

- and for
- second-hand regarding when the time-frame in question and/or his semantics are confusing paraffin test results (the actual analysis of the test) with paraffin testing (taking of the specimen casts), 2) Clarke is lying or the remarks attributable to him in the memo have been misrepresented, 3, Clarke is consciously- or otherwise -referring to an "alternate" set of casts that wERE INDEED taken at 12:00 -1:00 AM (12:25 or so after Oswald returned to cell from aborted press conference) and substituted for the 9:00 $\ensuremath{\text{PM}}$ casts susposedly locked up at this time in the evidence room) and it was this second set delivered at 11:00 AM to DCCCIL. The lab detectives who performed the 9:00 testing were not those who had custody of - and delivered -whichever set it was to DCCCIL at 11:00 that morning. What is for sure is that nothing of this early AM timeline for either testing or analysis has to my knowledge ever made its way into the the consciousness of any research or authoritative document. i CONTEND THAT Chief Curry announced what he knew to be the analysis results around 10:30 on Saturday, before the official delivery of the set had been made to DCCCI1. 4) Their never was a 9:00 PM specimen taken, locked up and analyzed the next day, no matter what history reflects. Though still remaining even more baffled than before, whether it was a 2:50 Pm set or a 12:00-1:00 am set, MY MONEY IS ON THE #3 NOTION THERE TO ME INDICATES THAT A SECOND SET WAS MADE AND TESTED -ONE TESTED AT THE dcccil lAB ONLY AND ANOTHER AT fbi AND oAK RIDGE. pLEASE ADVISE.

Reply Reply to All Forward Details Delete Printable View where carry say abour the tere reacted on 60:30 Spi