of conspiracy to assassinate President John F. week's editorial comment. in the New Orleans' trial of Clay Shaw on charges Kennedy. Presented is a cross-section of this (Editor's Note: Nationwide interest centered

that . . .

Globe-Democrat:

Jim Garrison has ended, with his ultradramatic at rected by District Attorney bre court jester. an irresponsible and maca-Instead Garrison was shown ren Commission as a fraud tempts to expose the War-The New Orleans farce di-

charged the Warren Comfit to hold his present office. F. Kennedy was fraudulent, has revealed Garrison as unsassination of President John mission's report on the as-The bizarre conspiracy in which Garrison

absurdity which wilted of their own his own pet theories, based trial as a forum to advance legal position by using the He took advantage of his unsubstantial charges

Philadelphia Inquirer:

leans, ushered the Clay Flamboyant Jim Garrison

> curtain came down quietly the public stage two years Shaw conspiracy case onto erations . . . Shaw on the first ballot, afwas unanimous in acquitting ised fireworks, when the jury after a five-week trial that the sound of trumpets. The ter only 50 minutes of delib failed to produce the promago with a roll of drums and

ments of imagination. deal with matters of fact, ment. The jurors, had to doubts. A tew opportunists emerges stronger than ever. for profit, if not enlighten-Shaw trial to write books may use the record of the Some skeptics, of course, the Warren Commission . . . As a result, the report of continue rumors and to harbor

Monroe News-Star:

not guilty. presented . . . the jury hear-ing the case had no choice but to render a verdict of Based on the evidence

The cold fact of the mat-

dence, if one can call it up with very shoddy eviter is that Garrison came

outcome of the trial? did he violate the principles of justice regardless of the Shaw flopped. The point isbly now that his case against Garrison acted irresponsiphasizing is not whether The point that needs em-

be remiss if it did not at study . . . least make a thorough The Louisiana Bar would

Arkansas Gazette:

have happened in the Ameri-ca of the late '60s. But the sponse is Clay Shaw, the instinctual rehow anything like this could (if that is the word) of Looking back on the trial ask oneself

> even an indispensable part. of our times and conceivably of the general derangement some time very close to the have happened in the Amerthat possibly it could only horrible thought intrudes late '60s; that it is a part ica of the late '60s or at

cy theory for the John Kenbelieve any kind of conspiralarge who were prepared to of members of the public-atwere not a sizable number offered, so long as it was a conspiracy. nedy assassination they were for . . . (two years) if there could have been maintained that Mr. Garrison's charade It is difficult to believe

women at the back of the spectrtors' seats at the ver-"No!" "No!" heard from The screams and cries of

ably cheated at the very wives of Paris, unaccounttive of the maddened fishdict of not guilty was evoca- , might have resulted . . . no one has to look further for it than the office of the If a fraud was perpetrated

Houston Chronicle:

New Orleans' District Attorney Jim Garrison . . . barrage of wild claims from have been assaulted with a the nation and the world For more than two years

Shaw trial.
The trial is finally over, would be borne out at the "Big Jim" promised that he important to him than the of Garrison's voice was more most people that the sound When it became obvious to

two years after Shaw's arrest . . . Shaw is innocent.

St. Louis Post-Dispatch:

preposterous v e r d i c t just the case against him was so were it not for the fact that spiring to assassinate Presi-dent Kennedy would have Shaw on a charge of con-The acquittal of Clay L.

steps to the guillotine of some long-awaited head . . New Orleans district attor

ceeding is a blot on New

The whole ridiculous pro-

with him as he deserves . . and we hope the voters dea for reelection in six months. Orleans. Mr. Garrison is up

by the jury ... prised no one, was rendered is over. The verdicts are in. One verdict, which sur-The farce in New Orleans

American Press:

Lake Charles

trict Attorney Jim Garrison, and the verdict here is "guilty as charged" . . . duct of New Orleans Dis-This verdict is on the con-Another verdict is also in

The Shaw trial was financed (in part) by contributions of a private group.

We do not think this sort of doned . . . thing should be con-

doubt now that this is actly what has happened making a laughing stock making a laughing stock of Louisiana, and there is no that the Some months back, we said Shaw trial Was ex-