

Early Evidence #2

Writing Notes with File #1 FBI Failure to Have Oswald on SI
Combined with File FBI closes out the SS with emphasis on
Hoover/Rowley complicity in the investigation.

Doc # 1 This batch of docs. lays out the FBI problem from day one. FBIHQ Oswald file w/ slug line Internal security-R-Cuba That should have been a red light from the start. . . Oswald should have been on SI. Other docs, say that he was violent, drank to excess(p. 4 of Doc. # 2) and one says that he might have been mental . . .and beat his wife. . . .If he drank to excess where did he get the \$\$\$. Oswald was very tight with his limited income. . . Note that FBIHQ at this time was not aware of the so-called Hosty note. I may want to play this in limited fashion and leave to a note from BOT.

Branigan to Sullivan 11/22 Note Tolson asks p. 2 on 11/25 "Was Oswald on security index?" This is marginalia on p. 2

Doc. # 2 11/22/1963 Branigan to Sullivanwith Hal's cover notes. P. 3 pretends it had no info on LHO's NO arrest until read in the papers. . . That's a lie. Quigley interviewed Oswald in jail. See BOT. This could be footnoted. . .

Doc# 2A FBI interest in FPCCcites it as a front for Castro getting \$\$\$ from the Cuban government FBI had launched a COINTELPRO campaign against FPCC in 1961 , , , helping to neutralize the organization. . .p. 3 so Oswald's FPCC should have been another red flag for the bureau . . .

Doc # 2B DeLoach to Mohr 11/23/1963 This is about Chief Curry's spouting off to the press in Dallas complaining that FBI did not tell police of Oswald. . . That FBI recently interviewed Oswald. . .Curry would be forced to retract this before the day was

over (I might have this in BOT). p. 3 Curry told O'Leary that someone had told him of the interview. (This was all from Hosty

Page 2

FBI Failure to Have Oswald on SI

who bragged to Lt. Revill. The episode in the garage of DPD hqers. . . .I might want to clarify this/ or not (See BOT)

Doc.# 3 Malley to File 11/24 After Oswald's death he notes that LBJ wants Hoover to close up case asap. . ."make a report showing evidence conclusively tying Oswald in as assailant of " JFK. . . .

Doc.# 5 Anderson to Gale 11/29/1963 Anderson was w/ Cuban section at SOG. . . .Here he gives Gale reasons why he did not think Oswald should have been on SI. (I wonder was he disciplined??) P. 3 he provides ground rules for disseminating results of security investigations to local cops. One is "threats to do violence." Hosty note would/or should have qualified. . . See BOT

Doc. # 6 SAC Dallas to Director 11/30 A kind of CYA response as to what FBI did to cooperate with SS in terms of investigating any possible threats to JFK. Only three are mentioned. . . Nothing from Hosty, of course. . . and nothing on Oswald.

Doc. # 7 11/28/1963 Raises the name of Gaudet and check on him and others who were at Mexican consulate the same day as Oswald. . . .Gaudet lies in this account. . .Is worth developing further. . .was it something that surfaced in Gale's investigation?

Doc# 8 Batch of docs revealing Hoover's concern that FBI screwed with Oswald and SI. . .He notes cannot contract Pearson who laid out FBI in Pearson column for 12/2. . . .

Page three

FBI failure to have Oswald on SI

Doc. # 8 Gale to Tolson 12/9 Gale recommends changes to the SI as far as who qualifies. . . New criteria would have automatically included Oswald. . . .Point I'd make is that he should have been on the list in the first place. . . Hoover realizes this . . .

Doc# 9 Brennen to Sullivan 12/12 in response to pieces in NYT and Wash Evening Star that FBI turned over to SS in Dallas "a risk list." This refers to the three items mentioned in Doc# 6.

Batch of docs dealing with Curry/Revill/Hosty business. . .