

Dear Dal,

11/28/94

This will be rushed, alas. Getting close to our suppertime and your letter of the 20th is long. And I now forget so much. I think we found and sent you a copy of what McKnight said. If we did not, please let me know and I'll do that

Going back to what you think fits, and it does, but ~~on~~^{the} proof is lacking, in White-wash, that early, I could see and say that Oswald's career in New Orleans is consistent with what in intelligence is called establishing a cover. But for whom and why remains only conjecture. So also with how neatly it fits with Dallas. I have addressed that to a hasty degree in Waketh the Watchman, being retyped and in time you'll get a disk.

I no longer read ^{etc. etc.} Scott, That kind of theorizing presented as fact deceives and misleads trusting people. I have also found him to flagrantly inaccurate. His nonsense of ATF and arms comes from the LaFontaines, who fantasize and write nonsense. They had a long article on that in the Wash Post and a schedule ^{d/} book, Oswald Talks, from Pelican Press, due by now and not out. Perhaps the Post sent the lengthy commentary I sent it to Pelican.

The Oswald story that he went to Mexico City to get to Moscow through Cuba makes no sense. He knew that was the hardest way, that it was so much easier via Canada and that he was openly anti-USSR within the USSR and that he was under surveillance. So he knew he had no reason to expect either favorable or fast action on his request for a visa.

Wrone phoned yesterday morning. He was in great excitement over the book, ~~but~~^{it} had come, and aside from all the other values it has for him he thinks he can use some of it in his present work for which he lacks the time he needs. He was really appreciative. I do suggest you let him know whatever books you want because he picked that Roffman up when he had to go to Madison, where the main university is. ~~There~~ There was great assassination interest there and from time to time the use-books stores get the earlier books.

Please give me Don Craig's address and I'll send him a Case Open.

The Nagell story makes no sense. He did not have to rob a bank to have an alibi. And compare that treatment for a book with what the same people did with Case Open and are doing by suppressing NEVER AGAIN! I suspect it did ^{not} do all that well.

I have no reason to believe either Kennedy had anything to do ~~with~~^{with} any assassinations and I know they were not involved, knew nothing about, the CIA's mafia plot. I have that from CIA records.

When you see Chittened ^{don} of MacMillans, do you think you could get him to suggest to them or their Free Press branch that they might want to take a look at what I'm getting on paper? I could not begin to send it but they can see much here and they can ask Wrone ^{in advance Terry, etc.} for an appraisal. I do not know how they think and react or have had experiences that could have turned them off, as they well could have from Lifton and Turner/Christian or if they fear the government but I have a solid body of work that covers the field as

I think has not been done that often on any subject. Aside from what I have published, which has stacked, and ^{side} from the Justice Department telling a federal court that I know more about the JFK assassination and its investigations than anyone working for the FBI, I've Inside the JFK assassination in rough draft and being retyped (too slowly but the best I can arrange), a large book, and a smaller one with the same delays but in rough, Waketh the Watchman: Our Strangelovian Military and the JFK Assassination. Plus Never AGAIN! being squelched. And I'M getting toward the end of another one, enlarging Senator Russell Dissents into a book. But if they are not afraid of the subject and are willing to take Wroner's and McKnight's word I think it would not waste their time to come and read what I have on paper, needing editing, and the documentation for it. Including pictures, ~~Even~~ of curtains being put up in Oswald's room! What was cut from Case Open with a little editing will make a book that will sell. I used Posner's crooked prosecutor's brief and wrote a defense brief that uses the official evidence only to acquit him.

My mail on Case Open continues. Some days nothing, one day last week five and today three. With an adverse comment yet to come! Butchered as the book was, too!

The crap has glutted the market for that kind of trash but ~~an~~ ^{the} interest remains and people go out of their way to thank me for sticking to fact and not wishing any theories off on them as fact.

I have no doubt it all can be commercially successful if there is no fear of retaliation, and I do not suggest that is an idle fear. And I have no doubt of the importance now and in the future. Nobody has worked as I have or with my approach. And the weaker, the frailer and the more tired I get the more those wonderful letters, including yours, mean! I file most by the letter of the last name but have copies of some in an evaluations file.

And ^{all} that Posner could say ^{is} only that I finally got commercial publication, in his reprint, after all I said about him in Case Open, is its own accreditation. He lied in that, by the way. It was my fifth original publication by a commercial publisher and counting reprint, my 12th or 13th. And didn't I say he has trouble telling the truth even by accident?

I do not have hay fever but I know what you are talking about from chronic bronchitis. Our best to you, and thanks,

Heard