avoid em-

barrassing the bureau.
The former official, Richard A. Frank, now a lawyer here with the Center for Law and Social Policy, said in an interview that as the department's assistant legal adviser in 1963-64 he had been unaware of the Hoover memo, although he had a major responsibility for assembling the Oswald records sent to the commission.

He said it seemed possible that the memo "was so unsupportable by anything the F.B.I. had on Oswald that, when the Oswald file suddenly became the object of a most intensive search and review, Mr. Hoover and his friends in the security operation at State simply made it disappear."

A former senior F.B.I. official who worked on the assassination inquiry said in an interview that he could not recall such a memo as part of the

case file.

Abram Chayes, the State Department's legal adviser in 1964, who assured the commission; in testimony then that "very aggressive efforts" had been made to collect and transmit the full Oswald file, was interviewed by telephone in Moscow, where he was attending a legal conference.

He said he had no memory of

any imposter memo in the State Department files. He recalled that Mr. Kennedy had died at about 2 P.M. Washington time and said that if any Oswald documents had been taken from the files before he and his assistants took custody of them and placed them under guard that night, "somebody would have had to be thinking awfully hard and moving awfully fast."

"I am absolutely certain," he said, "that we gave the comthat was in the files