

Dear Dal,

4/18/95

Your speech is excellent! If it went through more than one draft, it was well worth it. And hope you do get some <sup>or better</sup> silly questions. It will show those people up and underscore the need in education for what you propose. If anyone disputes what you say he is hopelessly committed to the official mythology *and unthinking. Fuck!*

Your letter of the 9th also came today. The mail was a little earlier than usual so I had a chance to read it while Bill was doing some shopping. I also mailed you the two copies of Selections.

Believe me, not a word needs changing. I have a few minor suggestions.

On your page 2, first graf, the subtitle is Top Secret JFK Assassination Transcript.

They put two different subtitles on Case Open, neither mine. The one on the cover is better and the <sup>one</sup> on the title page, which you used, is not really accurate. What you say about the appearance of NEVER AGAIN! is correct but it has not happened and my asking when to expect it is without answer.

On 4 you ask if you should add more. I suggest <sup>2</sup> instead, not to lengthen it so you can take questions, one substitution. I'd eliminate the part about the DPD Tippit non-investigation and substitute what is on WW 26, <sup>(with W.G. citations)</sup> that the best spots in the country, under greatly improved conditions, were not able to duplicate the shooting attributed to the duffer Oswald who was evaluated by the Marines as "a rather poor 'shot.'" (WW 30) I think that for your purpose anything on JFK is more important, you have a little on Tippit later and if they want more about it they can ask. But that stuff on 26 will stifle all the theories and the Posner pap.

You've an excellent selection of official records and not only will it be apparent to those of open mind that any questioners ignoring them and spouting propaganda is doing that. Unless they ask you something to which you can respond briefly you may want to consider something like there is no limit to the irrelevant or angled questions that can be asked but I want to point out that it not only has nothing to do with what I called to your attention but in neither our thinking and certainly not in any teaching do we want to be locked into the official mythology whether in original form or as repeated by apologists for <sup>error</sup> officialdom.

You also can point out that rather than addressing the rather ~~of~~ serious matters you raised the question is intended to sneak around that, ignore the central facts.

So while there would be something wrong if you were not uneasy in advance of your speech you've a fine job that cannot be refuted so relax and do not worry. You'll do well. + hope there can be copies for those who may want them. And particularly with the fine job you've done hope for nasty questions. You'll be able to handle them or characterize them and with that speech they'll make you appear better by the sharp contrast. This will make it even more effective with thinking people, as most will be, whether or not

they say day a word. It is not uncommon for some people who are not familiar with a subject to sit in silence and just listen.

If you find they do not use up all the time for questions, may I suggest that you have WW IV at hand and use the back cover as an index. Go to those pages and read what I call attention to <sup>line</sup> Dulles on how right and proper perjury is, should inform them.

The young woman who has the Senator Russell Dissents disk had not brought them.

When she does I'll send you one. But if you have a little time with no questions you may want to tell them that Russell and Cooper refused to agree with the single bullet theory, were deceived into believing that <sup>m</sup>co/promise language incorporated their disagreement when it did not, and that the court reporter was not called to be there so there is no stenographic transcript.

The difference in typing in the 1/22 transcript is because when the Archives saw the Top Secret stamp on it it was sent to the Pentagon to be typed by a stenotypist with Top Secret clearance.

I appreciate your ~~letter~~ letter. Thanks for those kind thing you say. and I'll send the programs to others you do not know.

<sup>Be</sup>Wt satisfied that you are nervous. You should be. But it will go well, you'll do well, and you'll have no real trouble with any questions. I'm inclined to believe that the probability is that those who may ask nasty questions are either diehards or publicity seekers.

<sup>u</sup>You find your confidence once you get into it.

Best,

4/19-Dear Friend, I'm not putting you on

on any of this. Sensible people are nervous when they first speak before an audience.

But when they have good speeches or know the ~~be~~ material better than any adversary it works out well and little by little confidence comes. Expect afterward to be telling yourself, why didn't I think of that as what you might have said in responding to a question suggests itself. Normal. In response to any question about the shooting you may want to have a xerox of that Marine Corp document by Folsom I refer to about, WW26, to put on the overhead projector. I'd have on hand, too, the Humes/Burkley certifications from PH for putting on the overhead projector. during questions, just in case. But believe me, when you have finished you will find your audience, most of them, impressed and appreciative because it is a very good and informative speech and because you have limited yourself to the official evidence they know nothing about. It may startle some of them. I think some will tell you some of this, too. Decent, thinking people will appreciate being well and accurately informed. Not just people with Lange's beliefs.