

Dear <sup>W</sup>akl,

10/22/94

Your letter dated the 16th here today. Good time, too. But the airgramme <sup>I</sup> mailed you this ~~morning~~ was lost or missed on my desk for several days. It will seem to have taken long <sup>er</sup> than it did.

I'm sure Wrono will love the book, if you can find it, on your governments' relations with the native peoples. He is deep into his study without the time it requires <sup>and</sup> is overloaded at school.

No, ~~you~~ <sup>you</sup> do not owe us any money. Lal is keeping a record. We still owe you.

And I doubt that I conveyed how bad Marrs' trash really is. But he seems to have gotten a contract from a major publisher for more trash.

In order not to have an unbalanced perspective on the Commission and its staff from Epstein's book it is important to know a little more about him and Inquest and Legend. The latter reorganized and retitled after Angleton took him over. It is a farcical portrait of Oswald but is consistent with <sup>E</sup>pstein's far-right position.

His major sources were the Commission's most conservative counsel, probably most of all Liebeler. So, he like Lane for a different reason focuses on Warren. His big thing is typical of him, it is wrong and impossible. He said the autopsy was redone and the redone copy was filed in January as I recall. The official autopsy, which was not the first, as you'll recall from Post Mortem, was not changed. What was later filed is clearly labelled a supplement to it.

And to make Oswald out to be a red he had to and did ignore all the actual evidence that he was antiCommunist, as you see to a degree in Whitewash, which Epstein had as well as all its sources. Or, <sup>he</sup> is dishonest in favor of his preconceptions and politics.

But this does not mean that all the staff told him is not true and most is, but angled against others, not his sources, who have their own sins and skins to cover.

Much of your list to Last Hurrah is, I think, <sup>wasted</sup> ~~wasted~~ money, unless you have special purposes. Like the Fensterwald book, which he paid another to do for him. Or the INCA/Edward Scannel Butler record. Nothing on it not in the 26. <sup>By</sup> ~~By~~ the far <sup>right</sup> ~~right~~ nut and political commercializer who did it. <sup>Who</sup> was also an informer.

I read Prouty's Secret Armies in the original and was impressed by it. But since then I've learned much about him and while we like him ~~personally~~ personally I've learned to distinguish between what <sup>he</sup> quotes and his interpretations of it. The reprint you ordered is by the biggest of our native nazis, Willis Garto, and his so-called IHR is a cover for his there-was-a-holocaust line. <sup>He</sup> is biggest in that here. He also published what he expected to be the American Mein Kampf, by an Irishman jailed, like Hitler, when he wrote it. A bad ~~guy~~ guy, Francis Parkey Yockey.

It is <sup>B</sup>ernard Geis, one G.

Poor Peen Jone, a very nice guy, is crazy as hell. So are those Ramparts articles

and his books, which are reprints from the small country weekly he edited. He is a tragedy. His wife, who'd been keeping them and the paper, finally kicked him out. Got to be too much for her. His mental condition is now worse from what I've been told and read. Young wife generally speaks for him, Devoted to him.

One of the reasons for so much chaos around here is that I now do not have any room for stacks! So Lil has begun to go over the books so we can get rid of those we do not want and that will give me shelf space for boxes. In doing this on the first shelf she found two books I'd forgotten I had and read. I'm rereading David Wise's The Politics of Lying and if you can find it in a second-hand store down there recommend it highly. Also his ~~The Intelligence Establishment~~ Rather The Invisible Government. It will, both will tell you much about our governments.

As I remember it the Admiral's name was Mohrer.

And Robert Kennedy and his Times is in the package.

I look forward to hearing from Craig, But except for conclusions, I finished that draft a while back. It is being retyped very, very slowly, by a young woman who has a full-time job and is going to college nights. I'd planned to start the conclusions this morning but did not feel up to present snuff so I read more of Wise and found a few things appropriate for insertion into it. *Motus*

I think the kind of book you have in mind for Wrono is one he will find quite valuable. I hope you can find one. If he phones tomorrow, as he does often on Sundays, I'll ask if he has yet sent you the Roffman book. I think you will find it and his approach of more value and benefit to your students than Epstein and if you have read all my books you know more about those stinker counsels than Epstein said. Plus do not forget Conclusions First in Post Mortem and the executive session transcripts I published. If you have not thought of that, reread that of ~~1/22/64~~ 1/22/64, Post Mortem, pp. 475 ff. Remember, it was not transcribed by the original court reporter. It was done at the Pentagon and there are a few minor errors in it. "Experimental" for "supplemental" and not getting all the names. What they were talking about is the FBI's five-volume report ordered by JBJ and given to them 12/6/63. They were facing the fact that they did not dispute it. And did only when they had to acknowledge what the FBI has not, that missed shot. Thus the single bullet theory.

*with*  
With our love,

*Harold*