

Early Evidence (Flow Sheets)

Subject / CE # 399 Source: DiE on Buggsie, Part 7. . .Circumstances surrounding CE#399... Sources cites Marr's "Crossfire" where Marr's asserts the bullet was found on Fuller's stretcher, P. 13ff. ... Blows apart the FBI contrived Wright interview that CE#399 was like the bullet found at Parkland....Wright never said this... He cites Aguilar/Thompson essay and FBI Agent Odum confirming that he never interviewed Wright... it was all fabricated... P. 14(I have this A/T essay). Adds here John Hunt's 2 essays on this topic.... That Agent Todd's initials not on the CE#399 sample at NARA... (I have Hunt essays)... PP. 15-16 of DiE essay.

Subject: Hoover/LBJ close the case w/ Oswald's death (11/25)

CD-1 was a diatribe against Oswald. On 11/23 Hoover not "very, very certain about evidence in the case against Oswald"... This changes with his death. Turn to Katz memo (see Hal's file) p/ 11 of DiE on Buggsie, Part 7, p. 11 where LBJ tells Russell that it will not take up all his Senate time. "All you have to do is evaluate a Hoover report he's already written. I need time of this exchange. See Summers, "Ghosts of November," p. 90 in Vanity Fair, 12/94)... also expand on this with look at Hal's essay of the Katz Memo...see my files-----

-----There are a raft of excellent first hand comments from FBI agents (Larry Keenan being one) about the clamp down on the case (Oswald was the sole assassin) from HQers. . . .Reports from the field were rewritten at SOG to conform to the official FBI solution as presented in CD 1 These are found in Summers' "Ghosts". . . .see DiE pp. 12/13

Subject: Minox camera. . . DiE on Buggsie, pp. 24-25 of Part 7

Subject: Oswald as FBI asset. . .DiE (P. 7 on Buggsie) pp. 26-27 on Wm Walter and Oswald's arrest in New Orleans on August 9th. . . .Upshot from Walter testimony that Oswald was a security asset of the FBI. . . Joan Mellon has a word on this in her book . . .

Subject: Oswald As Sole Suspect: DiE on Buggsie Part 8

Early Evidence (Flow Sheets), p. 2

DiE makes case that since LHO was murdered before he had a trial where the evidence was tested that the WC official proceedings would be open so the American people could be satisfied as to his quilt or innocence. . . . (Not the behind the scenes executive session stuff. . . Good language to that effect on p. 2****

|Press was adjunct to the business of the public lynching of Oswald... He cites examples on p. 2 He cites the Wash Post on 12/1/'63 nailing Oswald as the lone shooter...Time magazine on 12/13/'63 that Oswald in his "own lunatic lonliness was indeed the sole assassin...."

DiE in Part 9 on Bugliosi p. 14 makes note about Cadigan's original deposition he noted that some of the evidence (actually DiE does not allude to the massiveness of this haul. . . .But he notes that this part of Cadigan's testimony before Dulles was stricken from the record. . . .He cites Armstrong, p. 908. *I have this in my Malcolm files. . . .*

DiE in Part 10 on Bugiosi

DiE on Bugliosi, Part VI P. 2 on Fritz & lack of formal training IN forensics

PP. 3-4 on the way Wade ran his office. . . .

PP. 4ff He deals in detail with Oswald and the alleged paper bag.... Troy West's testimony...and Doughtery... As DiEugenio continues he brings in Pat Speer's treatment of this issue beginning on page 7. Also cited is Griggs "No Case to Answer" and his take on the paper bag. I should review... P. 8 DiE cites work by Speer on the paper bag that I should review if I get into this specific aspect

Early Evidence (Flow Sheets, P. 3)

evidence... DiE continues w/ the saga of the bag until p. 12. Makes interesting point: Quoting Roger Feinman who wrote (check w/ Hal's files) that the authorities only had Brennan to place Oswald on the 6^{th} floor... and his testimony was so improbable that the authorities needed something stronger... so the paper bag was essential....*** I might want to go with this....

Also note to myself....These same pages make a case for the DP coming down hard on Frazier.... (My point is that in addition to Oswald the other suspect in the shooting was Frazier... or at least he was initially treated that way... .Implied here is that the paper bag was all a construction to push Oswald into the frame... See pps 8-12.... And look into Griggs work on this....

See my notes from DiEugenio on Bugliosi for Part 2 under "Oswald as Sole Suspect" on separate sheet. . . pages from DiE Part 2 are 9 & 10*** worth a review. . .

Also