context and by a sheer act of will break the chains binding the minds as well as their slaves. Frustrated in this, abolitionists ie. treated either to politics or to the mental and moral utopia e(1) ing "right" in a world that was wrong. Their "realism" in doi: 1 this is not so important as their pioneering attempt to understate social determinism and at the same time to thwart it.

While trying to understand absolute power and its effect up : people, they tried to affirm man's freedom. This meant that the had to change the rules of the game called "reform." Whereas it formers had previously accepted the givenness of the press. structures of society within which to comfort the sick and day . sessed, abolitionists would not. They began to see in part il... some social questions and prejudices were simply not so impotant as justice. That is, proper social agitation did not aim to car for the victims of society but to change society so that the: would be no victims in the first place. Abolitionists did not know all the implications of this tendency when they called not f manumission but abolition. They did not always see the generation application of principles that called not for colonization to escapprejudice but laws which could fight it. Nevertheless, the princi ples they professed and the tendencies they started, even the knowingly, were part of the transition from benevolent phila thropy to social reform. Charity had been the genteel province :: the "better people" before the abolitionists began their work: bu pitving charity was not enough to break absolute power, the new reformers said. Charity itself might be a form of absolute pour Let Negroes have justice. Give them not better food, fewer why pings, and more clothes, but give them equal laws, free churchhonest education, and a chance to acquire property.

This kind of thinking about slavery, linked as it was with sociagitation, personal frustration, civil war, and incomplete understanding was never fulfilled by a purposive and just transitifrom slavery to freedom. The dialectic of social determinism anvoluntarism, appreciation for facts and the use of abstract argument, affirmation of complexity and belief in single causation wanot resolved by the cataclysm which has since been seen as the major event in the progress of American freedom. Rather, the dialectic was lost in social frustration and political weariness. For unlike most Americans, the abolitionists had at least tried to understand slavery in a new perspective even if with old formular And their attempt made them a vanguard in the fight to abridg the complexity of slavery by willful destruction of its absolute power.

Mo Unight.

dispas

JSH 33 [May 1907]

The Business Press and Reconstruction, 1865-1868

By PETER KOLCHIN

 T_{HERE} has been much defate among historians over the role of the Radical Republicans in Reconstruction history. Until recently, many accepted the theory that Radical Reconstruction was undertaken in the interest of the newly dominant capitalist class as a smoke screen behind which the masters of capital could complete their economic revolution. Fearing that Southern Democrafs would unite with their Northern counterparts to block policies favorable to business, such as protective fariffs and contraction of the currency, Radicals refused to readmit Southern representatives to Congress. "If the South could be excluded, or admitted only with negro suffrage," wrote Howard K. Beale, one of the most persuasive advocates of this interpretation, "the new industrial order which the Northeast was developing, would be safe."1 The relationship between business and Radicalism was a ambiotic one: Radicals defended the economic objectives of capital, while businessmen supported the political ambitions and actions of the Radicals.

In recent years this theory has come under increasingly heavy attack. Authors such as David Donald, Stanley Coben, Robert P. Sharkey, Irwin Unger, and Glenn M. Linden have pointed out that neither Radicals nor businessmen were united on economic policies.² If Pennsylvania iron manufacturers supported a high tariff, New England textile men opposed it. While bankers were usually in favor of contracting the currency, some industrialists

¹ Howard K. Beale, The Critical Year: A Study of Andrew Johnson and Reonstruction (New York, 1930), 146-47.

⁴See David Donald, "The Radicals and Lincoln," in Donald, Lincoln Reconbleted (New York, 1956), 103-27, and his "Devils Facing Zionward," in Grady McWhiney (ed.), Grant, Lee, Lincoln and the Radicals ([Evanston], 1964), 2-91; Stanley Coben, "Northeastern Bušiness and Radical Reconstruction: A Beexamination," Mississippi Valley Historical Reciew, XLVI (June 1959), 67-0, Robert P. Sharkey, Money, Class, and Party: An Economic Study of Civil War and Reconstruction (Baltimore, 1959); Irwin Unger, The Greenback Era: A Social and Political History of American Finance, 1865-1879 (Princeton, 1964); and Clenn M. Linden, "Congressmen, 'Radicalism' and Economic Issues, '801-1873" (unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, University of Washington, 1963).

Ma, KOLCHIN is a graduate student at Johns Hopkins University.

were inflationists. One cannot regard Radical Reconstruction as an attempt of Northern businessmen to promote their own economic interests, because they were divided among themselves most of the important economic issues of the day. On such issue Radicals tended to vote according to their regional or local inteests rather than as a bloc.

In demonstrating that Radicals and businessmen different among themselves on matters of economic policy, these historian have effectively destroyed one of the two props on which rest. the theory of the alliance of business and Radicalism: the argument that the Radicals consistently supported the economic A jectives of Northern capitalists. There has been little attents paid, however, to the reverse side of the relationship. Surely it of interest to know not only how Radicals felt about key economic issues, but also how businessmen looked upon the paramount litical problems. What did businessmen actually think of the va ous Radical measures which Congress supposedly enacted :: their behalf? The question is of interest apart from its bearing the traditional interpretation of the Radicals as representatives. capital, for it is possible that an analysis of the political alig ments of various social groups and classes will help to clarify the nature and causes of Radical Reconstruction. This article on the business press and Reconstruction is intended to shed light on d question of how businessmen regarded the subject of Reconstrution.

The great majority of business papers that had anything at a to say on the subject of Reconstruction were decidedly hostile: the Radicals. Many business journals were concerned exclusive with economic or technical questions, but out of thirty-four consulted for this study, twelve discussed politics,³ and of these on one, Iron Age, supported Radicalism.4 The other eleven we

³ These business journals were usually weekly or monthly papers designed inform businessmen about the state of a particular business or of business general. While relatively few copies were published, their influence am businessmen, who passed copies from hand to hand, was significant. David Forsyth, The Business Press in America, 1750-1865 (Philadelphia, 1964). 3. The precise number of business papers published depends upon one's default of the term: Forsyth (pp. 341-48) mentions about sixty, while another set lists some eighty-five for a slightly later date. George P. Rowell and Comp American Newspaper Directory (New York, 1869). Several of these, how yes were extremely specialized, like the Wine and Fruit Reporter or the Journa. Applied Chemistry, and most of the important and influential ones have !! checked for this study. For several papers it was necessary to rely on lines runs, and in a few cases only occasional issues could be examined.

* The case of Iron Age lends support to the view that businessmen were 2

BUSINESS PRESS AND RECONSTRUCTION 185

Conservative in that they opposed a "harsh" Reconstruction policy, favored the speedy return of the Southern states to congressional representation, opposed legislation designed to protect the newly freed Negro, and deplored the impeachment of President undrew Johnson. The intensity of this opposition to Radicalism ranged from the occasional passing remark of Hodges' Journal of Finance and Bank Note Reporter to the persistent editorial comment of the New York Journal of Commerce.

Business journals were slow to enunciate a doctrine of political conservatism. True, during the war the New York Journal of commerce bordered on Copperheadism and was suppressed for two days when it printed a forged presidential proclamation irafting 400,000 men.5 It called for the "overthrow" of both residents Lincoln and Davis as a prerequisite to peace negotiaions and was so disappointed when Lincoln was re-elected that temporarily ceased all political comment.⁶ Most other business supers, however, strongly supported the cause of the Union, and the few that speculated on the proper postwar plan of Reconstuction, none expressed alarm over the possibility of a harsh or indictive policy.

In 1864 the United States Economist and Dry Goods Reporter arned against electing a President who would initiate negotitions with the Confederacy," while the American Railroad Joural and the Stockholder: Monitor of Finance and Industry rebiced at Lincoln's re-election.8 Shortly before the end of the war he United States Economist warned that it might take many

5

and it is a second

led into two groups, whose differing views on Reconstruction policies reted, among other things, variations in their economic interests. See discussion . this point later in the article.

Frank Luther Mott, American Journalism: A History of Newspapers in the nited States Through 250 Years, 1690 to 1940 (New York, 1941), 351-52. Constrative and Conservatism have been capitalized in this article where these ans refer to attitudes on Reconstruction. ⁶ August 26, November 10, 1864.

N.S., IX (July 16, 1864), 4. The United States Economist, edited by Joseph Mackey, was the only paper devoted to textiles. Most of the information about business press is drawn from Forsyth, Business Press, which unfortunately *s not cover the period after 1865. See also May Belle Flynn's unpublished ctoral dissertation entitled "The Development of Business Papers in the aited States" (New York University, 1944).

American Railroad Journal, XXXVII (November 12, 1864), 1105; Stockeder, III (November 22, 1864), 26. The American Railroad Journal, the nam's leading railroad periodical, had been built up in the 1850's by Henry mum Poor, and from 1862 was edited by John H. Schultz. The Stockholder · founded in 1862 by its editor F. D. Longchamp.

years to make the South truly loval and advised that "for the sake of public quiet, and upon every ground of political interest it is of the paramount consequence that the leaders of the relylion should be at least deprived of the rights of franchise and e^{-1} cluded from holding any position of national, State or civic fice."9 The American Mining Gazette and Geological Magazet praised the "divine" work of the Union army,10 and if the Reilro. Record of Cincinnati spoke of the necessity of maintaining with supremacy," it seemed to be expressing a sentiment nearly to versally held by whites rather than taking sides in a political d bate.

With the assassination of Lincoln and the end of the war, h ever, the dominant sentiment of the business press towarl :construction became clearly Conservative. Expressions of the and dismay at Lincoln's death were widespread.12 More sm cant were the expressions of fear that his successor would a sue a more Radical policy. The United States Economist is that the South or its leaders were responsible for the murder at hoped that "President Johnson will not be precipitate in J termining his policy of punishment."13 The Stockholder warne Johnson against a policy of vengear.ce "Mercy was the way word of our late lamented President: let it also be the war word of his successor. Severity has nothing to do with politics The Journal of Commerce deploted all thought of vindition and called for sectional understanding and brotherhood."

Other papers echoed the demand for leniency. Hodger joy nal of Finance and Bank Note Reporter declared that "Four vie of relentless warfare has so satiated the appetite for the evinced by both sections . . . that today the hand of friendshirt but to be offered by the one to be gladly seized by the other L a magnanimity be shown by the conquerors." The Amer.

4 X. March 25, 1865), 4.

1) II (April 1865), 195. The American Mining Gazette was a short-the monthly counded by its editor Gilbert Currie in 1564 and discontinued at vears loter.

N.I. Japaary 26, 1865), 585.

- 24 New York Journal of Commerce, April 17, 1865, Railmad Bacord, socie 20, 1865), 105-106; United States Economist, X (April 22, 1965 American Eustread Journal, XXXVIII (April 22, 1865), 369-75; Stockhold LI (April 25, 1865), 382.
- 1 X Ared 22, 1865), 4.
- 1+ 111 (April 15, 1865), 382.
- 14 December 2, 1865.
- -1 XX + April 15, 1865), 2.

BUSINESS PRESS AND RECONSTRUCTION 187

pruggists' Circular and Chemical Gazette wanted the North to , tablish more "amicable relations" with the South, ¹⁷ and the Merhants' Magazine applauded Johnson's amnesty declaration of May 29, 1865, although it regretted the omission from its terms of vrsons worth more than \$20,000.18 The American Railroad Journal praised Johnson for his kindness to the South. "We have had "nough discord," it pronounced. "Let it cease now and forever."10

Business papers looked forward eagerly to closer trade relaons with the South. The Journal of Commerce expected that the newal of trade would help restore friendship between the two ections, while both the American Railroad Journal and the Railand Record spoke glowingly of the possibilities of expanding the southern trade and sympathized with Southern efforts to rebuild the shattered railroad system.²⁰ The United States Economist opused the confiscation of cotton by the United States government, oplaining that "We anticipate an extensive revival of trade from the renewal of intercourse with the South. That trade can only be ased upon the exchange of Southern products for our own. But there will be the ability of the South to make such exchanges, if he government should take from the property holders their posses-Nons?... we should stay this process of retribution \ldots "21 Conem for the cotton crop led the United States Economist to dealore the idleness of Southern Negroes and even to support the Jack Codes which were being passed throughout the South to maintain their social and economic subordination.²²

Other business journals were equally unconcerned about theate of the Negro. The Merchants' Magazine announced that a ederal policy of laissez faire would best solve the race problem, uplaining that states which desired to maintain a large Negro opulation could easily do so, while if the freedmen proved unlesirable, state legislation would "drive them to sections of the

"IX (October 1865), 198. This monthly journal was devoted primarily to the darmaceutical business but also included items of more general business in-

¹⁸ LIII (July 1865), 51. The monthly Merchants' Magazine and Commercial ieview (formerly Hunt's Merchants' Magazine) was edited by William B. Jana, a lawyer from Utica, New York. In 1870 it merged with the Commercial nd Financial Chronicle, a weekly founded by Dana in 1865. ¹⁹ XXXVIII (October 7, 1865), 950.

²⁰ New York Journal of Commerce, April 27, 1865; American Railroad Journal, XXVIII (August 5, September 9, October 21, 1865), 746, 866, 1014; Rail-^{vad} Record, XIII (May 18, June 15, 1865), 153, 201. ²¹X (April 29, 1865), 4.

²² X (September 23, 1865), 4.

和的對於薄弱

country where their value is better appreciated."23 The Railress Record suggested that the government retain the Negro junc. military organization and use him in a semi-military capacity : develop the resources of the country,"24 and the Journal of Cor. merce denied that the freedmen needed Northern protection is madness to talk about the people of the South oppressing t negroes," it declared. "They will be their best and kindest guaians."25 The American Mining Gazette, which had recently vised that "retributive judgment shall be meted out to the traitors,"26 now said that "With the exception of the privileget holding slaves, the Southern people and the Southern States v enjoy all the rights that they ever have enjoyed in our republic . . . If the colored people prove themselves competent, they wthrive and increase in prosperity and in numbers; if they are ... competent, they will become in time extinct. . . . The South-States will be represented in Congress with rights equal to other States."27

The Conservatism of the business press intensified as the Rae cal-Conservative battle lines hardened in Congress. The postw depression further stimulated the opposition of many busine journals to Radicalism.

The business press still tended to express its Conservatism vague, general terms, rather than to take stands on specific issue True, both the American Railroad Journal and the Journal Commerce defended Johnson's vetoes of the Freedmen's Bun Bill and the Civil Rights Bill and opposed the Fourtee: Amendment as "meddling" which would infringe upon the rich of the states;28 but most business papers expressed their views specifically, attacking Radicalism and calling for the speedy "r toration" of the Southern states.7

The most vocal business journal was the Journal of Commenwhich denounced the Radicals as "a body of men who are d termined to keep the country in a state of ferment for years : come, that they may be thrown up to the top with the scum whi floats above all fermentations."20 Other papers voiced a genci-

²⁸ American Railroad Journal, XXXIX (April 14, May 5, 1866), 345, 4 New York Journal of Commerce, February 12, 21, March 14, 28, June 16, 1866 ²⁹ February 3, 1866.

BUSINESS PRESS AND RECONSTRUCTION 189

uncern over a harsh Reconstruction policy, and called for peace and mutual conciliation. 37 The Stockholder scolded Congress for "partisan and sectional spirit" and reserved special fire for Thaddeus Stevens, declaring that "the proposition of confiscating the landed property of a whole people, a thing unheard of since the days of Tamerlane, has been generally accepted as the montrous conception of a diseased mind."31

Most business papers couched their Conservatism in economic erms. The economic argument for Conservatism most emphasized in late 1865 and the first half of 1866 was the need for restoution of trade with the South. There was a widespread feeling that the political turmoil resulting from a Radical Reconstruction policy would prolong the period of Southern economic recovery. this was disturbing not only to New England cotton textile manufacturers and to merchants who depended on the Southem trade, but to all who felt that cotton and Southern prosperity a general were essential to the well-being of the national econamy. As the Journal of Commerce explained, "The need of the whole country now is the health of every part of it. . . . The necesvity now is to restore prosperity to the South."32

The American Railroad Journal believed that the paying of the ational debt would be greatly facilitated by taking advantage of southern production.33 Even more important was the opportunity or investment in Southern railroads. The magazine sympathized with efforts to rebuild the Southern railroad system and declared that the North could "furnish all the material necessary "34 the Railroad Record agreed. "Many of the Southern railroad companies will soon be in the market for loans to finish their walds and restore them to usefulness," it noted shortly after the "nd of the war. "We think they should be met with great liberalty on the part of capitalists."35 It spoke glowingly of the future trade between West and South and frequently referred to the aced to build a railroad south from Cincinnati to capture the Southern market.36

³² March 20, 1863.

4 XXXVIII (July 29, 1865), 723. ²⁵ XIII (May 18, 1865), 153.

¹⁶ For example, XIII (January 4, 1866), 549. For the story of Cincinnati's ef-

²³ LIII (August 1865), 134.

²⁴ XIII (July 13, 1865), 250.

²⁵ December 28, 1865.

²⁶ II (April 1865), 203.

²⁷ II (May 1865), 259.

¹⁰ Merchants' Magazine, LIV (March 1866), 170; Commercial and Financial Thronicle, II (February 17, 1866), 198; United States Economist, XI (April 7, ²¹ IV (March 20, 1866), 296-97.

²³ XXXIX (January 27, 1866), 97.

The Merchants' Magazine and the Commercial and Financic! Chronicle stressed the importance for the nation's economy c: Southern recovery. "The vast region lately overrun by war w_{ax} the garden of the Republic, and furnished to our export trade the staples which gave us our commercial preponderance in the markets of the world," the Merchants' Magazine stated. It added "in order that we may develope the wealth of the South, all political questions must be settled, so that peace and security may be come universal."37 The Commercial and Financial Chroniclnoted that "we want capital attracted to the South, and its in dustry and wealth free to develope itself, and this cannot be long as the States are under semi-military rule."28

During the second half of 1866 and throughout 1867 the general opposition of the business press to Radicalism continued u. abated, although several papers began to comment less frequently on political affairs, and two ceased to discuss them altogether As the depression deepened business journals tended increas ingly to justify their Conservatism on economic grounds. Although they continued to mention the importance of trade brtween North and South,⁴⁰ they began to stress the argument the the Radicals were causing political uncertainty and chaos, which dampened business confidence and were at least in part responsible for the depression. The continuation of the depression and the inability of Congress to agree upon the proper course of Reconstruction-combined with the natural conservatism of the businessman-produced a longing to put an end to the Radical political "agitation" and get down to the business of the day business. As the American Journal of Mining, which did not dis

fort to capture the Southern market, see Ellis Merton Coulter. The Cincine Southern Railroad and the Struggle for Southern Commerce, 1865-1872 (Chica:

27 LIV (March 1866), 171-72.

28 II (February 17, 1866), 198.

29 In mid-1866 the Railroad Record and the Stockholder ceased almost entirely to discuss political questions, and the American Railroad Journal and the United States Economist drastically curtailed their treatment of them. In the case of the Stockholder, the change was no doubt the result of the replacement of F. D. Longchamp by Samuel Porter Dinsmore as editor on May 15, 155 The other papers may have been influenced by a general discouragement over the course of events. The New York Journal of Commerce, for example, was a the habit of abandoning (temporarily) all political comment whenever it was particularly unhappy about a given event.

⁴⁰ See, for example, New York Journal of Commerce, July 7, 1866; Merchant Magazine, LVII (October 1867), 303; Commercial and Financial Chronica IV (February 23, 1867), 231; V (December 28, 1867), 806.

BUSINESS PRESS AND RECONSTRUCTION 191

cuss political affairs, remarked, "Now that the war is over, and peace has returned once more to cheer and prosper our nation with its manifold blessings, the attention of our people is universally attracted to the recuperation and development of our great national resources."41 Frequent use of words such as "doubt," "uncertainty," "caution," "excitement," "disturbance," and "agitation" by business papers in their attacks on Radicalism testified to their increasing anger at the continuation of the political turmoil, for which they blamed the Radicals.

The Commercial and Financial Chronicle expressed this sentiment most clearly and frequently. Early in 1867 it complained that the discussion of Reconstruction measures "has been needlessly protracted by partisan harangues and party schemes; and the commercial interests of the country feel sorely aggrieved that their convenience should have been disregarded in keeping open disturbing issues longer than is necessary The present demoralized condition of the trade of the whole country appeals loudly to Congress for moderation "42 In the following issue the journal dwelt upon the importance of the South as a market for Northern manufactures and as a field for investment. The unsettled political situation, however, was restraining capitalists from investing in or trading with the South. "Virtually, therefore, the failure of Congress and the President to agree upon a plan of reconstruction keeps the whole machinery of Southern commerce stagnant . . . ," it continued. "The mercantile interest requires that the issue be settled promptly and permanently, and upon a basis which will command the confidence of capitalists "43 Later in the year the Commercial and Financial Chronicle reiterated that "What the country needs is peace, and rest, and our legislators greatly mistake the temper of the people if they think they will much longer endure unnecessary agitation."14

Other business journals agreed. The Journal of Commerce, in calling for the defeat of the Radicals in the elections of 1866, explained that "It is a question of peace and prosperity on the one side; disturbance, discord, possible anarchy on the other."45 The American Railroad Journal warned that the "doubt and uncertainty" were bad for business,⁴⁶ while the Railroad Record de-

⁴¹ I (April 14, 1866), 40.

⁴² IV (February 16, 1867), 201. ⁴³ IV (February 23, 1867), 231.

⁴⁴ V(November 30, 1867), 681.

⁴⁵ November 5, 1866.

40 XXXIX (April 14, 1866), 345.

plored the "political excitement" which had swept the country and predicted that "until the policy of the government become $\frac{1}{2}$. more settled and harmonious, we may expect that traders and consumers will exercise caution and economy."47 The United States Economist feared that the Radicals were engaging in "rev. olution,"48 and the American Mining Index charged that the Ed. tion's financial difficulties were being aggravated by the actions of "a shortsighted political conclave who apparently desire to benefit a few at the expense of a whole nation, and forever perpetuate themselves in power and position."49

Business papers continued to express their indifference to the plight of the Negro. The United States Economist objected strongly to Negro suffrage, which it felt was merely an attempt to assure Republican ascendancy.⁵⁰ Managing to combine ant. Indian and anti-Negro sentiment, the American Mining Index suggested that the nation's energies should be directed toward annihilating the Indians rather than introducing Negro domination in the South. It was sure that Conservatism would eventually triumph, for "the country cannot be kept separated by the will of a few rabid radicals."51 The American Railroad Journal expressed a view common among Southerners and certain Northerners when it predicted that the Negro, "having been christianized and civilized, and having fulfilled his destiny by opening up and clearing out a country which the white man, owing to climate was unable to cope with, will gradually die off, or be absorbed in the active struggle of life."52

The opposition to Radicalism among the business papers which still discussed political questions reached its peak of intensity during the impeachment of President Johnson. Few bothered to defend (or even discuss) the President's policies; the great sin d impeachment was that it disrupted the orderly transaction of the nation's business.

As early as September 14, 1867, the United States Economist noted with alarm the growing sentiment in favor of impeaching

Abuerton

The

(June 13, 1867), 8. This weekly paper explained that "The Index do not profess political discussion, but it may discuss the cause and effect of publ measures that are likely to affect every citizen and inhabitant of this great it

59 XIII (February 29, 1868), 4.

44 V (August 17, 1867), 4; (June 13, 1867), 8.

52 XLI (April 18, 1868), 365.

BUSINESS PRESS AND RECONSTRUCTION

Johnson and warned that if Congress could remove the President at will the United States would come to resemble the Latin American states.⁵³ The Commercial and Financial Chronicle remarked that impeachment would "derange and check all busi-

As Congress proceeded with its plan to impeach the President, the Commercial and Financial Chronicle grew restive. "It seems that new laws must be passed, new powers assumed before the end can be reached," the journal lamented. "Already the Executive has been stripped of all authority, and consequently of responsibility. . . . It is hardly necessary to say that these propositions are paralyzing all industries."55 The Commercial and Financial Chronicle certainly did not consider that the Radicals were acting in the interest of Northern capital. The magazine wondered: "Is it not time for us then to bring to bear the concentrated force of the quiet conservative public opinion of the country upon the imperative necessity of devising some plan by which there can be established throughout the Southern States such a wellguaranteed and efficient public order as shall restore confidence in the future of those States not only among the Southern people, but among the capitalists, and manufacturers and merchants of the whole country?"⁵⁶ Shortly thereafter it wailed that "The action of Congress in raising this spectre of Impeachment, threatens us with a protracted neglect of all the great vital interests of the nation, already suffering under their postponement to political issues and to partizan debates."57 The Merchants' Magazine echoed the language of the Commercial and Financial Chronicle, often

The United States Economist was equally agitated over impeachment. It criticized "partizan" measures and denounced the "revolutionary expedients". . . resorted to merely for the purpose of the retention of power by the now dominant party."59 The paper warned that "if Presidents are to be immolated to party hate and party ends, the days of Union are numbered."60 Disillusioned with Johnson, who had not done enough to defeat Radi-

⁶⁸ XII, 4.

⁴⁴ V (November 30, 1867), 680. ³⁵ VI (January 18, 1868), 70. 50 VI (January 18, 1868), 70-71. ⁵⁷ VI (February 29, 1868), 263.

- ⁵⁸ For example, LVIII (February 1868), 121. ^{co} XIII (January 25, 1868), 4.
- ⁶⁰ XIII (February 29, 1868), 4.

⁴⁷ XIV (November 8, 1866), 447.

⁴⁸ XIII (January 25, 1868), 4.

calism, the *Journal of Commerce* welcomed the impeachment controversy as an excellent opportunity to arouse the nation against the excesses of the Radicals. "Whether impeachment succeeds or fails, it must greatly damage the party that is to be held responsible for it . . . ," the journal explained.⁶¹

Other business journals, which did not comment directly on political affairs, remarked upon the adverse effect of the impeachment proceedings on business. The Shoe and Leather Reporter observed that "commercial currents have moved slegishly in many branches for the past three months, owing to thuncertainty of the political future, and it was hoped that it country would ere now be relieved of the suspense."⁶² The American Druggists' Circular and Chemical Gazette deplored the whole impeachment struggle with the accompanying "uncertainty,"⁶² and the Industrial American, a new semimonthly journal published in New York, added its voice to the side of Conservatism. It hoped that "the near prospective return of the Southern States to Representation" would "act as a sedative to the political excitement of the times, that one valuable market will be opened to the products of our factories."⁶⁴

With the end of the impeachment trial, the crisis which had gripped the nation relaxed. The most heated phase of the Reconstruction debate was over, and business journals returned to their traditional lines of reporting. Although occasionally one might comment on the course of Reconstruction, this usually took the form of a discussion of Southern economic recovery (as in the *Commercial and Financial Chronicle* of February 6, 1869, which opposed the payment of "exorbitant" wages to Negro cottee hands).⁶⁵ With evident relief, business papers turned to celebrate the exploitation of the nation's resources.

It is clear that the vast majority of business journals which discussed politics—journals representing commercial and financial railroad, textile, and mining interests—opposed the Reconstruction policies of the Radicals. Although many of these journals used moral or constitutional arguments to defend their position, most of them stressed the economic grounds for Conservatism opposing Radical Reconstruction as injurious to business (or 4

⁶¹ March 5, 1868.
⁶² XI (May 14, 1868), 7.
⁶³ XII (March 1868), 71.
⁶⁴ I (April 22, 1868), 1.
⁶⁵ VIII, 167.

BUSINESS PRESS AND RECONSTRUCTION 195

particular business). Whether this indicates an economic motive for Conservatism or merely an economic justification of it is not immediately clear.⁶⁶

The question is to what extent cau it be assumed that the business press was representative of business sentiment toward Reconstruction.⁶⁷ There is little reason to think that the business press adopted a point of view in direct opposition to that of the business community. It is likely, however, that because a disproportionate number of business journals were published in New York and were devoted to commercial and financial affairs rather than manufacturing, the business press failed to reflect the diversity of opinion that existed among businessmen.

There is some evidence to suggest that there were two groups of business enterprises at the end of the Civil War. The first, consisting of such major businesses as banking, commerce, and cotton textiles, was well established by the time of the war. They had little need of tariff protection, and men engaged in these businesses usually supported a hard-money policy. They had prospered before the war, and for them the war was truly a war for Union, that is, for a return to the situation they had known in the 1850's. Opposing change and fearing the unknown, during Reconstruction they naturally tended to the Conservative side.

Opposed to them was a smaller group, new and aggressive, led by the iron industry. Unlike the older, more established businesses. it fought hard for a protective tariff, and as a debtor industry constantly short of capital, it fostered a soft-money position.

⁶¹ The restoration of economic ties between North and South is covered in Some detail by George R. Woolfolk in *The Cotton Regency: The Northern Merchants and Reconstruction*, 1865-1880 (New York, 1958). He fails, however, 'o draw the political conclusions that are implicit in much of his work. One of the few historians to suggest that most businessmen favored a Conservative Reconstruction policy is Paul H. Buck in *The Road to Reunion*, 1865-1900 (Boston, 1937), 159-61. That businessmen opposed the continuation of Radical Reconduction in the middle 1870's is more commonly recognized. See, for example, Stanley P. Hirshson, *Farçwell to the Bloody Shirt: Northern Republicans and the Southern Negro*, 1877-1893 (Bloomington, 1962), 26-30; and William B. Hesseltine, "Economic Factors in the Abandonment of Reconstruction," *Missistippi Valley Historical Review*, XXII (September 1935), 191-210. Hesseltine 'P. 191) believed, however, that "Fundamentally, reconstruction was the method by which the 'Masters of Capital' sought to secure their victory over the vanquished 'Lords of the Manor'...."

⁶⁶ It is conceivable, for example, that most business editors were Conservative for reasons other than economic and merely used the kind of argument which they believed would most influence their readers. In this connection, it may be ignificant that ten of the eleven journals which opposed Radicalism were published in heavily Democratic New York City.

. . . .

1

Many of the new industrialists cast their lot with the Radicals"

Significant for its role in expounding the opinions of these new industrialists was *Iron Age*, the one business paper which dissented strongly from the prevalent Conservatism. Well known as spokesman for the iron industry and edited by its founder John-Williams, *Iron Age* was one of the few business journals which vigorously advocated a protectionist policy; and to a large extent its Radicalism seems to have been intertwined with its protectionism.

Although by no means constituting the majority of the nations businessmen, it was the new industrialists, whose point of view was so well expressed by *Iron Age*, who later attracted the attention of historians. Thaddeus Stevens' iron mill no doubt contributed to this attention. It seems unlikely, however, that Radical Reconstruction won the support (let alone the overwhelming backing which many historians have assumed) of the majority of businessmen. Indeed, if the business press was at all representative of dominant business sentiment during the Reconstruction period, it is hard to avoid the conclusion that not only were the Radicals not the spokesmen of Northern business interests, but infact the Radicals acted in the face of the substantial hostility and opposition of business.

68 Sharkey, Moncy, Class, and Party, passim, especially 141-73, 272-75; Umger, Greenback Era, passim, especially 41-162.

⁶⁵ V (February 27, 1868), 4.

Annual Report of the Secretary-Treasurer

By Bennett H. Wall

THE PERMANENT OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY-TREASURER OF THE Southern Historical Association is now located at Tulane University in New Orleans, Louisiana. The change from the University of Kentucky was made much easier by the splendid generosity and co-operation of Dean Paul C. Nagel and History Department Chairman Carl B. Cone at the University of Kentucky and University History Department Chairman William R. Hogan and History Department Head Charles P. Roland at Tulane University. Professor Hogan has secured for the secretary a storage soom and an office for his use as secretary-treasurer. This is the first time in Association history that there has been any one space that might be called an office.

It is with considerable regret that the secretary leaves the University of Kentucky, and he expresses his particular acknowledgment of indebtedness and that of the Association to the numerous administrative persons there and to those persons of his staff who have assisted him across the years in conducting the affairs of the Association. The secretary has sought for his staff high type, enercetic persons who would be interested in their assigned jobs and who would take more than perfunctory notice of the individuals who constituted the Association and their remarks and complaints. Across the years the secretary has on occasion been able to bring before the business meetings humorous comments added to dues cards, stuck on the side of checks, or written on the backs of envelopes from scores of persons who were writing to the sectetary for whatever reason. These were largely selected by his staff, all part-time, consisting of Mrs. Neva Armstrong, Miss Joyce Latham, Miss Dorothy Leathers, Mrs. Martha Dollar, Richard ^{Briscoe,} and Dale Royalty. However fortunate he may be in securing a staff at Tulane, he is inclined to question whether six persons of such obvious excellence will ever again be continu-^{vusly} employed by any organization.

MR. WALL, secretary-treasurer of the Southern Historical Association, ^{5 associate} professor of history at Tulane University.

⁷⁰ V (February 27, 1868), 4.

⁷¹ V (March 26, 1868), 4.

⁷² V (February 27, 1868), 4.