
LETTERS

To the Editor

vote, he'll have to sign his life away. Something is wrong with priorities here.

MARLEEN BROOKS
Myersville

I think as Americans we need to be clear that any attack on Iran could result in an even greater disaster. Any military operation against Iran could result in U.S. and British troops in Iraq being cut off from fuel and rendered helpless and massacred.

It requires about 200 convoys a day to supply the 150,000 U.S. troops in Iraq. In the event of any U.S. move against Iran we could expect enraged Iraqi Shiites to sabotage the pipelines and totally disrupt the convoys leaving U.S. forces without fuel and supplies and sitting ducks for rocket and mortar attacks that U.S. air power could not hope to stop (see the experience of Israel with Hezbollah in Lebanon).

Once this Pandora's box was opened, Sunni guerrillas might join the Shiites in a pan-Islamic alliance that would certainly bring an end to Americastan in Iraq.

JERRY MCKNIGHT
Frederick

One heck of a gambler

President Bush is one heck of a gambler. The invasion of Iraq was the greatest gamble since President Truman dropped the bomb on Japan.

In Bush's sheer "High Noon," Texas-style winner-take-all gamble, the dice have come up snake eyes. The only gain was the overthrow of Saddam Hussein, even though he was contained and no threat to the U.S. or his neighbors.

History will almost certainly record Bush's adventurism in Iraq as the greatest strategic disaster in this nation's history. That is, unless Bush is preparing another gamble of a lifetime by attacking Iran. There are growing indications that this is a serious consideration.