11/4/92

Dal McGuirk 93 Pah Rodd Epsom Auckland 1003 New Zealand,

Dear Dal,

You wrote me when you were too tired and I return the compliment not by design but because $\dot{}$ just ran out of steam in what $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ hope will be the next to the last chapter of an extraordinarily long book. Our until my wife calls me to supper I'll start to answer your questions in your 26th.

You should be as proud as you seem to be to be the first to establish and eight-hour day and to protect working conditions. When I was young, in the 1930s, I was first an investigator for and later the editor of a Senate committee that investigated the violations of the rights of labor. Some of it pretty rough, too.

Lour papers were right, your radio news editors wrong re the supposed release of JFK assassination refords. A modified bill was passed, Bush did not dare not sign it so he interpreted it to be a rephrasing of the present lousy situation. Perhaps Clinton will be better. But before a single additional page is released there is much preparation to be made and the net result will be to at the very least reduce what is being made available now and to delay longer that otherwise what will be released in the future. It is going to be all the supposed relevant records transferred to the trade without that each agency would be processing its own , a much better situation once it was made clear that they had to be honest. That, of course, Bush would not have done. But he is now Bushwacked and without having ande a study of it I think we are worse off. Then, too, how many people do you think can afford to step everything else and go over say a quarter of a million pages? The volume alone denies access!

There is now an annual October conference in Dallas on the JFK assassination. To date I know of nothing worhtwhile evolving there, including this year.Mary/Terrell is a fine lady of the far right who love every theory as soon as it is hatched. She has done an enormous amount of work but - am not in a position to evaluate it. We like her and her husband, who is quite ill. I'd heard that Marina was to speak there but there is not much that she can say. She married a man named Porter, she divorced him, they have been back together again for quite a few years, and I understand she has just declined to have the narriage ceremony again. They are lving as man and wife. I understand she is to be in a TV show. I make no effort to keep up with them and they have ranged for valueless to harmful.

"ingratulations on the publication of youbook!" hope it is published here and that it gets you here. Any miliary book should include appearances in Washibington, only a little more than an hour away, and there are some military posts in Waryland. 'ou should try to include Baltimore because there are many installations near it. It would be nice if 11/5 If and when your publisher has a flyer I have a colonel friend you could get here! Who might want to get the British edition is he can send me one. "" a flyer. Marina was more than coached, which she also was. She was threatened, top. What I have on that in Whitewash is accurate and understated.

۷

We have no children. I doubt I'd have undertaken what I have if we did and they were young.

It is not possible to think through to an answer, who did it, because the crime itself was neither investigated not intended to be. So there are no leads to follow. There was a time, as the epilogue to my second book reflects, when I believed we could dope it out by cui thinking but before fong I realized that was not so. We can eliminate that way but we cannot pinpoint. I have stayed away from that because it would only interfere with efforts to write responsibly and because it would inevitably be irresponsible if taken to the trusting people.

The most likely explanation is that there were those who wanted to change policy, those who either wanted to get rid of JFK or to get LBM, the hawk they knew was a hawk. But even thinking this way, the number of candidates grows as thought is prolonged.

I doubt that the CIA as an agendy was involved but self-started; and former hotshots might have been overly-decidated. I once refered to them and others like them as the dedicated wrong.

"hoever did it had to have the knowledge most of those who theorize ignore, like all about Oswald and his past, where he worked and that he would be thre. My belief is that all the assassins hoped for was lead time, enough to escape. - do not think there was any connection between the crime and the coverup.

However, in this new monster of a rough draft of a book I make out a \widehat{c} ase I do not say is fact for arguing there had been a military conspiracy.

While there could with a few hours have been other origins, J.Edgar $\frac{H}{h}$ oover decided on a lone-nut assassin and he was able to see to it that others dare not dispute him. See for example the executive session transcript of 1/22/64, Post Mortem I think 475. Hobody who dought Hoover ever survived politically. And they all know it and do not.

I do not recall your telling me that you teach. What do you teach?

I don't but - have two seminars at local Hood College for each class on the Politics of Assassination for a earfriend local history professor. (One of the very best of our small colleges and stack up well with all the big ones, 14th of all in the northeast according to their peers polled annually by USNew and World "eport.) I find it gratifying when these fres young mind eschew all the bull and ask serious, thoughtful questions. I y sually cut them off now by three hours because they tire me but in the past it been all the professorial mids by lasting up to six!

This is the time for it to warm up down there. It is beginning to shill here. No show yet but nights close to and infrequently so far, below freezing.

I hope I've answered y_{δ} ur questions comprehensibly. Best wishes,