

as they came from... [unclear]...

it was discolored?

Mr. Cadigan. That is true.

Mr. Eisenberg. Do you know why 820 was not reprocessed

or desilvered?

no, this is a latent fingerprint matter.

Mr. Cadigan. ~~Processed only once.~~

Mr. Eisenberg. Yes?

delete

~~Mr. Cadigan. It may be that there was a very large volume of evidence being examined at the time. Time was of the essence, and this material, I believe, was returned to the Dallas~~

~~Police within two or three days, and it was merely in my opinion a question of time. We have a very large volume of evidence. There was insufficient time to desilver it. And I think in many instances where latent fingerprints are developed they do not desilver.~~

Mr. Eisenberg. Can you explain why the signature, "Lee H. Oswald" or "L. H. Oswald" is apparent, while the signature, "A. L. Hidell" is not?

Mr. Cadigan. Different inks.

Mr. Eisenberg. Some inks are more soluble in the solution used for fingerprint tests than others?

Mr. Cadigan. Definitely.

Mr. Eisenberg. Other Commission exhibits, specifically 788, 801, and 802 also appear to have been treated for fingerprints?

Mr. Cadigan. That is correct.

Mr. Eisenberg. 788 has been desilvered?

Mr. Cadigan. Desilvered, and 801 and 802 are still in their original silvered condition.

Key here
Evidence
Case to FBI Bureau without any show of possession & then referred to Dallas Police on 4/26