

Clothing

16 Bottom

JFK's Clothing

1. Set of documents re: JFK's clothing. @ docs 62-109060-1086 and 62-109060-421 both dated 11/26/1963 reveal that any future assertion that a bullet entered JFK's neck and entered Connally's body was a political assertion and could not have been true.

These 2 11/26 FBI docs might explain why CD 1 will conclude that JFK was hit by 2 bullets and Connally a separate one. The fact that the collar slits and tie showed no signs of copper metal would indicate that a bullet or bullet frags never made these tears. This would certainly be one set of reports that would militate against any early s/b theory. (Remind readers again that Hoover FBI never changed its view of the shooting scenario.)

Use ths with early evidence anticipating what was to come. Note also that Rankin will not get to Hoover and ask about JFK's clothes until March 1964 and see Hoover's waffling response. Rankin to Hoover 3/18/1964 105-82555-2788 and Hoover's attached response. (This can be relegated to an ednote if used in writing).

2. Hal to Wecht 3/87 p. 2 makes that point that Specter knew twice that Carrico said the hole in JFK's neck was above the collar line. I have this cited in Breach but not twice and not accounting for the time heard this when deposing Carrico.
3. FBI memo 11/23/1963 62-109060-NR (section 1) in which JFK's back brace is partially described.
4. Jevons to Conrad 11/26/1963 62-109060-1086 (section 14) Notes that by this date JFK clothes examined by Bulab and results presented in memo. Provides location of holes in coat and shirt.
5. SAC, Baltimore to Director 11/23/1963 62-109060-459
6. Director to SAC, Dallas Urgent 11/26/1963 62-109060-421 (section 5) Notes that slits in collar and nick on tie do not show tracings of metal copper. . . . Some confusion reigning here. . . . Why would that be the case? Why didn't FBI find out these slits in collar which look like bullet holes show no copper tracing as the holes in the coat and shirt. Didn't FBI think about talking to Parkland staff-drs and nurses' for emergency room????? Check DI FO Index for Drs. names and nurses

NOTE: EXAM FOR COPPER AROUND HOLES WAS DONE BY X-RAY. Must have been done by spectro also. In short, both spectro and x-ray used with no results. Yes, nboth spectro and X-ray used on clothes. See s

7. Latona to Trotter 12/2/1963 62-109060-1092 See page 2 Says that coat and shirt submitted to X-ray exam with no fragments of bullet metal found. Refers to Oswald's clean clothes also. See 8.

8. FBI form 12/3/1963 62-109060-917 (section 12) Notes that FBI received Oswald's clean clothes for examination. See 7 where it found nothing incriminating. **Point here: FBI took pains with JFK and Oswald's clothes but neglected Connally's clothes**

9. FBI report on JFK's clothes to Rowley 12/5/1963 62-109060-1781 (S 23) Notes that spectro used on holes in coat and shirt and bullet metal traces found. Nothing found on slits in collar and on nick of tie. Heilberger did the spectro. He was never questioned by WC. Make certain of this by checking with Report.

10. Hoover to Rankin 3/23/1964 105-82555-2788
3 docs of exchange Hoover/Rankin on the holes in JFK's shirt. . . .How Hoover tried to convince Rankin that shirt slits and tie could have been made by a bullet. This was before WC had to opt for the S/B to explain the curb shot, etc.

11. Rankin to Hoover 4/9/1964 62-109060-2870
Rankin queries about Connally's clothes and Hoover's response admitting that FBI neglected to gather his clothes until they were dry cleaned. . . .See in conjunction with # 8 above. And see Hoover's response attached.

12. Jevons to Conrad 5/11/1964 62-109060-3059 (S. 66)
Notes that Specter will depose Frazier re: clothes AND then what Frazier will testify to before the WC. Frazier before the WC on May 13. Frazier will say that the holes in JFK's collar and nick in tie had characteristics of a bullet hole in collar and nick in tie could have been caused by a bullet. Might want to check on Frazier on this for use in long note and for how he explained how the FBI fucked up on Connally's clothes. Might be fun.

13. Jevons to Conrad 5/14/1964 62-109060-3090
Jevons summarizes Frazier's 5/13 WC testimony under Specter questioning. No surprises. . . . **Query: When did WC begin to think about a S/B explanation. . . .???? Was it in May or was it in June. I think the Barefoot Sanders assistant's letter to Rankin did not come until June.**

14. Last 2 docs. deal with shutting down the source in San Diego who was selling copies of JFK's death certificate and Oswald's autopsy records.