Subj: Re: (no subject)

Date: 9/5/2006 5:09:36 PM Eastern Standard Time

From: killena@nationwideprovident.com

To: JerryCatchall@aol.com

The Prowledge article is cited in my pages regarding Special Agent in Charge Gordon Shanklin's erroneous statement that the tests were positive, with particular mention of how it was phrased, leading to my premise that this could be the genesis of the timeline contradiction of "2:50 P. M" - a contradiction that leads to Guinn's exchange with Gallagher, 'even if hands are washed 21/2 hours following the handling of the weapon." 12:30 PLUS 21/2 HRS = 2:50 p.m (just within the parameter of the timeframe, coincidentially enough?). Maybe I'm just gagging on a gnat in this regard, but can anyone in research say where the 2:50 test came from as noted by some?

The source query on Barnes and Hicks and the "cheek paraffin" comes from their direct testimony in vol. 7 to the WC where Barnes says in 100 paraffin tests, this cheek specimen was the "one and only time." Hicks confirms independently in his seven years in the lab, he had never taken a cheek specimen, and Lt. Day testified he was directed by Cpt. Fritz, most likely to have it done to prevent any "second guessing" down the road. Hall