Larisa

Dear Jane,

Here are my responses to editing of "Preface to 'Breach of Trust:"

First off, and this is the only real area of disagreement I have with your editing, I really must insist that my language in graph one " . . . the FBI, and other government agencies to hide the fact that Dallas was the work of more than one lone gunman." I have gone through tens of thousands of pages of government documents on the Kennedy case and there is no question in my mind that Dallas was a conspiracy. No qualifying ifs, and or buts about it. I do not intend to be didactic and unreasonable but your substitute construction: that Dallas "might suggest" is unacceptable. If I appear didactic and unreasonable then so be it but I must insist on my original construction and, as they say: Let the chips fall as they may.

Question re: end note query. I don't see where there is a conflict or obfuscation between end notes 6 and 7. I think perhaps I do. Sorry. For Note 7 I neglected to add here after 62-109060-4267, FBIHQ JFK Assassination File. [my bad].

P. 6 Following end note 9 I agree that it would strengthen text if I had added: Hoover declined Fortas' invitation.

note hastily – How to make my notes to BCT in the Submitted Copy of Preface –

lmartinokennedy (lower case)

785 864-9169