

ref) Dear Jane (?) None of I make no comment
 or change - means for agree
 opening it - needs to stand up
 written - "to hold the fact that
 Dallas was the crack of more than one
 lone gunman."

Too weak -
 w/ face of
 when the
 witness
 does. Prove
 there is no
 doubt
 Dallas was
 a conspirator
 more than
 one
 shooter.

... my Reaming here... to use your
 Reconstruction "might suggest" Weekend
 when I think the evidence is that
 greater crime of the 20th Century
 established a civil civil. that
 Dallas was the work of more than
 one gunman (it was a conspiracy).
 not to be defended - but I must
insist here.

note
 Note 6 - this note contains same quotes +
 attributes it to the Unk. Post in 1966 -
 (not 3 years later) - Redve
 my page 4 in note 6 +
7

P. 6. After endnote 9. _____

Agreed the changes here that it would
strengthen text if you add. " Footer
declined footer information.

Harisa -

_____ phone at

785 864-9169

J