

Subj: **FW: Finding the Oswald Document**
Date: 9/13/2004 11:40:50 AM Eastern Standard Time
From: David.Wrone@uwsp.edu
To: JerryCatchall@aol.com

-----Original Message-----

From: James Hiram Lesar [<mailto:jlesar@mindspring.com>]
Sent: Monday, September 13, 2004 2:07 AM
To: dalcorn@starpower.net; Wrone, David; mark_allen64@yahoo.com
Subject: Fw: Finding the Oswald Document

----- Original Message -----

From: Lisa Pease
To: '[Martin Shackelford](#)'; '[Peterson, Roger S.](#)'; '[Russell, Dick](#)'; '[Hoyle, Jeff](#)'; '[Davy, Bill](#)'; '[Riehl, Joe](#)'; '[Kelin, John](#)'; '[Flammonde, Paris](#)'; '[Lesar, Jim](#)'; '[Morley, Jeff](#)'; '[Mellen, Joan](#)'; '[Grodin, Robert](#)'; '[Rowell, Gary](#)'; '[Pascal, Vern](#)'; '[Thompson, Josiah](#)'
Sent: Monday, September 13, 2004 2:07 AM
Subject: RE: Finding the Oswald Document

I made some notes re this for another researcher which I might as well share with the rest. Here are some of the reasons I don't believe this document is genuine.

1. It's on letterhead used primarily by the FBI. I don't recall ever seeing a CIA document on that letterhead before.
2. Information of this nature would be classified a LOT higher than "confidential."
3. That particular CONFIDENTIAL reversed stamp is one I've never seen on a JFK document.
4. McCone was not in the loop of who Oswald was. It strains credulity that he would know what was there. Helms and Angleton purposely kept McCone in the dark about a lot of their activities.
5. The date on the file at NARA is 1978. The date is usually the date of the actual document. This leads me to believe either the document was created in 1978 or planted after the fact, in 1978, to be 'found' and used to discredit those who would say Oswald was a member of the CIA. The RIF for this document indicates there were two pages. Where is the first page?

FYI, there's a precedent for document planting. In the RFK case, a photo comparison was made, ostensibly of a bullet found in the body of RFK and a bullet found in the body of another person wounded in the room that night. A rather sinister memo discusses this photo, and that its existence should be kept secret unless conspiracy buffs managed to get the case reopened. Then it should be used to refute the buffs. What the memo didn't say, that Lynn Mangan discovered later, was that the photo, Special Exhibit 10, was really a test bullet to test bullet comparison. So a lie was planted and surfaced as needed, when a panel was convened to retest the evidence in the 1970s. The panel was convinced that the two bullets came from the same gun and had no reason to believe the bullets were other than as identified. (It came out later that the bullets used did not have the right markings to be the Kennedy and victim bullets.)

-----Original Message-----

Subj: **FW: [Fwd: Re: Intriguing document from Wim Dankbaar]**
Date: 9/13/2004 11:51:05 AM Eastern Standard Time
From: David.Wrone@uwsp.edu
To: JerryCatchall@aol.com

-----Original Message-----

From: James Hiram Lesar [mailto:jlesar@mindspring.com]
Sent: Monday, September 13, 2004 2:03 AM
To: jeff morley; Wrone, David; dalcorn@starpower.net;
mark_allen64@yahoo.com
Subject: Fw: [Fwd: Re: Intriguing document from Wim Dankbaar]

----- Original Message -----

From: Martin Shackelford <mshack@concentric.net>
To: Alcorn, Dan <dalcorn@erols.com>; Blackwell, Tom
<decision@sbcglobal.net>; Bradford, Clint <clintbrad4d@earthlink.net>;
Brown, Walt <kiasjfk@aol.com>; Davy, Bill <w.davy@att.net>; DeSalles,
Doug
<DDesalles@aol.com>; Fitzgerald, Kathlee <kfitz@prodigy.net>; Flammonde,
Paris <wildplum@epix.net>; Groden, Robert <robertg1@airmail.net>; Hoyle,
Jeff <jhoyle1@comcast.net>; Kelin, John <jkelin1@earthlink.net>; Lesar,
Jim
<jlesar@mindspring.com>
Sent: Monday, September 13, 2004 2:11 AM
Subject: [Fwd: Re: Intriguing document from Wim Dankbaar]

> Posted by Gary Buell.

>

> Martin

>

> ----- Original Message -----

> Subject: Re: Intriguing document from Wim Dankbaar

> Date: 12 Sep 2004 21:33:26 -0400

> From: garyn2000@yahoo.com (Gary Buell)

> Organization: http://groups.google.com

> Newsgroups: alt.assassination.jfk

> References: <20040911183843.25615.00000418@mb-m17.aol.com>

>

> Dave,

>

> Wim got this document from me. I have posted it in the past on the

> jfklancer and jfkresearch forums. My source for the document was a
> former

> tabloid writer by the name of James L. Moore, who says he got it from
> a

> Tennessee FBI man. He published excerpts from the document in the

tabloid

> Modern People back in the seventies and part of this document was
quoted
> in Dick Russell's book The Man Who Knew Too Much, who described it as
> having been found in the national archives. Dick left it out of the
> revised edition of this book, having agreed with me that the document
must
> be regarded as dubious at best. Jim Moore, a very likeable fellow, has
> also claimed to be the author of the Skeleton Key to the Gemstone
File.

> There are 2 more pages to the document which I can email to you, if
you
> like.

>
>
>

> dreitzes@aol.com (Dave Reitzes) wrote in message
> news:<20040911183843.25615.00000418@mb-m17.aol.com>...

> > <QUOTE ON>-----
> >

> > Subject: New Oswald document proving official version a LIE
> > From: wdankbaar@hotmail.com (Wim Dankbaar)
> > Date: 9/11/2004 3:08 PM Eastern Standard Time
> > Message-id: <266a6278.0409111108.30352881@posting.google.com>
> >

> > New Oswald document proving official version a LIE.
> >

> > This document was just emailed to me by Ed Sherry. If it is real, it
> > proves the LIE and the COVER-UP of the Warren Commission, still
> > perpetrated today, for example by John McAdams and his goons.
> >

> > I'd like to have McAdams' and Reitzes' twists on this one! :)
> >

> > <http://www.jfkmurdersolved.com/images/OswaldFBI.bmp>
> >

> > Wim
> >

> > <QUOTE OFF>-----
> >

> > "If it is real," Wim says. \:^)
> >

> > Dave
> >

> > Perpetual Starlight: Original fiction, music and more
> > <http://www.reitzes.com>
> >

> > JFK Online: John F. Kennedy assassination
> > <http://www.jfk-online.com>
>